# J.P.Morgan

# 業務及び財産の状況に関する説明書

平成28年3月期

# JP モルガン・チェース銀行 東 京 支 店

この説明書は、銀行法第21条および銀行法施行規則第19条の2 (業務および財産の状況に関する説明書類の縦覧等)に基づき、当行在日支店ならびに当行持分会社の業務および財産の状況に関し作成したものです。

# 目次

		頁
1. 外	ト国銀行在日支店に係る事項	
1)	JP モルガン・チェース銀行東京支店の概況イ. 代表者	1 1
	ナショナル・アソシエーションの大株主	1 1
2)	直近の事業年度における事業の概況 (1) 東京支店の事業内容について (2) 平成 28 年 3 月期の営業の概況	1 1 1
3)	直近の2事業年度における貸借対照表及び損益計算書	2~6
4)	財務諸表の正確性、及び内部監査の有効性に関する確認について	
2. 外	ト国銀行本店に係る事項	
1) 2) 3)	業務及び財産の状況に関する事項(原文(英語)) 連結損益計算書及び連結貸借対照表 業務及び財産の状況に関する事項(日本語訳抜粋)	

#### 1) <u>JP モルガン・チェース銀</u>行東京支店の概況

#### イ. 代表者

李家 輝: 日本における代表者(兼)東京支店長

ロ、ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・バンク・ナショナル・アソシエーションの大株主

	氏名又は名称	保有株式数	発行株式総数に対する
			保有株の割合(%)
1	ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チ	148,761 千株	100%
	ェース・アンド・カンパニー		
			以上

#### ハ. 営業所の名称及び所在地

JP モルガン・チェース銀行 東京支店 東京都千代田区丸の内2丁目7番3号 東京ビルディング

#### 2) 直近の事業年度における事業の概況

#### (1) 東京支店の事業内容について

JP モルガン・チェース・グループにおけるコーポレート・アンド・インベストメント・バンク部門のホールセール事業の日本における拠点として、日本の事業会社及び金融機関に対し、グループの持つグローバル機能を生かし、主としてクレジット、外国為替、デリバティブ、財務サービス等を提供しています。

#### (2) 平成28年3月期の事業の概況

平成28年3月期の経常利益は前期と比較し、24億円増加し14億円の経常利益となりました。そのうち、資金の運用・調達に関する利益は5億円増加の20億円、役務取引等利益は前期比同水準となる11億円となりました。特定取引利益は主に金融派生商品からの利益が減少し、1,360億円減少の224億円となりました。主に為替売買損の減少により、その他業務利益は1,356億円増加し132億円の損失となりました。営業経費は物件費(関係会社からの配賦費)が21億円減少し、134億円となりました。

以上により税引前当期純利益は24億円増加し14億円の利益に、当期純利益は21億円増加し12億円となりました。

## 3) 直近の2事業年度における貸借対照表及び損益計算書

## 貸借対照表

(単位:百万円)

科目	平成28年3月31日	平成27年3月31日	科目	平成28年3月31日	平成27年3月31日
現金預け金	2,475,570	855,860	預金	390,110	467,049
現金	54	207	当座預金	59,269	58,878
預け金	2,475,516	855,652	普通預金	40,897	64,073
コールローン	713,952	555,574	定期預金	-	28,509
債券貸借取引支払保証金	1,046,156	136,523	その他の預金	289,943	315,587
買入金銭債権	-	17	特定取引負債	463,717	513,398
特定取引資産	433,452	533,429	特定取引有価証券派生商品	11	4
特定金融派生商品	433,452	533,429	特定金融派生商品	463,706	513,393
有価証券	58,996	62,062	借用金	-	450,000
国債	53,496	53,531	借入金	-	450,000
その他の証券	5,500	8,531	外国為替	24,905	149,219
貸出金	16,727	20,695	外国他店預り	24,905	149,169
手形貸付	1,600	3,300	外国他店借	-	49
証書貸付	14,743	16,878	その他負債	904,908	1,634,630
当座貸越	383	517	未払法人税等	233	9
外国為替	15,849	165,320	未払費用	3,982	3,887
外国他店預け	8,711	2,709	前受収益	96	168
外国他店貸	6,759	160,971	先物取引差金勘定	3,319	1,674
買入外国為替	378	1,639	金融派生商品	860,583	1,217,546
その他資産	953,632	1,118,782	金融商品等受入担保金	26,402	399,274
前払費用	9	10	その他の負債	10,291	12,068
未収収益	3,299	2,180	賞与引当金	854	1,060
先物取引差入証拠金	2,494	2,360	退職給付引当金	236	375
先物取引差金勘定	279	-	繰延税金負債	617	574
金融派生商品	826,363	986,547	支払承諾	2,621	31,631
金融商品等差入担保金	119,270	122,177	本支店勘定	3,938,848	447,475
その他の資産	1,915	5,506	本店	1,215,954	-
無形固定資産	58	97	在外支店	2,722,893	447,475
ソフトウェア	58	97	負債の部小計	5,726,821	3,695,415
支払承諾見返	2,621	31,631	持込資本金	2,000	2,000
貸倒引当金	△ 50	∆ 125	繰延利益剰余金	△ 1,877	Δ 1,695
本支店勘定	11,226	216,888	その他の有価証券評価差額金	1,248	1,038
本店	3,369	182,437			·
在外支店	7,856	34,451			
資産の部合計	5,728,192	3,696,758	負債及び純資産の部合計	5,728,192	3,696,758

#### 損益計算書

(単位:百万円)

		(単位:百万円)
科目	平成 27 年 4 月 1 日から	平成 26 年 4 月 1 日から
	平成 28 年 3 月 31 日まで	平成 27 年 3 月 31 日まで
経常収益	34,708	167,022
資金運用収益	3,067	2,823
貸出金利息	434	429
有価証券利息配当金	435	599
コールローン利息	96	192
情券貸借取引受入利息 (1)	22	723
預け金利息	1,241	299
外国為替受入利息	136	142
本支店為替尻受入利息	621	360
その他の受入利息	78	76
役務取引等収益 2000年1月20日   100日   1	1,881	2,098
外国為替受入手数料	1,160	939
内国為替受入手数料	152	260
その他の役務収益	567	899
特定取引収益	22,458	158,785
特定取引有価証券収益	457	-
特定金融派生商品収益	22,001	158,785
その他業務収益	4,803	899
国債等債券売却益	2	-
その他の業務収益	4,800	899
その他経常収益	2,498	2,417
貸倒引当金戻入益	74	2,417
[	14	61
	9.499	61
その他の経常収益	2,423	2,356
<b>経常費用</b>	33,282	167,997
資金調達費用	1,041	1,351
預金利息	329	320
コールマネー利息	23	109
債券貸借取引支払利息	-	33
借用金利息	72	35
外国為替支払利息	3	6
本支店為替尻支払利息	368	577
その他の支払利息	242	271
役務取引等費用	746	964
外国為替支払手数料	415	436
内国為替支払手数料	35	76
その他の役務費用	296	452
特定取引費用		238
特定取引有価証券費用	_	238
その他業務費用	18,011	149,754
外国為替売買損	16,540	149,754
その他の業務費用	1,470	606
営業経費	13,483	15,621
その他経常費用	-	69
貸倒引当金繰入額	-	69
経常利益(又は経常損失)	1,426	Δ 975
税引前当期純利益(又は税引前当期純損失)	1,426	Δ 975
法人税、住民税及び事業税	3	3
過年度法人税等	221	-
法人税等合計	225	3
当期純利益(又は当期純損失)	1,200	Δ 978
繰越利益剰余金(当期首残高)	Δ 1,695	Δ 8,648
本店への送金	1,382	-
(又は本店からの補填金)	· -	7,933
繰越利益剰余金	Δ 1,877	Δ 1,695
Secretary and the secretary an	,	

#### (貸借対照表注記)

記載金額は百万円未満を切り捨てて表示しております。

#### 重要な会計方針

#### 1. 特定取引資産・負債の評価基準及び収益・費用の計上基準

金利、通貨の価格、金融商品市場における相場その他の指標に係る短期的な変動、市場間格差等 を利用して利益を得る等の目的(以下「特定取引目的」という。)の取引については、取引の約定時 点を基準とし、貸借対照表上「特定取引資産」及び「特定取引負債」に計上するとともに、当該取 引からの損益を損益計算書上「特定取引収益」及び「特定取引費用」に計上しております。

特定取引資産及び特定取引負債の評価は、スワップ・先物・オプション取引等の派生商品については決算日において決済したものとみなした額により行っております。

また、特定取引収益及び特定取引費用の損益計上は、当事業年度中の受払利息等に、当該派生商品にかかる前事業年度末と当事業年度末におけるみなし決済からの損益相当額の増減額を加えております。

#### 2. 有価証券の評価基準及び評価方法

有価証券の評価は、決算日の市場価格等に基づく時価法(売却原価は主として移動平均法により 算定)により行っております。なお、その他有価証券の評価差額については、全部純資産直入法に より処理しております。

#### 3. デリバティブ取引の評価基準及び評価方法

デリバティブ取引(特定取引目的の取引を除く)の評価は、時価法により行っております。なお、 金融商品会計に関する実務指針に定める要件を満たすデリバティブ取引の時価評価による金融資産 と金融負債については相殺表示を行っております。

#### 4. 固定資産の減価償却の方法

無形固定資産は、定額法により償却しております。なお、自社利用のソフトウェアについては、 行内における利用可能期間(5年)に基づいて償却しております。

#### 5. 外貨建の資産及び負債の本邦通貨への換算基準

外貨建資産・負債及び海外本支店勘定は、決算日の為替相場による円換算額を付しております。

#### 6. 引当金の計上基準

#### (1) 貸倒引当金

貸倒引当金は、予め定めている償却・引当基準に則り、次のとおり計上しております。

「銀行等金融機関の資産の自己査定並びに貸倒償却及び貸倒引当金の監査に関する実務指針」(日本公認会計士協会銀行等監査特別委員会報告第4号 平成24年7月4日)に規定する正常先債権及び要注意先債権に相当する債権については、一定の種類毎に分類し、過去の一定期間における各々の貸倒実績から算出した貸倒実績率等に基づき計上しております。

すべての債権は、資産の自己査定基準に基づき、審査部及び財務部が共同して資産査定を実施しております。

#### (2) 賞与引当金

賞与引当金は、従業員への賞与の支払いに備えるため、及び親会社の運営する株式報酬制度にかかる将来の費用負担に備えるため、当事業年度に帰属する額を計上しております。

#### (3) 退職給付引当金

退職給付引当金は、従業員の退職給付に備えるため、当事業年度末における退職給付債務及び年金資産の見込額に基づき、必要額を計上しております。また、退職給付債務の算定にあたり、退職給付見込額を当事業年度末までの期間に帰属させる方法については期間定額基準によっております。なお、過去勤務費用及び数理計算上の差異の費用処理方法は次のとおりであります。

過去勤務費用: その発生時の従業員の平均残存勤務期間内の一定の年数(10年)による

定額法により費用処理

数理計算上の差異: 各事業年度の発生時の従業員の平均残存勤務期間内の一定の年数 (10年)

による定額法により按分した額を、それぞれ発生の翌事業年度から費用処

理

#### 7. 消費税等の会計処理

消費税及び地方消費税の会計処理は、税抜方式によっております。

#### 誤謬の訂正

本支店間の合意による配賦利益・費用の請求に基づき計上したその他業務収益と経費について、 過年度配賦額の一部に計上漏れがあり、それぞれ過小計上になっておりました。この誤謬を訂正す るために、前事業年度の財務諸表を修正再表示しております。

この結果、修正再表示を行う前と比べて、前事業年度の損益計算書において、その他の役務収益が122百万円減少し、その他の業務収益が899百万円、その他の経常収益が289百万円、その他の業務費用が409百万円、営業経費が652百万円それぞれ増加しております。当該影響により、前事業年度の経常収益は1,063百万円、経常費用は1,056百万円それぞれ増加し、前期純損失は7百万円減少しております。前事業年度の貸借対照表において、未収収益が160百万円、未払費用が154百万円増加し、繰越利益剰余金が5百万増加しております。

#### 注記事項

(貸借対照表関係)

- 1. 現金担保付債券貸借取引により受け入れている有価証券のうち、売却又は(再)担保という方法で自由に処分できる権利を有する有価証券で、(再)担保に差し入れている有価証券は10,454百万円、当事業年度末に当該処分をせずに所有しているものは1,031,833百万円であります。
- 2. 貸出金のうち、破綻先債権、延滞債権、3ヵ月以上延滞債権及び貸出条件緩和債権の該当はありません。

なお、破綻先債権とは、元本又は利息の支払の遅延が相当期間継続していることその他の事由により元本又は利息の取立て又は弁済の見込みがないものとして未収利息を計上しなかった貸出金(貸倒償却を行った部分を除く。以下「未収利息不計上貸出金」という。)のうち、法人税法施行令(昭和40年政令第97号)第96条第1項第3号イからホまでに掲げる事由又は同項第4号に規定する事由が生じている貸出金であります。

延滞債権とは、未収利息不計上貸出金であって、破綻先債権及び債務者の経営再建又は支援を 図ることを目的として利息の支払を猶予した貸出金以外の貸出金であります。

3カ月以上延滞債権とは、元本又は利息の支払が、約定支払日の翌日から3月以上遅延している貸出金で破綻先債権及び延滞債権に該当しないものであります。

また、貸出条件緩和債権とは、債務者の経営再建又は支援を図ることを目的として、金利の減免、利息の支払猶予、元本の返済猶予、債権放棄その他の債務者に有利となる取決めを行った貸出金で破綻先債権、延滞債権及び3カ月以上延滞債権に該当しないものであります。

- 3. ローン・パーティシペーションで、「ローン・パーティシペーションの会計処理及び表示」(日本公認会計士協会会計制度委員会報告第3号 平成26年11月28日)に基づいて、参加者に売却したものとして会計処理した貸出金の元本の期末残高の総額は13,351百万円であります。
- 4. 担保に供している資産は次のとおりであります。 その他の資産には、保証金46百万円が含まれております。
- 5. 当座貸越契約及び貸付金に係るコミットメントライン契約は、顧客からの融資実行の申し出を受けた場合に、契約上規定された条件について違反がない限り、一定の限度額まで資金を貸し付けることを約する契約であります。これらの契約に係る融資未実行残高は、92,314百万円であり

ます。このうち契約残存期間が1年以内のものが27,565百万円あります。

6. 支店の代表者との間の取引による支店の代表者に対する金銭債権又は金銭債務として該当するものはありません。

#### (損益計算書注記)

- 1. 記載金額は百万円未満を切り捨てて表示しております。
- 2. 本店経費負担額および内訳は次のとおりです。

(単位: 百万円)

		(
	平成 27 年 4 月 1 日から	平成 26 年 4 月 1 日から
	平成 28 年 3 月 31 日まで	平成 27 年 3 月 31 日まで
本店経費負担額	3,087	3,563
直接経費 (派遣職員給与等)	67	106
間接経費割当額	3,020	3,456

#### 財務諸表の正確性、及び内部監査の有効性に関する確認について

平成 28 年 9月 13日

JP モルガン・チェース銀行 東京支店 日本における代表者 (兼) 東京支店長

李 家 輝

- 1. 私は、当支店の平成27年4月1日から平成28年3月31日までの第44期事業年度の『業務及び財産の状況に関する説明書』に記載した事項が、「企業内容等の開示に関する内閣府令」、「財務諸表等の用語、様式及び作成方法に関する規則」ならびに銀行法及び同施行規則に準拠して、すべての重要な点において適正に表示されていることを確認いたしました。
- 2. 当該確認を行うに当たり、財務諸表等が適正に作成されるための以下の内部統制体制が整備され、有効に機能していることを確認いたしました。
  - ① 財務諸表等の作成にあたって、その責任部署と業務分掌が明確化されており、各責任 部署において適切な業務・管理態勢が構築されていること。
  - ② 内部監査部門が当該責任部署における業務プロセスの適切性・有効性を検証し、当支店

経営委員会へ報告を行う体制にあること。

③ 重要な経営情報が当支店経営委員会へ適切に付議・報告されていること。

以上

# J.P.Morgan

ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・バンク・ ナショナル・アソシェーション

> <u>2015 年度</u> ディスクロージャー・ブック

## 目次

- 1 業務の概要 (原文(英語))
- 2 年次財務書類 2015 (原文 (英語))
- 3 業務の概要 (日本語訳)
- 4 財務書類 (日本語訳)
  - 4.1 連結損益計算書
  - 4.2 連結貸借対照表

#### 1. 【業務の概要 (原文 (英語))】

# JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION REVIEW OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

The following is a summary of the financial performance of JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Net Income was \$16.9 billion in 2015, compared with \$14.8 billion in 2014, reflecting an increase of 15% from the prior year.

Total net revenue was \$73.4 billion in 2015, compared with \$72.5 billion in 2014, reflecting a 2% increase in noninterest revenue, predominantly attributable to higher client-driven market-making principal transactions revenue and a benefit from a legal settlement, partially offset by lower mortgage fees and related income.

The provision for credit losses was \$1.4 billion in 2015, compared with \$832 million in prior year. The increase in 2015 was the result of an increase in the wholesale provision, largely reflecting the impact of downgrades in the Oil & Gas portfolio.

Noninterest expense was \$49.1 billion in 2015, compared with \$50.6 billion in 2014, reflecting a decrease of 3% driven by lower compensation expense, and lower professional and outside services expense, which resulted from lower legal services expense, and a reduced number of contractors in the businesses associated with efficiencies.

Income tax expense was \$6.0 billion in 2015, compared with \$6.3 billion in 2014, reflecting a decrease of 6%. The effective tax rate decreased to 26.1% in 2015 compared to 30.0% in 2014, predominantly due to the recognition in 2015 of tax benefits associated with the release of U.S. deferred taxes associated with the restructuring of certain non-U.S. entities and the effect of lower non-deductible penalties.

As of December 31, 2015, total assets were \$1.9 trillion, reflecting a decrease of 8% compared with 2014. The decrease was attributable to lower deposits with banks due to the Firm's actions to reduce wholesale non-operating deposits, and lower securities on pay downs and sales, reflecting a shift to loans. The decrease was partially offset by higher loans attributable to higher originations and retention of prime mortgages and higher originations of auto loans, as well as an increase in wholesale client activity, notably in commercial real estate.

As of December 31, 2015, total liabilities were \$1.7 trillion, reflecting a decrease of 9% compared with 2014. The decrease in liabilities was attributable to lower wholesale deposits reflecting the impact of the Firm's actions to reduce non-operating deposits, partially offset by higher consumer deposits as a result of continuing positive growth from strong customer retention.

Total stockholder's equity increased 5% in 2015 to \$195.5 billion, compared with \$185.6 billion in 2014.

The increase was predominantly attributable to net income of \$16.9 billion and the merger of J.P.

Morgan Bank & Trust, partially offset by dividends paid to JPMorgan Chase & Co.

2. 【年次財務書類 2015 (原文 (英語))】

# JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

(a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

## **CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

For the three years ended December 31, 2015

#### FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

	Page
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Consolidated Financial Statements:	
Consolidated Statements of Income	2
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	3
Consolidated Balance Sheets	4
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholder's Equity	5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7-134
Supplementary Information (unaudited):	
Glossary of Terms	135-137



#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholder of JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association and its subsidiaries, which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholder's equity and cash flows for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on our judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, we consider internal control relevant to the Company's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### Opinion

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

February 24, 2016

Princewatnhouse Cogous LLP

#### **Consolidated statements of income**

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

Year ended December 31, (in millions)		2015	2014	2013
Revenue				
Investment banking fees	\$	1,931	\$ 2,034	\$ 1,808
Principal transactions		9,085	7,660	6,589
Lending- and deposit-related fees		5,693	5,797	5,941
Asset management, administration and commissions		11,263	11,652	10,096
Securities gains <sup>(a)</sup>		202	68	643
Mortgage fees and related income		2,513	3,564	5,206
Card income		4,333	4,305	4,036
Other income		4,671	3,683	5,052
Noninterest revenue		39,691	38,763	39,371
Interest income	-	37,425	37,966	38,150
Interest expense		3,736	4,229	5,184
Net interest income		33,689	33,737	32,966
Total net revenue		73,380	72,500	72,337
Provision for credit losses		1,376	832	(1,247)
Noninterest expense				
Compensation expense		23,128	23,996	24,212
Occupancy expense		3,438	3,528	3,342
Technology, communications and equipment expense		5,747	5,328	4,935
Professional and outside services		5,268	5,994	5,875
Marketing		796	748	759
Other expense		10,719	10,984	11,102
Total noninterest expense		49,096	50,578	50,225
Income before income tax expense		22,908	21,090	23,359
Income tax expense		5,980	6,331	7,967
Net income	\$	16,928	\$ 14,759	\$ 15,392

<sup>(</sup>a) JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. recognized other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses of \$22 million, \$4 million and \$21 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

#### **Consolidated statements of comprehensive income**

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2013
Net income	\$ 16,928 \$	14,759 \$	15,392
Other comprehensive income/(loss), after-tax			
Unrealized gains/(losses) on investment securities	(2,104)	1,862	(3,916)
Translation adjustments, net of hedges	(17)	(35)	(51)
Cash flow hedges	46	(16)	(404)
Defined benefit pension and OPEB plans	139	(34)	39
Total other comprehensive income/(loss), after-tax	(1,936)	1,777	(4,332)
Comprehensive income	\$ 14,992 \$	16,536 \$	11,060

#### **Consolidated balance sheets**

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

December 31, (in millions, except share data)	2015	2014
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 19,359	\$ 26,637
Deposits with banks	316,350	471,427
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements (included \$7,970 and \$14,265 at fair value)	148,483	141,156
Securities borrowed (included <b>\$395</b> and \$992 at fair value)	25,519	32,173
Trading assets (included assets pledged of \$55,776 and \$48,593)	242,535	268,014
Securities (included \$235,955 and \$292,347 at fair value and assets pledged of \$16,133 and \$27,745)	285,028	341,599
Loans (included <b>\$2,752</b> and <b>\$</b> 2,283 at fair value)	735,717	651,630
Allowance for loan losses	(10,807)	(11,352)
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	724,910	640,278
Accrued interest and accounts receivable	36,389	38,814
Premises and equipment	12,748	13,122
Goodwill	27,100	27,282
Mortgage servicing rights	6,608	7,436
Other intangible assets	246	344
Other assets (included \$5,701 and \$4,608 at fair value and assets pledged of \$1,074 and \$993)	69,383	66,688
Total assets <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 1,914,658	\$ 2,074,970
Liabilities		
Deposits (included <b>\$12,847</b> and \$8,974 at fair value)	\$ 1,312,940	\$ 1,439,405
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements (included <b>\$728</b> and \$678 at fair value)	77,262	94,325
Other borrowed funds (included <b>\$6,680</b> and <b>\$8,209</b> at fair value)	30,170	38,572
Trading liabilities	101,053	120,323
Accounts payable and other liabilities (included <b>\$6,652</b> and \$781 at fair value)	76,160	76,694
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated variable interest entities (included <b>\$0</b> and \$18 at fair value)	12,852	18,601
Long-term debt (included <b>\$14,729</b> and <b>\$14,136</b> at fair value)	108,683	101,426
Total liabilities <sup>(a)</sup>	1,719,120	1,889,346
Commitments and contingencies (see Notes 27, 28 and 29)		
Stockholder's equity		
Preferred stock (\$1 par value; authorized 15,000,000 shares: issued <b>0</b> shares)	_	_
Common stock (\$12 par value; authorized 150,000,000 shares; issued 148,761,243 shares)	1,785	1,785
Additional paid-in capital	92,782	90,801
Retained earnings	98,951	89,082
Accumulated other comprehensive income	2,020	3,956
Total stockholder's equity	195,538	185,624
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity	\$ 1,914,658	\$ 2,074,970

(a) The following table presents information on assets and liabilities related to VIEs that are consolidated by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. at December 31, 2015 and 2014. The difference between total VIE assets and liabilities represents JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s interests in those entities, which were eliminated in consolidation.

December 31, (in millions)	2015		2014	
Assets				
Trading assets	\$ 2,491	\$	6,046	
Loans	27,747		20,613	
All other assets	1,574		345	
Total assets	\$ 31,812	\$	27,004	
Liabilities				
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated variable interest entities	\$ 12,852	\$	18,601	
All other liabilities	691		815	
Total liabilities	\$ 13,543	\$	19,416	

The assets of the consolidated VIEs are used to settle the liabilities of those entities. The holders of the beneficial interests do not have recourse to the general credit of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. at both December 31, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. provided limited program-wide credit enhancement of \$2.0 billion, related to its JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-administered multi-seller conduits, which are eliminated in consolidation. For further discussion, see Note 17.

#### Consolidated statements of changes in stockholder's equity

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2013
Common stock			
Balance at January 1 and December 31	\$ 1,785	\$ 1,785	\$ 1,785
Additional paid-in capital			
Balance at January 1	90,801	90,479	77,533
Cash capital contribution from JPMorgan Chase & Co.	4	477	12,752
Adjustments to capital due to transactions with JPMorgan Chase & Co.	1,977	(155)	194
Balance at December 31	92,782	90,801	90,479
Retained earnings			
Balance at January 1	89,082	74,323	60,196
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	_	_	(265)
Balance at beginning of year, adjusted	89,082	74,323	59,931
Net income	16,928	14,759	15,392
Cash dividends paid to JPMorgan Chase & Co.	(8,000)	_	(1,000)
Net internal legal entity mergers	941	_	_
Balance at December 31	98,951	89,082	74,323
Accumulated other comprehensive income			
Balance at January 1	3,956	2,179	6,511
Other comprehensive income/(loss)	(1,936)	1,777	(4,332)
Balance at December 31	2,020	3,956	2,179
Total stockholder's equity	\$ 195,538	\$ 185,624	\$ 168,766

#### **Consolidated statements of cash flows**

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2013
Operating activities			
Net income	\$ 16,928	\$ 14,759	\$ 15,392
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities:			
Provision for credit losses	1,376	832	(1,247
Depreciation and amortization	4,559	4,343	4,974
Deferred tax expense	1,050	3,776	7,921
Other	(202)	(68)	(643
Originations and purchases of loans held-for-sale	(49,197)	(67,525)	(75,927
Proceeds from sales, securitizations and paydowns of loans held-for-sale	50,451	71,407	73,565
Net change in:	,	,	,
Trading assets	38,192	(11,409)	74,384
Securities borrowed	7,106	7,674	15,727
Accrued interest and accounts receivable	1,623	9,598	(1,804
Other assets	(486)	(17,703)	6,612
Trading liabilities	(22,417)	22,637	(12,070
-			
Accounts payable and other liabilities  Other operating adjustments	(1,938)	(8,933)	(14,106
Other operating adjustments	1,024	4,904	(1,174
Net cash provided by operating activities	48,069	34,292	91,604
Investing activities			
Net change in:	444.00	(4.62.246)	(400 750
Deposits with banks	164,927	(163,246)	(189,752
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	(6,666)	41,867	44,893
Held-to-maturity securities:			
Proceeds from paydowns and maturities	6,099	4,169	189
Purchases	(6,204)	(10,345)	(24,214
Available-for-sale securities:			
Proceeds from paydowns and maturities	76,303	88,727	87,291
Proceeds from sales	37,362	24,425	69,189
Purchases	(68,027)	(118,271)	(114,582
Proceeds from sales and securitizations of loans held-for-investment	17,975	19,387	11,850
Other changes in loans, net	(104,819)	(47,922)	(23,965
All other investing activities, net	2,544	688	(2,790
Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities	119,494	(160,521)	(141,891
Financing activities			
Net change in:			
Deposits	(131,456)	127,045	67,308
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements	(17,057)	(18,291)	(45,878
Other borrowed funds	(8,103)	10,011	6,554
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated variable interest entities	(5,587)	(527)	(8,509
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	16,728	35,498	45,559
Payments of long-term borrowings	(22,719)	(39,656)	(40,829
Cash capital contribution from JPMorgan Chase & Co.	4	477	12,752
Dividends paid to JPMorgan Chase & Co.	(8,000)	.,,	(1,000
All other financing activities, net		469	
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities	1,620 (174,570)	115,026	348
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and due from banks		(1,115)	36,305
Net decrease in cash and due from banks	(271)		275
	(7,278)	(12,318)	(13,707
Cash and due from banks at the beginning of the period	26,637	38,955	52,662
Cash and due from banks at the end of the period	\$ 19,359	\$ 26,637	\$ 38,955
Cash interest paid	\$ 3,366	\$ 4,536	\$ 4,969
Cash income taxes paid/(refunded), net <sup>(a)</sup>	8,272	(481)	3,576

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes \$7.5 billion, \$(1.1) billion, and \$3.0 billion paid to/(refunded from) JPMorgan Chase & Co. in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Note 1 - Overview and basis of presentation

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association ("JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A."), is a wholly-owned bank subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co. ("JPMorgan Chase"), which is a leading global financial services firm and one of the largest banking institutions in the United States of America ("U.S."), with operations worldwide. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is a national banking association that is chartered by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC"), a bureau of the United States Department of the Treasury. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s main office is located in Columbus, Ohio, and it has retail branches in 23 states. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. operates nationally as well as through overseas branches and subsidiaries, representative offices and subsidiary foreign banks. One of its principal wholly-owned operating subsidiaries in the United Kingdom ("U.K.") is J.P. Morgan Securities plc. JPMorgan Chase Bank. N.A. either directly or through such offices, branches and subsidiaries offers a wide range of banking services to its U.S. and non-U.S. customers including investment banking, financial services for consumers and small businesses, commercial banking, financial transactions processing and asset management. Under the J.P. Morgan and Chase brands, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. serves millions of customers in the U.S. and many of the world's most prominent corporate, institutional and governmental clients.

The JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Board of Directors is responsible for the oversight of the management of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. The JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Board accomplishes this function acting directly and through the principal standing committees of JPMorgan Chase's Board of Directors.

The accounting and financial reporting policies of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and its subsidiaries conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. ("U.S. GAAP"). Additionally, where applicable, the policies conform to the accounting and reporting guidelines prescribed by regulatory authorities.

Certain amounts reported in prior periods have been reclassified to conform with the current presentation.

#### Supervision and regulation

JPMorgan Chase and its subsidiaries (including JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.) are subject to regulation under state and federal laws in the U.S., as well as the applicable laws of each of the various jurisdictions outside the U.S. in which JPMorgan Chase does business.

As a result of regulatory reforms enacted and proposed in the U.S. and abroad, JPMorgan Chase has been experiencing a period of significant change in regulation which has had and could continue to have significant consequences for how JPMorgan Chase conducts business. JPMorgan Chase continues to work diligently in assessing the regulatory changes it is facing, and is devoting substantial resources to comply with all the new regulations, while, at the same time, endeavoring to best meet the needs and expectations of its customers, clients and shareholders. These efforts include the implementation of new policies, procedures and controls, and appropriate adjustments to JPMorgan Chase's business and operations, legal entity structure and capital and liquidity management policies, procedures and controls. The combined effect of numerous rule-makings by multiple governmental agencies and regulators, and the potential conflicts or inconsistencies among such rules, present challenges and risks to JPMorgan Chase's business and operations. Given the current status of the regulatory developments, JPMorgan Chase cannot currently quantify all of the possible effects on its business and operations of the significant changes that are currently underway. For more information, see Risk Factors on pages 8-18 of JPMorgan Chase's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 (the "2015 Form 10-K").

#### Financial holding company:

Consolidated supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve"). As a bank holding company ("BHC") and a financial holding company, JPMorgan Chase is subject to comprehensive consolidated supervision, regulation and examination by the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve acts as an "umbrella regulator" and certain of JPMorgan Chase's subsidiaries are regulated directly by additional authorities based on the particular activities of those subsidiaries. For example, JPMorgan Chase's national bank subsidiaries, such as JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., and Chase Bank USA, N.A., are subject to supervision and regulation by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") and, with respect to certain matters, by the Federal Reserve and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC"). Non-bank subsidiaries, such as JPMorgan Chase's U.S. broker-dealers, are subject to supervision and regulation by the SEC and, with respect to certain futures-related and swaps-related activities, by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC"). See Securities and broker-dealer regulation, Investment management regulation and Derivatives regulation below. In addition, JPMorgan Chase's consumer activities are subject to supervision and regulation by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB").

Scope of permissible business activities. The Bank Holding Company Act generally restricts BHCs from engaging in business activities other than the business of banking and certain closely related activities. Financial holding companies generally can engage in a broader range of financial activities than are otherwise permissible for BHCs, as long as they continue to meet the eligibility requirements for financial holding companies (including requirements that the financial holding company and each of its U.S. depository institution subsidiaries maintain their status as "well-capitalized" and "well-managed"). The broader range of permissible activities for financial holding companies

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

includes underwriting, dealing and making markets in securities, and making merchant banking investments in non-financial companies.

The Federal Reserve has the authority to limit a financial holding company's ability to conduct activities that would otherwise be permissible if the financial holding company or any of its depositary institution subsidiaries ceases to meet the applicable eligibility requirements. The Federal Reserve may also impose corrective capital and/or managerial requirements on the financial holding company and may require divestiture of the holding company's depository institutions if the deficiencies persist. Federal regulations also provide that if any depository institution controlled by a financial holding company fails to maintain a satisfactory rating under the Community Reinvestment Act, the Federal Reserve must prohibit the financial holding company and its subsidiaries from engaging in any activities other than those permissible for bank holding companies. In addition, a financial holding company must obtain Federal Reserve approval before engaging in certain banking and other financial activities both in the U.S. and internationally, as further described under Regulation of acquisitions below.

Activities restrictions under the Volcker Rule. Section 619 of the Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") (the "Volcker Rule") prohibits banking entities, including JPMorgan Chase, from engaging in certain "proprietary trading" activities, subject to exceptions for underwriting, market-making, risk-mitigating hedging and certain other activities. In addition, the Volcker Rule limits the sponsorship of, and investment in, "covered funds" (as defined by the Volcker Rule) and imposes limits on certain transactions between JPMorgan Chase and its sponsored funds (see JPMorgan Chase's subsidiary banks -Restrictions on transactions with affiliates below). The Volcker Rule, which became effective in July 2015, requires banking entities to establish comprehensive compliance programs reasonably designed to help ensure and monitor compliance with the restrictions under the Volcker Rule, including, in order to distinguish permissible from impermissible risk-taking activities, the measurement, monitoring and reporting of certain key metrics. Given the uncertainty and complexity of the Volcker Rule's framework, the full impact of the Volcker Rule will ultimately depend on its ongoing interpretation by the five regulatory agencies responsible for its oversight.

Capital and liquidity requirements. The Federal Reserve establishes capital and leverage requirements for JPMorgan Chase and evaluates its compliance with such requirements. The OCC establishes similar capital and leverage requirements for JPMorgan Chase's national banking subsidiaries. For more information about the applicable requirements relating to risk-based capital and leverage in the U.S. under the most recent capital framework established by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the "Basel Committee") ("Basel III"), see Regulatory capital on pages 117-118 and Note 28 of the 2015 Form 10-K.

Under Basel III, bank holding companies and banks are required to measure their liquidity against two specific liquidity tests: the liquidity coverage ratio ("LCR") and the net stable funding ratio ("NSFR"). The U.S. banking regulators have approved the final LCR rule ("U.S. LCR"), which became effective on January 1, 2015. A proposed U.S. rule for NSFR is expected. For additional information on these ratios, see Liquidity Risk Management on pages 159-164 of the 2015 Form 10-K. It is likely that the banking supervisors will continue to refine and enhance the Basel III capital framework for financial institutions. The Basel Committee recently finalized revisions to market risk capital for trading books; other proposals being contemplated by the Basel Committee include revisions to, among others, credit risk capital calculations, the measurement methodology to calculate counterparty credit risk, the elimination of the "advanced measurement approach" for operational risk, and revisions to the securitization framework. After a proposal is finalized by the Basel Committee, U.S. banking regulators would then need to propose requirements applicable to U.S. financial institutions.

Stress tests. The Federal Reserve has adopted supervisory stress tests for large bank holding companies, including JPMorgan Chase, which form part of the Federal Reserve's annual Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review ("CCAR") framework. Under the framework, JPMorgan Chase must conduct semi-annual company-run stress tests and, in addition, must submit an annual capital plan to the Federal Reserve, taking into account the results of separate stress tests designed by JPMorgan Chase and the Federal Reserve. In reviewing JPMorgan Chase's capital plan, the Federal Reserve considers both quantitative and qualitative factors. Qualitative assessments include (among other things) the comprehensiveness of the plan, the assumptions and analysis underlying the plan, and the extent to which JPMorgan Chase has satisfied certain supervisory matters related to JPMorgan Chase's processes and analyses, including the design and operational effectiveness of the controls governing such processes. Moreover, JPMorgan Chase is required to receive a notice of non-objection from the Federal Reserve before taking capital actions, such as paying dividends, implementing common equity repurchase programs or redeeming or repurchasing capital instruments. The OCC requires JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to perform separate, similar annual stress tests. JPMorgan Chase publishes each year the results of its mid-cycle stress tests under JPMorgan Chase's internally-developed "severely adverse" scenario and the results of its (and its two primary subsidiary banks') annual stress tests under the supervisory "severely adverse" scenarios provided by the Federal Reserve and the OCC. Commencing with the 2016 CCAR, the annual CCAR submission will be due on April 5. Results will be published by the Federal Reserve by June 30, with disclosures of results by BHCs, including JPMorgan Chase, to follow within 15 days. Also commencing in 2016, the mid-cycle capital stress test submissions will be due on October 5 and BHCs, including JPMorgan Chase, will publish results by November 4. For additional information on JPMorgan Chase's CCAR, see Regulatory capital on pages 288-290 of the 2015 Form 10-K.

Enhanced prudential standards. The Financial Stability Oversight Council ("FSOC"), among other things, recommends prudential standards and reporting and disclosure requirements to the Federal Reserve for systemically important financial institutions, such as JPMorgan Chase. The Federal Reserve has adopted several rules to implement the heightened prudential standards, including final rules relating to risk management and corporate governance of subject BHCs. BHCs with \$50 billion or more in total consolidated assets are required to comply with enhanced liquidity and overall risk management standards, including a buffer of highly liquid assets based on projected funding needs for 30 days, and their board of directors is required to have increased oversight of their risk management activities. For information on liquidity measures, see Liquidity Risk Management on pages 159-164 of the 2015 Form 10-K. Several additional proposed rules are still being considered, including rules relating to single-counterparty credit limits and an "early remediation" framework to address financial distress or material management weaknesses.

Risk reporting. In January 2013, the Basel Committee issued new regulations relating to risk aggregation and reporting. Under these regulations, the banking institution's risk governance framework must encompass risk-data aggregation and reporting, and data aggregation must be highly automated and allow for minimal manual intervention. The regulations also impose higher standards for the accuracy, comprehensiveness, granularity and timely distribution of data reporting, and call for regular supervisory review of the banking institution's risk aggregation and reporting. These new standards became effective for global systemically important banks ("GSIBs"), including JPMorgan Chase, on January 1, 2016.

Orderly liquidation authority and resolution and recovery. As a BHC with assets of \$50 billion or more, JPMorgan Chase is required to submit annually to the Federal Reserve and the FDIC a plan for resolution under the Bankruptcy Code in the event of material distress or failure (a "resolution plan"). The FDIC also requires each insured depositary institution with \$50 billion or more in assets to provide a resolution plan. For more information about JPMorgan Chase's resolution plan, see Risk Factors on pages 8-18 of the 2015 Form 10-K. In addition, certain financial companies, including JPMorgan Chase and certain of its subsidiaries. can be subjected to resolution under an "orderly liquidation authority." The U.S. Treasury Secretary, in consultation with the President of the United States, must first make certain extraordinary financial distress and systemic risk determinations, and action must be recommended by the FDIC and the Federal Reserve. Absent such actions.

JPMorgan Chase, as a BHC, would remain subject to resolution under the Bankruptcy Code. In December 2013, the FDIC issued a draft policy statement describing its "single point of entry" strategy for resolution of systemically important financial institutions under the orderly liquidation authority. This strategy seeks to keep operating subsidiaries of the BHC open and impose losses on shareholders and creditors of the holding company in receivership according to their statutory order of priority.

JPMorgan Chase has a comprehensive recovery plan detailing the actions it would take to avoid failure by remaining well-capitalized and well-funded in the case of an adverse event. JPMorgan Chase has provided the Federal Reserve with comprehensive confidential supervisory information and analyses about JPMorgan Chase's businesses, legal entities and corporate governance and about its crisis management governance, capabilities and available alternatives to raise liquidity and capital in severe market circumstances. The OCC has published for comment proposed guidelines establishing standards for recovery planning by insured national banks, including JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Chase Bank USA, N.A.

Regulators in the U.S. and abroad continue to be focused on developing measures designed to address the possibility or the perception that large financial institutions, including JPMorgan Chase, may be "too big to fail," and to provide safeguards so that, if a large financial institution does fail, it can be resolved without the use of public funds. Higher capital surcharges on GSIBs, requirements for certain large bank holding companies to maintain a minimum amount of long-term debt to facilitate orderly resolution of those firms, and the International Swaps and Derivatives Association ("ISDA") protocol relating to the "close-out" of derivatives transactions during the resolution of a large cross-border financial institution, are examples of initiatives to address "too big to fail." For further information on the potential impact of the GSIB framework and Total Loss Absorbing Capacity ("TLAC"), see Regulatory capital on pages 288-290 and Risk Factors on pages 8-18 of the 2015 Form 10-K, and on the ISDA close-out protocol, see Derivatives regulation below.

Holding company as source of strength for bank subsidiaries. JPMorgan Chase & Co. is required to serve as a source of financial strength for its depository institution subsidiaries and to commit resources to support those subsidiaries. This support may be required by the Federal Reserve at times when JPMorgan Chase might otherwise determine not to provide it.

Regulation of acquisitions. Acquisitions by bank holding companies and their banks are subject to multiple requirements by the Federal Reserve and the OCC. For example, financial holding companies and bank holding companies are required to obtain the approval of the Federal Reserve before they may acquire more than 5% of the voting shares of an unaffiliated bank. In addition,

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

acquisitions by financial companies are prohibited if, as a result of the acquisition, the total liabilities of the financial company would exceed 10% of the total liabilities of all financial companies. In contrast, because the liabilities of non-U.S. financial companies are calculated differently under this rule, a non-U.S. financial company could hold significantly more than 10% of the U.S. market without exceeding the concentration limit. In addition, for certain acquisitions, JPMorgan Chase must provide written notice to the Federal Reserve prior to acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of any voting shares of any company with over \$10 billion in assets that is engaged in activities that are "financial in nature".

#### JPMorgan Chase's subsidiary banks:

JPMorgan Chase's two primary subsidiary banks, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Chase Bank USA, N.A., are FDIC-insured national banks regulated by the OCC. As national banks, the activities of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Chase Bank USA, N.A. are limited to those specifically authorized under the National Bank Act and related interpretations by the OCC.

FDIC deposit insurance. The FDIC deposit insurance fund provides insurance coverage for certain deposits, which is funded through assessments on banks, such as JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Chase Bank USA, N.A. Changes in the methodology to calculate such assessments, resulting from the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act, significantly increased the assessments that JPMorgan Chase's bank subsidiaries pay annually to the FDIC. In October 2015, the FDIC proposed a new assessment surcharge on insured depository institutions with total consolidated assets greater than \$10 billion in order to raise the reserve ratio for the FDIC deposit insurance fund. Future FDIC rule-making could further increase such assessments.

FDIC powers upon a bank insolvency. Upon the insolvency of an insured depository institution, such as JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., the FDIC may be appointed as the conservator or receiver under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act ("FDIA"). In addition, as noted above, where a systemically important financial institution, such as JPMorgan Chase & Co., is "in default" or "in danger of default", the FDIC may be appointed as receiver in order to conduct an orderly liquidation. In both cases, the FDIC has broad powers to transfer any assets and liabilities without the approval of the institution's creditors.

Cross-guarantee. An FDIC-insured depository institution can be held liable for any loss incurred or expected to be incurred by the FDIC in connection with another FDIC-insured institution under common control with such institution being "in default" or "in danger of default" (commonly referred to as "cross-guarantee" liability). An FDIC cross-guarantee claim against a depository institution is generally superior in right of payment to claims of the holding company and its affiliates against such depository institution.

Prompt corrective action and early remediation. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 requires the relevant federal banking regulator to take "prompt corrective action" with respect to a depository institution if that institution does not meet certain capital adequacy standards. While these regulations apply only to banks, such as JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Chase Bank USA, N.A., the Federal Reserve is authorized to take appropriate action against the parent BHC, such as JPMorgan Chase & Co., based on the undercapitalized status of any bank subsidiary. In certain instances, the BHC would be required to guarantee the performance of the capital restoration plan for its undercapitalized subsidiary.

OCC Heightened Standards. The OCC has issued final regulations and guidelines establishing heightened standards for large banks. The guidelines establish minimum standards for the design and implementation of a risk governance framework for banks. While the bank may use certain components of the parent company's risk governance framework, the framework must ensure that the bank's risk profile is easily distinguished and separate from the parent for risk management purposes. The bank's board or risk committee is responsible for approving the bank's risk governance framework, providing active oversight of the bank's risk-taking activities and holding management accountable for adhering to the risk governance framework.

Restrictions on transactions with affiliates. The bank subsidiaries of JPMorgan Chase & Co. are subject to certain restrictions imposed by federal law on extensions of credit to, investments in stock or securities of, and derivatives. securities lending and certain other transactions with, JPMorgan Chase & Co. and certain other affiliates. These restrictions prevent JPMorgan Chase & Co. and other affiliates from borrowing from a bank subsidiary unless the loans are secured in specified amounts and comply with certain other requirements. For more information, see Note 25. In addition, the Volcker Rule imposes a prohibition on such transactions between any JPMorgan Chase entity and covered funds for which a JPMorgan Chase entity serves as the investment manager, investment advisor, commodity trading advisor or sponsor, as well as, subject to a limited exception, any covered fund controlled by such funds.

Dividend restrictions. Federal law imposes limitations on the payment of dividends by national banks, such as JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. See Note 25 for the amount of dividends that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. could pay, at January 1, 2016, to JPMorgan Chase without the approval of its banking regulators.

In addition to the dividend restrictions described above, the OCC, the Federal Reserve and the FDIC have authority to prohibit or limit the payment of dividends by the banking organizations they supervise, including JPMorgan Chase and its bank and BHC subsidiaries, if, in the banking regulator's opinion, payment of a dividend would constitute an unsafe

or unsound practice in light of the financial condition of the banking organization.

Depositor preference. Under federal law, the claims of a receiver of an insured depository institution for administrative expense and the claims of holders of U.S. deposit liabilities (including the FDIC) have priority over the claims of other unsecured creditors of the institution, including public noteholders and depositors in non-U.S. offices. As a result, such persons could receive substantially less than the depositors in U.S. offices of the depository institution. The U.K. Prudential Regulation Authority (the "PRA"), a subsidiary of the Bank of England which has responsibility for prudential regulation of banks and other systemically important institutions, has issued a proposal that may require JPMorgan Chase to either obtain equal treatment for U.K. depositors or "subsidiarize" in the U.K. In September 2013, the FDIC issued a final rule which clarifies that foreign deposits are considered deposits under the FDIA if they are payable in the U.S. as well as in the foreign branch.

CFPB regulation and supervision, and other consumer regulations. JPMorgan Chase and its national bank subsidiaries, including JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Chase Bank USA, N.A., are subject to supervision and regulation by the CFPB with respect to federal consumer protection laws, including laws relating to fair lending and the prohibition of unfair, deceptive or abusive acts or practices in connection with the offer, sale or provision of consumer financial products and services. These laws include the Truth-in-Lending, Equal Credit Opportunity ("ECOA"), Fair Credit Reporting, Fair Debt Collection Practice, Electronic Funds Transfer, Credit Card Accountability, Responsibility and Disclosure ("CARD") and Home Mortgage Disclosure Acts. The CFPB also has authority to impose new disclosure requirements for any consumer financial product or service. The CFPB has issued informal guidance on a variety of topics (such as the collection of consumer debts and credit card marketing practices) and has taken enforcement actions against certain financial institutions. Much of the CFPB's initial rulemaking efforts have addressed mortgage related topics, including ability to repay and qualified mortgage standards. mortgage servicing standards, loan originator compensation standards, high-cost mortgage requirements, Home Mortgage Disclosure Act requirements, appraisal and escrow standards and requirements for higher-priced mortgages. Other areas of recent focus include preauthorized electronic funds transfers, "add-on" products, matters involving consumer populations considered vulnerable by the CFPB (such as students), credit reporting, and the furnishing of credit scores to individuals. The CFPB has been focused on automobile dealer discretionary interest rate markups, and on holding JPMorgan Chase and other purchasers of such contracts ("indirect lenders") responsible under the ECOA for statistical disparities in markups charged by the dealers to borrowers of different

races or ethnicities. For information regarding a current investigation relating to indirect lending to automobile dealers, see Note 29.

The activities of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Chase Bank USA, N.A. as consumer lenders also are subject to regulation under various state statutes which are enforced by the respective state's Attorney General.

#### Securities and broker-dealer regulation:

JPMorgan Chase conducts securities underwriting, dealing and brokerage activities in the U.S. through J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and other broker-dealer subsidiaries, all of which are subject to regulations of the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority and the New York Stock Exchange, among others. JPMorgan Chase conducts similar securities activities outside the U.S. subject to local regulatory requirements. In the U.K., those activities are conducted by J.P. Morgan Securities plc, which is regulated by the PRA and by the Financial Conduct Authority ("FCA"), which regulates prudential matters for other firms and conduct matters for all market participants. Broker-dealers are subject to laws and regulations covering all aspects of the securities business, including sales and trading practices, securities offerings, publication of research reports, use of customer's funds, the financing of clients' purchases, capital structure, record-keeping and retention, and the conduct of their directors, officers and employees. For information on the net capital of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and J.P. Morgan Clearing Corp., and the applicable requirements relating to risk-based capital for J.P. Morgan Securities plc, see Broker-dealer regulatory capital on page 158 of the 2015 Form 10-K. Future rule-making under the Dodd-Frank Act and the Department of Labor will involve (among other things) the standard of care applicable to broker-dealers when dealing with customers.

#### Investment management regulation:

JPMorgan Chase's investment management business is subject to significant regulation in numerous jurisdictions around the world relating to, among other things, the safeguarding of client assets, offerings of funds, marketing activities, transactions among affiliates and management of client funds. Certain of JPMorgan Chase's subsidiaries are registered with, and subject to oversight by, the SEC as investment advisers. As such, JPMorgan Chase's registered investment advisers are subject to the fiduciary and other obligations imposed under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, as well as various state securities laws. For information regarding investigations and litigation in connection with disclosures to clients related to proprietary products, see Note 29.

JPMorgan Chase's asset management business continues to be affected by ongoing rule-making. In July 2013, the SEC adopted amendments to rules that govern money-market funds, requiring a floating net asset value for institutional prime money-market funds. The Department of Labor has

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

also proposed a rule that would significantly expand the universe of persons viewed as investment fiduciaries to retirement plans and IRAs. Further guidance on Volcker Rule regulations regarding "covered funds", and their impact on JPMorgan Chase's asset management activities, is expected from regulators.

#### **Derivatives regulation:**

JPMorgan Chase is subject to comprehensive regulation of its derivatives businesses. The regulations impose capital and margin requirements, require central clearing of standardized over-the-counter derivatives, require that certain standardized over-the-counter swaps be traded on regulated trading venues, and provide for reporting of certain mandated information. In addition, the Act requires the registration of "swap dealers" and "major swap participants" with the CFTC and of "security-based swap dealers" and "major security-based swap participants" with the SEC. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, J.P. Morgan Securities plc and J.P. Morgan Ventures Energy Corporation have registered with the CFTC as swap dealers, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities plc will likely be required to register with the SEC as security-based swap dealers. As a result of their registration as swap dealers or security-based swap dealers, these entities will be subject to a new, comprehensive regulatory framework applicable to their swap or security-based swap activities, which includes capital requirements, rules regulating their swap activities, rules requiring the collateralization of uncleared swaps, rules regarding segregation of counterparty collateral, business conduct and documentation standards, record-keeping and reporting obligations, and anti-fraud and anti-manipulation requirements. Further, some of the rules for derivatives apply extraterritorially to U.S. firms doing business with clients outside of the U.S., as well as to the overseas activities of non-U.S. subsidiaries of JPMorgan Chase that either deal with U.S. persons or that are guaranteed by U.S. subsidiaries of JPMorgan Chase; however, the full scope of the extra-territorial impact of the U.S. swaps regulation has not been finalized and therefore remains unclear. The effect of these rules may require banking entities, such as JPMorgan Chase, to modify the structure of their derivatives businesses and face increased operational and regulatory costs. In the European Union (the "EU"), the implementation of the European Market Infrastructure Regulation ("EMIR") and the revision of the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive ("MiFID II") will result in comparable, but not identical, changes to the European regulatory regime for derivatives. The combined effect of the U.S. and EU requirements, and the potential conflicts and inconsistencies between them, present challenges and risks to the structure and operating model of JPMorgan Chase's derivatives businesses.

JPMorgan Chase, along with 17 other financial institutions, agreed in November 2014 to adhere to the Resolution Stay Protocol developed by ISDA in response to regulator

concerns that the close-out of derivatives transactions during the resolution of a large cross-border financial institution could impede resolution efforts and potentially destabilize markets. The Resolution Stay Protocol provides for the contractual recognition of cross-border stays under various statutory resolution regimes and a contractual stay on certain cross-default rights.

In the U.S., two subsidiaries of JPMorgan Chase are registered as futures commission merchants, and other subsidiaries are either registered with the CFTC as commodity pool operators and commodity trading advisors or exempt from such registration. These CFTC-registered subsidiaries are also members of the National Futures Association.

#### Data regulation:

JPMorgan Chase and its subsidiaries are subject to federal, state and international laws and regulations concerning the use and protection of certain customer, employee and other personal and confidential information, including those imposed by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and the Fair Credit Reporting Act, as well as the EU Data Protection Directive.

In addition, there are numerous proposals pending before U.S. and non-U.S. legislative and regulatory bodies regarding privacy and data protection. For example, the European Parliament and the European Council have reached agreement on a data protection reform proposed by the European Commission which includes numerous operational requirements, adds a requirement to notify individuals of data breaches and establishes enhanced sanctions for non-compliance, including increased fines.

#### The Bank Secrecy Act and Economic Sanctions:

The Bank Secrecy Act ("BSA") requires all financial institutions, including banks and securities broker-dealers, to, among other things, establish a risk-based system of internal controls reasonably designed to prevent money laundering and the financing of terrorism. The BSA includes a variety of record-keeping and reporting requirements (such as cash transaction and suspicious activity reporting), as well as due diligence/know your customer documentation requirements. In January 2013, JPMorgan Chase entered into Consent Orders with its banking regulators relating to JPMorgan Chase's Bank Secrecy Act/ Anti-Money Laundering policies, procedures and controls; JPMorgan Chase has taken significant steps to modify and enhance its processes and controls with respect to its Anti-Money Laundering procedures and to remediate the issues identified in the Consent Order. JPMorgan Chase is also subject to the regulations and economic sanctions programs administered by the U.S. Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC").

#### **Anti-Corruption:**

JPMorgan Chase is subject to laws and regulations relating to corrupt and illegal payments to government officials and others in the jurisdictions in which it operates, including the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the U.K. Bribery Act. For more information on a current investigation relating to, among other things, JPMorgan Chase's hiring of persons referred by government officials and clients, see Note 29.

#### **Compensation practices:**

JPMorgan Chase's compensation practices are subject to oversight by the Federal Reserve, as well as other agencies. The Federal Reserve has issued guidance jointly with the FDIC and the OCC that is designed to ensure that incentive compensation paid by banking organizations does not encourage imprudent risk-taking that threatens the organizations' safety and soundness. In addition, under the Dodd-Frank Act, federal regulators, including the Federal Reserve, must issue regulations or guidelines requiring covered financial institutions, including JPMorgan Chase, to report the structure of all of their incentive-based compensation arrangements and prohibit incentive-based payment arrangements that encourage inappropriate risks by providing compensation that is excessive or that could lead to material financial loss to the institution. The Federal Reserve has conducted a review of the incentive compensation policies and practices of a number of large banking institutions, including JPMorgan Chase, and the supervisory findings of such review are incorporated in JPMorgan Chase's supervisory ratings. In addition to the Federal Reserve, the Financial Stability Board has established standards covering compensation principles for banks. In Europe, the Fourth Capital Requirements Directive (CRD IV) includes compensation provisions. In the U.K., compensation standards are governed by the Remuneration Code of the PRA and the FCA. The implementation of the Federal Reserve's and other banking regulators' guidelines regarding compensation are expected to evolve over the next several years, and may affect the manner in which JPMorgan Chase structures its compensation programs and practices.

#### Significant international regulatory initiatives:

The EU operates a European Systemic Risk Board which monitors financial stability, together with European Supervisory Agencies which set detailed regulatory rules and encourage supervisory convergence across the 28 Member States. The EU has also created a Single Supervisory Mechanism for the euro-zone, under which the regulation of all banks in that zone will be under the auspices of the European Central Bank, together with a Single Resolution Mechanism and Single Resolution Board, having jurisdiction over bank resolution in the zone. At both global and EU levels, various proposals are under consideration to address risks associated with global financial institutions. Some of the initiatives adopted include increased capital requirements for certain trading instruments or exposures and compensation limits on certain employees located in affected countries.

In the EU, there is an extensive and complex program of final and proposed regulatory enhancement which reflects, in part, the EU's commitments to policies of the Group of Twenty Finance Ministers and Central Bank Governors ("G-20") together with other plans specific to the EU. This program includes EMIR, which requires, among other things, the central clearing of standardized derivatives; and MiFID II, which gives effect to the G-20 commitment to trading of derivatives through central clearing houses and exchanges and also includes significantly enhanced requirements for pre- and post-trade transparency and a significant reconfiguration of the regulatory supervision of execution venues.

The EU is also currently considering or implementing significant revisions to laws covering: depositary activities; credit rating activities; resolution of banks, investment firms and market infrastructures; anti-money-laundering controls; data security and privacy; corporate governance in financial firms; and implementation in the EU of the Basel III capital and liquidity standards.

Following the issuance of the Report of the High Level Expert Group on Reforming the Structure of the EU Banking Sector (the "Liikanen Group"), the EU has proposed legislation providing for a proprietary trading ban and mandatory separation of other trading activities within certain banks, while various EU Member States have separately enacted similar measures. In the U.K., legislation was adopted that mandates the separation (or "ringfencing") of deposit-taking activities from securities trading and other analogous activities within banks, subject to certain exemptions. The legislation includes the supplemental recommendation of the Parliamentary Commission on Banking Standards (the "Tyrie Commission") that such ring-fences should be "electrified" by the imposition of mandatory forced separation on banking institutions that are deemed to test the limits of the safeguards. Parallel but distinct provisions have been enacted by the French, Belgian and German governments. These measures may separately or taken together have significant implications for JPMorgan Chase's organizational structure in Europe, as well as its permitted activities and capital deployment in the EU.

U.K. regulators are introducing a range of policy measures that make significant changes to the regulatory environment in the U.K. Alongside broader recommendations made by the Fair and Effective Markets Review which focused on fixed income currencies and commodities markets, there is a focus by U.K. regulators on raising standards and accountability of individuals, and promoting forward-looking conduct risk identification and mitigation, including by introducing the new Senior Managers and Certification Regimes.

#### Consolidation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and other entities in which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has a controlling financial interest. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

Assets held for clients in an agency or fiduciary capacity by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. are not assets of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and are not included on the Consolidated balance sheets.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. determines whether it has a controlling financial interest in an entity by first evaluating whether the entity is a voting interest entity or a variable interest entity ("VIE").

#### **Voting Interest Entities**

Voting interest entities are entities that have sufficient equity and provide the equity investors voting rights that enable them to make significant decisions relating to the entity's operations. For these types of entities, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s determination of whether it has a controlling interest is primarily based on the amount of voting equity interests held. Entities in which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has a controlling financial interest, through ownership of the majority of the entities' voting equity interests, or through other contractual rights that give JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. control, are consolidated by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

Investments in companies in which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has significant influence over operating and financing decisions (but does not own a majority of the voting equity interests) are accounted for (i) in accordance with the equity method of accounting (which requires JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to recognize its proportionate share of the entity's net earnings), or (ii) at fair value if the fair value option was elected. These investments are generally included in other assets, with income or loss included in other income.

Certain JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-sponsored asset management funds are structured as limited partnerships or limited liability companies. While JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. acts as investment advisor for these structures and is responsible for day to day decision-making, equity interests held in the structures, if any, are insignificant and the non-affiliated members of the Boards of Directors have the ability to remove JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as advisor without cause. Accordingly, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not consolidate these funds.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s investment companies have investments in both publicly-held and privately-held entities. These investments are accounted for under investment company guidelines and accordingly, irrespective of the percentage of equity ownership interests held, are carried on the Consolidated balance sheets at fair value, and are recorded in other assets.

#### Variable Interest Entities

VIEs are entities that, by design, either (1) lack sufficient equity to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties, or (2) have equity investors that do not have the ability to make significant decisions relating to the entity's operations through voting rights, or do not have the

obligation to absorb the expected losses, or do not have the right to receive the residual returns of the entity.

The most common type of VIE is a special purpose entity ("SPE"). SPEs are commonly used in securitization transactions in order to isolate certain assets and distribute the cash flows from those assets to investors. The basic SPE structure involves a company selling assets to the SPE; the SPE funds the purchase of those assets by issuing securities to investors. The legal documents that govern the transaction specify how the cash earned on the assets must be allocated to the SPE's investors and other parties that have rights to those cash flows. SPEs are generally structured to insulate investors from claims on the SPE's assets by creditors of other entities, including the creditors of the seller of the assets.

The primary beneficiary of a VIE (i.e., the party that has a controlling financial interest) is required to consolidate the assets and liabilities of the VIE. The primary beneficiary is the party that has both (1) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance; and (2) through its interests in the VIE, the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

To assess whether JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. considers all the facts and circumstances, including its role in establishing the VIE and its ongoing rights and responsibilities. This assessment includes, first, identifying the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance; and second, identifying which party, if any, has power over those activities. In general, the parties that make the most significant decisions affecting the VIE (such as asset managers, collateral managers, servicers, or owners of call options or liquidation rights over the VIE's assets) or have the right to unilaterally remove those decision-makers are deemed to have the power to direct the activities of a VIE.

To assess whether JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. considers all of its economic interests, including debt and equity investments, servicing fees, and derivatives or other arrangements deemed to be variable interests in the VIE. This assessment requires that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. apply judgment in determining whether these interests, in the aggregate, are considered potentially significant to the VIE. Factors considered in assessing significance include: the design of the VIE, including its capitalization structure; subordination of interests: payment priority: relative share of interests held across various classes within the VIE's capital structure; and the reasons why the interests are held by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. performs on-going reassessments of: (1) whether entities previously evaluated under the majority voting-interest framework have become VIEs, based on certain events, and therefore subject to the VIE consolidation framework; and (2) whether changes in the facts and circumstances regarding JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s involvement with a VIE cause JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s consolidation conclusion to change.

In February 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued an amendment which deferred the requirements of the accounting guidance for VIEs for certain investment funds, including mutual funds, private equity funds and hedge funds. For the funds to which the deferral applies, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. continues to apply other existing authoritative accounting guidance to determine whether such funds should be consolidated.

# Use of estimates in the preparation of consolidated financial statements

The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenue and expense, and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could be different from these estimates.

#### Foreign currency translation

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. revalues assets, liabilities, revenue and expense denominated in non-U.S. currencies into U.S. dollars using applicable exchange rates.

Gains and losses relating to translating functional currency financial statements for U.S. reporting are included in other comprehensive income/(loss) ("OCI") within stockholder's equity. Gains and losses relating to nonfunctional currency transactions, including non-U.S. operations where the functional currency is the U.S. dollar, are reported in the Consolidated statements of income.

#### Offsetting assets and liabilities

U.S. GAAP permits entities to present derivative receivables and derivative payables with the same counterparty and the related cash collateral receivables and payables on a net basis on the Consolidated balance sheets when a legally enforceable master netting agreement exists. U.S. GAAP also permits securities sold and purchased under repurchase agreements to be presented net when specified conditions are met, including the existence of a legally enforceable master netting agreement. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has elected to net such balances when the specified conditions are met.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. uses master netting agreements with third parties and affiliates to mitigate counterparty credit risk in certain transactions, including derivatives transactions, repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowed and loaned agreements. A master netting agreement is a single contract with a counterparty that permits multiple

transactions governed by that contract to be terminated and settled through a single payment in a single currency in the event of a default (e.g., bankruptcy, failure to make a required payment or securities transfer or deliver collateral or margin when due after expiration of any grace period). Upon the exercise of termination rights by the nondefaulting party (i) all transactions are terminated, (ii) all transactions are valued and the positive value or "in the money" transactions are netted against the negative value or "out of the money" transactions and (iii) the only remaining payment obligation is of one of the parties to pay the netted termination amount. Upon exercise of repurchase agreement and securities loan default rights in general (i) all transactions are terminated and accelerated, (ii) all values of securities or cash held or to be delivered are calculated, and all such sums are netted against each other and (iii) the only remaining payment obligation is of one of the parties to pay the netted termination amount.

Typical master netting agreements for these types of transactions also often contain a collateral/margin agreement that provides for a security interest in, or title transfer of, securities or cash collateral/margin to the party that has the right to demand margin (the "demanding party"). The collateral/margin agreement typically requires a party to transfer collateral/margin to the demanding party with a value equal to the amount of the margin deficit on a net basis across all transactions governed by the master netting agreement, less any threshold. The collateral/margin agreement grants to the demanding party, upon default by the counterparty, the right to set-off any amounts payable by the counterparty against any posted collateral or the cash equivalent of any posted collateral/margin. It also grants to the demanding party the right to liquidate collateral/margin and to apply the proceeds to an amount payable by the counterparty.

For further discussion of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s derivative instruments, see Note 7. For further discussion of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and lending agreements, see Note 14.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Investments in qualified affordable housing projects

Effective January 1, 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. adopted new accounting guidance for investments in affordable housing projects that qualify for the low-income housing tax credit, which impacted the corporate & investment banking business. As a result of the adoption of this new guidance, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. made an accounting policy election to amortize the initial cost of its qualifying investments in proportion to the tax credits and other benefits received, and to present the amortization as a component of income tax expense; previously such amounts were predominantly presented in other income. The guidance was required to be applied retrospectively, and accordingly, certain prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current period presentation. The cumulative effect on retained earnings was a reduction of \$265 million as of January 1, 2013. The adoption of this accounting guidance resulted in an increase of \$849 million and \$868 million in other income and income tax expense, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2014 and \$687 million and \$733 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2013, which led to an increase of approximately 3% and 2%, respectively, in the effective tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. The impact on net income in the periods affected was not material. For further information, see Note 24.

#### Statements of cash flows

16

For JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated statements of cash flows, cash is defined as those amounts included in cash and due from banks.

#### Significant accounting policies

The following table identifies JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s other significant accounting policies and the Note and page where a detailed description of each policy can be found.

Fair value measurement	Note 4	Page 19
Fair value option	Note 5	Page 37
Derivative instruments	Note 7	Page 41
Noninterest revenue	Note 8	Page 56
Interest income and interest expense	Note 9	Page 58
Pension and other postretirement employee benefit plans	Note 10	Page 58
Employee stock-based incentives	Note 11	Page 63
Securities	Note 13	Page 65
Securities financing activities	Note 14	Page 71
Loans	Note 15	Page 75
Allowance for credit losses	Note 16	Page 94
Variable interest entities	Note 17	Page 98
Goodwill and other intangible assets	Note 18	Page 107
Premises and equipment	Note 19	Page 109
Long-term debt	Note 21	Page 110
Income taxes	Note 24	Page 114
Off-balance sheet lending-related financial instruments, guarantees and other commitments	Note 27	Dago 110
		Page 119
Litigation	Note 29	Page 127

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Note 2 - Accounting and reporting developments

#### Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Standards Adopted during 2015

Standard	Summary of guidance	Effects on financial statements
Simplifying the presentation of debt issuance costs	<ul> <li>Requires that unamortized debt issuance costs be presented as a reduction of the applicable liability rather than as an asset.</li> <li>Does not impact the amortization method for these costs.</li> </ul>	Adopted October 1, 2015 <sup>(a)</sup> There was no material impact on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets, and no impact on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated results of operations.
Disclosures for investments in certain entities that calculate net asset value per share (or its equivalent)	<ul> <li>Removes the requirement to categorize investments measured under the net asset value ("NAV") practical expedient from the fair value hierarchy.</li> <li>Limits disclosures required for investments that are eligible to be measured using the NAV practical expedient to investments for which the entity has elected the practical expedient.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adopted April 1, 2015</li> <li>The application of this guidance only affected the disclosures related to these investments and had no impact on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets or results of operations.</li> <li>For further information, see Note 4.<sup>(a)</sup></li> </ul>
Repurchase agreements and similar transactions	<ul> <li>Amends the accounting for certain secured financing transactions.</li> <li>Requires enhanced disclosures with respect to transactions recognized as sales in which exposure to the derecognized assets is retained through a separate agreement with the counterparty.</li> <li>Requires enhanced disclosures with respect to the types of financial assets pledged in secured financing transactions and the remaining contractual maturity of the secured financing transactions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Accounting amendments adopted January 1, 2015</li> <li>Disclosure enhancements adopted April 1, 2015</li> <li>There was no material impact on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated Financial Statements.</li> <li>For further information, see Note 7 and Note 14.</li> </ul>
Reporting discontinued operations and disclosures of disposals of components of an entity	<ul> <li>Changes the criteria for determining whether a disposition qualifies for discontinued operations presentation.</li> <li>Requires enhanced disclosures about discontinued operations and significant dispositions that do not qualify to be presented as discontinued operations.</li> </ul>	Adopted January 1, 2015     There was no material impact on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated Financial Statements
Investments in qualified affordable housing projects	<ul> <li>Applies to accounting for investments in affordable housing projects that qualify for the low-income housing tax credit.</li> <li>Replaces the effective yield method and allows companies to make an accounting policy election to amortize the initial cost of its investments in proportion to the tax credits and other benefits received if certain criteria are met, and to present the amortization as a component of income tax expense.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adopted January 1, 2015</li> <li>For further information, see Note 1.<sup>(a)</sup></li> </ul>

<sup>(</sup>a) The guidance was required to be applied retrospectively and accordingly, certain prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current period presentation.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### **FASB Standards Issued but not yet Adopted**

Standard	Summary of guidance	Effects on financial statements	
Amendments to the consolidation analysis Issued February 2015	<ul> <li>Eliminates the deferral issued by the FASB in February 2010 of certain VIE-related accounting requirements for certain investment funds, including mutual funds, private equity funds and hedge funds.</li> <li>Amends the evaluation of fees paid to a decision maker or a service provider, and exempts certain money market funds from consolidation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Required effective date January 1, 2016</li> <li>Will not have a material impact on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated Financial Statements.</li> </ul>	
Measuring the financial assets and financial liabilities of a consolidated collateralized financing entity  Issued August 2014	<ul> <li>Provides an alternative for consolidated financing VIEs to elect: (1) to measure their financial assets and liabilities separately under existing U.S. GAAP for fair value measurement with any differences in such fair values reflected in earnings; or (2) to measure both their financial assets and liabilities using the more observable of the fair value of the financial assets or the fair value of the financial liabilities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Required effective date January 1, 2016</li> <li>Will not have a material impact on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated Financial Statements.</li> </ul>	
Revenue recognition - revenue from contracts with customers  Issued May 2014	<ul> <li>Requires that revenue from contracts with customers be recognized upon transfer of control of a good or service in the amount of consideration expected to be received.</li> <li>Changes the accounting for certain contract costs, including whether they may be offset against revenue in the statements of income, and requires additional disclosures about revenue and contract costs.</li> <li>May be adopted using a full retrospective approach or a modified, cumulative effect-type approach wherein the guidance is applied only to existing contracts as of the date of initial application, and to new contracts transacted after that date.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Required effective date January 1, 2018<sup>(a)</sup></li> <li>Because the guidance does not apply to revenue associated with financial instruments, including loans and securities that are accounted for under other U.S. GAAP, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not expect the new revenue recognition guidance to have a material impact on the elements of its statements of income most closely associated with financial instruments, including Securities Gains, Interest Income and Interest Expense.</li> <li>JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. plans to adopt the revenue recognition guidance in the first quarter of 2018 and is currently evaluating the potential impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements and its selection of transition method.</li> </ul>	
Recognition and measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities Issued January 2016	<ul> <li>Requires that certain equity instruments be measured at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings.</li> <li>For financial liabilities where the fair value option has been elected, the portion of the total change in fair value caused by changes in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s own credit risk is required to be presented separately in Other comprehensive income ("OCI").</li> <li>Generally requires a cumulative-effective adjustment to its retained earnings as of the beginning of the reporting period of adoption.</li> </ul>	Required effective date January 1, 2018  Early adoption is permitted for the requirement to report changes in fair value due to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A's own credit risk subsequent to the issuance of each liability in OCI, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is planning to early adopt this guidance during 2016.  JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is currently evaluating the potential impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements.	

(a) Early adoption is permitted.

#### Note 3 - Business changes and developments Internal transfers of legal entities under common control

From time to time there may be transfers of legal entities under common control between JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and JPMorgan Chase. Such transfers are accounted for at historical cost in accordance with U.S. GAAP. However, all transfers were reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements prospectively, and not as of the beginning of the applicable periods presented, because the impact of the transfers was not material to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated Financial Statements.

On August 31, 2015, JPMorgan Chase merged its wholly-owned subsidiary, JPMorgan Bank and Trust Company, N.A. ("JPMBT"), into JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. JPMBT's principal activity was a borrowing relationship with the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco ("FHLB SF"); and a custody business serving California insurance companies and other institutions. At the time of the merger, JPMBT had approximately \$15.9 billion of assets, predominantly consisting of \$9.9 billion of deposits with banks and \$4.9 billion of loans; liabilities were \$14.3 billion, consisting of long-term debt. There were no other significant transfers of legal entities for the year ended December 31, 2015.

During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, there were no significant transfers of legal entities.

#### Subsequent events

In preparing these Consolidated Financial Statements, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. performed an evaluation of material events subsequent to December 31, 2015, and through February 24, 2016, the date these financial statements were available to be issued.

#### Note 4 - Fair value measurement

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. carries a portion of its assets and liabilities at fair value. These assets and liabilities are predominantly carried at fair value on a recurring basis (i.e., assets and liabilities that are measured and reported at fair value on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets). Certain assets (e.g., certain mortgage, home equity and other loans where the carrying value is based on the fair value of the underlying collateral), liabilities and unfunded lending-related commitments are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, they are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments only in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment).

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is based on quoted market prices, where available. If listed prices or quotes are not available, fair value is based on models that consider

relevant transaction characteristics (such as maturity) and use as inputs observable or unobservable market parameters, including but not limited to yield curves, interest rates, volatilities, equity or debt prices, foreign exchange rates and credit curves. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value, as described below.

The level of precision in estimating unobservable market inputs or other factors can affect the amount of gain or loss recorded for a particular position. Furthermore, while JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with those of other market participants, the methods and assumptions used reflect management judgment and may vary across JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s businesses and portfolios.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. uses various methodologies and assumptions in the determination of fair value. The use of different methodologies or assumptions by other market participants compared with those used by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

#### Valuation process

Risk-taking functions are responsible for providing fair value estimates for assets and liabilities carried on the Consolidated balance sheets at fair value. JPMorgan Chase's valuation control function, which is part of JPMorgan Chase's Finance function and independent of the risk-taking functions, is responsible for verifying these estimates and determining any fair value adjustments that may be required to ensure that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s positions are recorded at fair value. In addition, JPMorgan Chase firmwide Valuation Governance Forum ("VGF") is composed of senior finance and risk executives and is responsible for overseeing the management of risks arising from valuation activities conducted across JPMorgan Chase. The VGF is chaired by the Firmwide head of the valuation control function (under the direction of JPMorgan Chase's Chief Financial Officer ("CFO")), and includes sub-forums covering the corporate & investment banking business, the consumer & community banking business, commercial banking business, asset management and certain corporate functions including Treasury and Chief Investment Office ("CIO").

The valuation control function verifies fair value estimates provided by the risk-taking functions by leveraging independently derived prices, valuation inputs and other market data, where available. Where independent prices or inputs are not available, additional review is performed by the valuation control function to ensure the reasonableness of the estimates. The review may include evaluating the limited market activity including client unwinds, benchmarking of valuation inputs to those for similar instruments, decomposing the valuation of structured instruments into individual components, comparing expected to actual cash flows, reviewing profit and loss

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

trends, and reviewing trends in collateral valuation. There are also additional levels of management review for more significant or complex positions.

The valuation control function determines any valuation adjustments that may be required to the estimates provided by the risk-taking functions. No adjustments are applied to the quoted market price for instruments classified within level 1 of the fair value hierarchy (see below for further information on the fair value hierarchy). For other positions, judgment is required to assess the need for valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect liquidity considerations, unobservable parameters, and, for certain portfolios that meet specified criteria, the size of the net open risk position. The determination of such adjustments follows a consistent framework across JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.:

- Liquidity valuation adjustments are considered where an observable external price or valuation parameter exists but is of lower reliability, potentially due to lower market activity. Liquidity valuation adjustments are applied and determined based on current market conditions. Factors that may be considered in determining the liquidity adjustment include analysis of: (1) the estimated bid-offer spread for the instrument being traded; (2) alternative pricing points for similar instruments in active markets; and (3) the range of reasonable values that the price or parameter could take.
- JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. manages certain portfolios
   of financial instruments on the basis of net open risk
   exposure and, as permitted by U.S. GAAP, has elected
   to estimate the fair value of such portfolios on the basis
   of a transfer of the entire net open risk position in an
   orderly transaction. Where this is the case, valuation
   adjustments may be necessary to reflect the cost of
   exiting a larger-than-normal market-size net open risk
   position. Where applied, such adjustments are based on
   factors that a relevant market participant would
   consider in the transfer of the net open risk position,
   including the size of the adverse market move that is
   likely to occur during the period required to reduce the
   net open risk position to a normal market-size.
- Unobservable parameter valuation adjustments may be made when positions are valued using prices or input parameters to valuation models that are unobservable due to a lack of market activity or because they cannot be implied from observable market data. Such prices or parameters must be estimated and are, therefore, subject to management judgment. Unobservable parameter valuation adjustments are applied to reflect the uncertainty inherent in the resulting valuation estimate.

Where appropriate, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also applies adjustments to its estimates of fair value in order to

appropriately reflect counterparty credit quality, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s own creditworthiness and the impact of funding, utilizing a consistent framework across JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

#### Valuation model review and approval

If prices or quotes are not available for an instrument or a similar instrument, fair value is generally determined using valuation models that consider relevant transaction data such as maturity and use as inputs market-based or independently sourced parameters. Where this is the case the price verification process described above is applied to the inputs to those models.

The Model Risk function is independent of the model owners. It reviews and approves a wide range of models, including risk management, valuation and regulatory capital models used by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. The Model Risk review and governance functions are part of JPMorgan Chase's Model Risk unit, and its Model Risk Executive reports to JPMorgan Chase's Chief Risk Officer ("CRO"). When reviewing a model, the Model Risk function analyzes and challenges the model methodology, and the reasonableness of model assumptions and may perform or require additional testing, including back-testing of model outcomes.

New valuation models, as well as material changes to existing valuation models, are reviewed and approved prior to implementation except where specified conditions are met, including the approval of an exception granted by the head of the Model Risk function. The Model Risk function performs an annual status assessment that considers developments in the product or market to determine whether valuation models which have already been reviewed need to be, on a full or partial basis, reviewed and approved again.

#### Valuation hierarchy

A three-level valuation hierarchy has been established under U.S. GAAP for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based on the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows.

- Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
- Level 3 one or more inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following table describes the valuation methodologies generally used by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to measure its significant products/instruments at fair value, including the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Product/instrument	Valuation methodology	Classifications in the valuation hierarchy
Securities financing agreements	Valuations are based on discounted cash flows, which consider:	Level 2
	<ul> <li>Derivative features: for further information refer to the discussion of derivatives below.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Market rates for the respective maturity</li> </ul>	
	• Collateral	
oans and lending-related commit	ments - wholesale	
Trading portfolio	Where observable market data is available, valuations are based on:	Level 2 or 3
	<ul> <li>Observed market prices (circumstances are infrequent)</li> </ul>	
	Relevant broker quotes	
	<ul> <li>Observed market prices for similar instruments</li> </ul>	
	Where observable market data is unavailable or limited, valuations are based on discounted cash flows, which consider the following:	
	<ul> <li>Credit spreads derived from the cost of credit default swaps ("CDS"); or benchmark credit curves developed by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., by industry and credit rating</li> </ul>	
	Prepayment speed	
Loans held for investment and	Valuations are based on discounted cash flows, which consider:	Predominantly level 3
associated lending related commitments	<ul> <li>Credit spreads, derived from the cost of CDS; or benchmark credit curves developed by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., by industry and credit rating</li> </ul>	
	Prepayment speed	
	Lending related commitments are valued similar to loans and reflect the portion of an unused commitment expected, based on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s average portfolio historical experience, to become funded prior to an obligor default	
	For information regarding the valuation of loans measured at collateral value, see Note 15.	
oans – consumer		
Held for investment consumer	Valuations are based on discounted cash flows, which consider:	Predominantly level 3
loans, excluding credit card	<ul> <li>Expected lifetime credit losses -considering expected and current default rates, and loss severity</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Prepayment speed</li> </ul>	
	Discount rates	
	Servicing costs	
	For information regarding the valuation of loans measured at collateral value, see Note 15.	
Held for investment credit card receivables	Valuations are based on discounted cash flows, which consider:	Level 3
	<ul> <li>Credit costs - allowance for loan losses is considered a reasonable proxy for the credit cost</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Projected interest income, late fee revenue and loan repayment rates</li> </ul>	
	Discount rates	
	Servicing costs	
Trading loans - conforming residential mortgage loans expected to be sold	Fair value is based upon observable prices for mortgage-backed securities with similar collateral and incorporates adjustments to these prices to account for differences between the securities and the value of the underlying loans, which include credit characteristics, portfolio composition, and liquidity.	Predominantly level 2

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

Product/instrument	Valuation methodology, inputs and assumptions	Classifications in the valuation hierarchy
nvestment and trading securities	Quoted market prices are used where available.	Level 1
	In the absence of quoted market prices, securities are valued based on:	Level 2 or 3
	Observable market prices for similar securities	
	Relevant broker quotes	
	Discounted cash flows	
	In addition, the following inputs to discounted cash flows are used for the following products:	
	Mortgage- and asset-backed securities specific inputs:	
	Collateral characteristics	
	Deal-specific payment and loss allocations	
	<ul> <li>Current market assumptions related to yield, prepayment speed, conditional default rates and loss severity</li> </ul>	
	Collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs"), specific inputs:	
	Collateral characteristics	
	Deal-specific payment and loss allocations	
	• Expected prepayment speed, conditional default rates, loss severity	
	Credit spreads	
	Credit rating data	
Physical commodities	Valued using observable market prices or data	Predominantly Level 1 and 2
Derivatives	Exchange-traded derivatives that are actively traded and valued using the exchange price.	Level 1
	Derivatives that are valued using models such as the Black-Scholes option pricing model, simulation models, or a combination of models, that use observable or unobservable valuation inputs (e.g. plain vanilla options and interest rate and credit default swaps). Inputs include:	Level 2 or 3
	Contractual terms including the period to maturity	
	Readily observable parameters including interest rates and volatility	
	• Credit quality of the counterparty and of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	
	Market funding levels	
	Correlation levels	
	In addition, the following specific inputs are used for the following derivatives that are valued based on models with significant unobservable inputs:	
	Structured credit derivatives specific inputs include:	
	CDS spreads and recovery rates	
	<ul> <li>Credit correlation between the underlying debt instruments (levels are modeled on a transaction basis and calibrated to liquid benchmark tranche indices)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Actual transactions, where available, are used to regularly recalibrate unobservable parameters</li> </ul>	
	Certain long-dated equity option specific inputs include:	
	Long-dated equity volatilities	
	Certain interest rate and foreign exchange ("FX") exotic options specific inputs include:	
	Interest rate correlation	
	Interest rate spread volatility	
	Foreign exchange correlation	
	Correlation between interest rates and foreign exchange rates     Dayameters describing the evalution of underlying interest rates.	
	<ul> <li>Parameters describing the evolution of underlying interest rates</li> <li>Certain commodity derivatives specific inputs include:</li> </ul>	
	Commodity volatility	
	Forward commodity price	
	Additionally, adjustments are made to reflect counterparty credit quality (credit valuation adjustments or "CVA"), JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s own creditworthiness (debit valuation adjustments or "DVA"), and funding valuation adjustment ("FVA") to incorporate the impact of funding.	

Product/instrument	Valuation methodology, inputs and assumptions	Classification in the valuation hierarchy
Mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs")	See Mortgage servicing rights in Note 18.	Level 3
Retained interests in credit card securitizations	Valuations are based on discounted cash flows, which consider: • Expected credit losses • Average payment rate • Yield	Level 3
Fund investments (i.e., mutual/ collective investment funds, private equity funds, hedge	Net asset value ("NAV")  • NAV is validated by sufficient level of observable activity (i.e., purchases and sales)	Level 1
funds, and real estate funds)	<ul> <li>Adjustments to the NAV as required, for restrictions on redemption (e.g., lock up periods or withdrawal limitations) or where observable activity is limited</li> </ul>	Level 2 or 3 <sup>(a)</sup>
Beneficial interests issued by	Valued using observable market information, where available	Level 2 or 3
consolidated VIEs	In the absence of observable market information, valuations are based on the fair value of the underlying assets held by the VIE	
Long-term debt, not carried at	Valuations are based on discounted cash flows, which consider:	Predominantly level 2
fair value	<ul> <li>Market rates for respective maturity</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s own creditworthiness (DVA).</li> </ul>	
Structured notes (included in deposits, other borrowed funds and long-term debt)	<ul> <li>Valuations are based on discounted cash flow analyses that consider the embedded derivative and the terms and payment structure of the note.</li> <li>The embedded derivative features are considered using models such as the Black-Scholes option pricing model, simulation models, or a combination of models that use observable or unobservable valuation inputs, depending on the embedded derivative. The specific inputs used vary according to the nature of the embedded derivative features, as described in the discussion above regarding derivative valuation. Adjustments are then made to this base valuation to reflect JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s own creditworthiness (DVA) and to incorporate the impact of funding (FVA).</li> </ul>	Level 2 or 3

<sup>(</sup>a) Excludes certain investments that are measured at fair value using the net asset value per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

The following table presents the asset and liabilities reported at fair value as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 by major product category and fair value hierarchy.

#### Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

	Fa	air value hierarchy					
December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Level 1	Level 2		Level 3	Der a	ivative netting adjustments Tot	tal fair value
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	\$ - \$	7,970	\$	_	\$	- \$	7,970
Securities borrowed	_	395		-		_	395
Trading assets:							
Debt instruments:							
Mortgage-backed securities:							
U.S. government agencies <sup>(a)</sup>	_	- 733		664		_	664 752
Residential - nonagency Commercial - nonagency	_	222		19 6		_	228
Total mortgage-backed securities		955		689			1,644
U.S. Treasury and government agencies <sup>(a)</sup>	6,419	10		-		_	6,429
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	-	3,855		26		_	3,881
Certificates of deposit, bankers' acceptances and commercial paper	_	175		_		_	175
Non-U.S. government debt securities	27,974	24,988		74		_	53,036
Corporate debt securities	· –	15,464		482		_	15,946
Loans	_	21,813		5,364		_	27,177
Asset-backed securities		445		78			523
Total debt instruments	 34,393	67,705		6,713		-	108,811
Equity securities	61,987	45		88		_	62,120
Physical commodities <sup>(b)</sup>	449	-		-		-	449
Other	_	11,268		342		_	11,610
Total debt and equity instruments <sup>(c)</sup>	96,829	79,018		7,143		-	182,990
Derivative receivables:							
Interest rate	250	676,849		2,767		(652,767)	27,099
Credit	-	48,965		2,618		(50,159)	1,424
Foreign exchange	691	178,551		1,616		(163,421)	17,437
Equity	-	52,695		999		(47,938)	5,756
Commodity	 108	40,588		32		(32,948)	7,780
Total derivative receivables <sup>(d)</sup>	1,049	997,648		8,032		(947,233)	59,496
Total trading assets	 97,878	1,076,666		15,175		(947,233)	242,486
Available-for-sale securities:							
Mortgage-backed securities:							
U.S. government agencies <sup>(a)</sup>	_	55,066		_		_	55,066
Residential - nonagency	_	27,618		1		_	27,619
Commercial - nonagency	 	22,316					22,316
Total mortgage-backed securities	10,998	105,000 38		1		-	105,001 11,036
U.S. Treasury and government agencies <sup>(a)</sup> Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	10,998	30,405				_	30,405
Certificates of deposit	_	283		_		_	283
Non-U.S. government debt securities	23,187	13,477		_		_	36,664
Corporate debt securities	23,107	12,436		_		_	12,436
Asset-backed securities:		12,130					12,130
Collateralized loan obligations	_	30,248		759		_	31,007
Other	_	9,034		20		_	9,054
Equity securities	69	_		_		_	69
Total available-for-sale securities	34,254	200,921		780		_	235,955
Loans	_	1,344		1,408		_	2,752
Mortgage servicing rights	_	_		6,608		_	6,608
Other assets	3	28		5,670		_	5,701
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 132,135 \$	1,287,324	e) \$	29,641 <sup>(</sup>	e) \$	(947,233) \$	501,867
Deposits	\$ - \$	9,877	\$	2,970	\$	- \$	12,847
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements	_	728		_		_	728
Other borrowed funds	-	6,044		636		-	6,680
Trading liabilities:							
Debt and equity instruments <sup>(c)</sup>	34,609	13,612		48		-	48,269
Derivative payables:							
Interest rate	112	644,034		2,162		(634,494)	11,814
Credit	_	48,789		2,083		(49,102)	1,770
Foreign exchange	638	189,005		2,514		(171,836)	20,321
Equity	_	53,569		2,222		(47,092)	8,699
Commodity	52	41,095		1,356		(32,323)	10,180
Total derivative payables <sup>(d)</sup>	802	976,492		10,337		(934,847)	52,784
Total trading liabilities	35,411	990,104		10,385		(934,847)	101,053
Accounts payable and other liabilities	 6,652	_		_		-	6,652
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs	-	-		-		-	_
Long-term debt	_	7,946		6,783		_	14,729
Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 42,063 \$	1,014,699	\$	20,774	\$	(934,847) \$	142,689

		Fa	air value hierarch	У				
December 31, 2014 (in millions)		Level 1	Level 2		Level 3	Derivative netting adjustments	Total	fair value
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	\$	- \$	14,265	\$	_	\$ -	\$	14,265
Securities borrowed	·		992		_	_		992
Trading assets:								
Debt instruments:								
Mortgage-backed securities:								
U.S. government agencies <sup>(a)</sup>		_	-		904	-		904
Residential - nonagency		_	509		438	-		947
Commercial - nonagency		_	151		217			368
Total mortgage-backed securities		_	660		1,559	-		2,219
U.S. Treasury and government agencies(a)		8,238	21		-	-		8,259
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities		-	6,007		59	-		6,066
Certificates of deposit, bankers' acceptances and commercial paper		-	146		-	-		146
Non-U.S. government debt securities		25,854	27,240		302	-		53,396
Corporate debt securities		-	17,239		2,756	-		19,995
Loans		-	22,658		9,830	-		32,488
Asset-backed securities	_	_	251		374			625
Total debt instruments		34,092	74,222		14,880	-		123,194
Equity securities		53,831	56		73	-		53,960
Physical commodities <sup>(b)</sup>		2,501	1,023		1	-		3,525
Other		_	9,080		1,183			10,263
Total debt and equity instruments(c)		90,424	84,381		16,137	-		190,942
Derivative receivables:								
Interest rate		284	945,011	(e)	4,125	(916,081)	(e)	33,339
Credit		_	73,860		3,008	(75,004)		1,864
Foreign exchange		758	212,130	(e)	2,269	(193,934)	(e)	21,223
Equity		-	35,944	(e)	3,699 <sup>(e)</sup>	(31,470)	(e)	8,173
Commodity		247	39,574		177	(27,633)		12,365
Total derivative receivables <sup>(d)</sup>		1,289	1,306,519	(e)	13,278 <sup>(e)</sup>	(1,244,122)	(e)	76,964
Total trading assets		91,713	1,390,900	(e)	29,415 <sup>(e)</sup>	(1,244,122)	e)	267,906
Available-for-sale securities:								
Mortgage-backed securities:								
U.S. government agencies <sup>(a)</sup>		-	65,319		-			65,319
Residential - nonagency		_	50,865		30	_		50,895
Commercial - nonagency		-	20,381		99	-		20,480
Total mortgage-backed securities		_	136,565		129	_		136,694
U.S. Treasury and government agencies(a)		13,581	54		_	_		13,635
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities		_	26,755		_	_		26,755
Certificates of deposit		_	1,103		_	_		1,103
Non-U.S. government debt securities		24,061	28,670		_	_		52,731
Corporate debt securities		_	18,530		_	_		18,530
Asset-backed securities:								
Collateralized loan obligations		_	29,402		792	_		30,194
Other		_	12,546		41	_		12,587
Equity securities		118	_		_	_		118
Total available-for-sale securities		37,760	253,625		962	_		292,347
Loans		_	70		2,213	_		2,283
Mortgage servicing rights		_	_		7,436	_		7,436
Other assets		_	15		4,593	_		4,608
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$	129,473 \$	1,659,867	(e) \$	44,619 <sup>(e)</sup>	\$ (1,244,122)	(e) \$	589,837
Deposits	\$	- \$	6,091	\$	2,883	\$ -	\$	8,974
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements	,	_ ′	678	,	_	_	,	678
Other borrowed funds		_	6,783		1,426	_		8,209
Trading liabilities:								
Debt and equity instruments <sup>(c)</sup>		37,282	10,022		51	_		47,355
Derivative payables:								
Interest rate		180	917,036	(e)	3,790	(900,192)	(e)	20,814
Credit		_	73,574		2,823	(74,302)		2,095
Foreign exchange		746	221,628	(e)	3,030	(201,644)	(e)	23,760
Equity		-	39,273	(e)	4,259 <sup>(e)</sup>		(e)	11,852
Commodity		141	39,410		982	(26,086)		14,447
Total derivative payables <sup>(d)</sup>		1,067	1,290,921	(e)	14,884 <sup>(e)</sup>	(1,233,904)	.e)	72,968
Total trading liabilities		38,349	1,300,943	(e)	14,935 <sup>(e)</sup>	(1,233,904)	.e)	120,323
Accounts payable and other liabilities(e)		781	1,500,745		17,733	(1,233,704)		781
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs		,01	_		18			18
Long-term debt		_	7,166		6,970	_		14,136
Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$	39,130 \$	1,321,661	(e) \$	(0)	\$ (1,233,904)	(e) \$	
Total nabilities illeasured at fall value on a reculting Dasis	₽	J7,13U \$	1,521,001	₽	26,232	μ (1,233,904)		153,119

Note: Effective April 1, 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. adopted new accounting guidance for investments in certain entities that calculate net asset value per share (or its equivalent). As a result of the adoption of this new guidance, certain investments that are measured at fair value using the net asset value per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient are not required to be classified in the fair value hierarchy. At December 31, 2015 and 2014 the fair values of these investments, which include certain hedge funds, was \$49 million and \$108 million, respectively, which had been previously classified in level 2 within trading assets. The guidance was required to be applied retrospectively, and accordingly, prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current period presentation.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

- (a) At December 31, 2015 and 2014, included total U.S. government-sponsored enterprise obligations of \$43.0 billion and \$60.2 billion, respectively, which were predominantly mortgage-related.
- (b) Physical commodities inventories are generally accounted for at the lower of cost or market. "Market" is a term defined in U.S. GAAP as not exceeding fair value less costs to sell ("transaction costs"). Transaction costs for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s physical commodities inventories are either not applicable or immaterial to the value of the inventory. Therefore, market approximates fair value for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s physical commodities inventories. When fair value hedging has been applied (or when market is below cost), the carrying value of physical commodities approximates fair value, because under fair value hedge accounting, the cost basis is adjusted for changes in fair value. For a further discussion of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s hedge accounting relationships, see Note 7. To provide consistent fair value disclosure information, all physical commodities inventories have been included in each period presented.
- (c) Balances reflect the reduction of securities owned (long positions) by the amount of identical securities sold but not yet purchased (short positions).
- (d) As permitted under U.S. GAAP, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has elected to net derivative receivables and derivative payables and the related cash collateral received and paid when a legally enforceable master netting agreement exists. For purposes of the tables above, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not reduce derivative receivables and derivative payables balances for this netting adjustment, either within or across the levels of the fair value hierarchy, as such netting is not relevant to a presentation based on the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability. However, if JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. were to net such balances within level 3, the reduction in the level 3 derivative receivables and payables balances would be \$1.3 billion and \$2.5 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively; this is exclusive of the netting benefit associated with cash collateral, which would further reduce the level 3 balances. Additionally, includes derivative receivables and payables with affiliates on a net basis. See Note 22 for information regarding our derivative activities with affiliates.
- (e) Certain prior period amounts (including the corresponding fair value parenthetical disclosure for accounts payable and other liabilities on the Consolidated balance sheets) were revised to conform with the current period presentation.

# Transfers between levels for instruments carried at fair value on a recurring basis

For the year ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 there were no significant transfers between levels 1 and 2.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, transfers from level 3 to level 2 and from level 2 to level 3 included the following:

- \$3.5 billion of long-term debt and \$1.0 billion of deposits driven by an increase in observability on certain structured notes with embedded interest rates and FX derivatives and a reduction of the significance in the unobservable inputs of certain structured notes with embedded equity derivatives
- \$4.4 billion of equity derivative receivables and \$3.6 billion of equity derivative payables as a result of an increase in observability and a decrease in the significance in unobservable inputs, partially offset by transfers into level 3 resulting in net transfers of \$2.7 billion and \$2.3 billion respectively; \$1.5 billion of foreign exchange derivative receivables as a result of an increase in observability of certain valuation inputs
- \$2.6 billion of trading loans driven by an increase in observability of certain collateralized financing transactions; and \$2.3 billion of corporate debt driven by a reduction of the significance in the unobservable inputs and an increase in observability for certain structured products

During the year ended December 31, 2014, transfers from level 3 to level 2 included the following:

- \$4.3 billion and \$4.4 billion of equity derivative receivables and payables, respectively, due to increased observability of certain equity option valuation inputs
- \$2.6 billion of trading loans, \$2.6 billion of margin loans and \$2.0 billion of corporate debt, based on increased liquidity and price transparency

Transfers from level 2 into level 3 included \$1.1 billion of other borrowed funds and \$1.1 billion of trading loans, based on a decrease in observability of valuation inputs and price transparency.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, transfers from level 3 to level 2 included the following:

- Certain highly rated CLOs, including \$27.4 billion held in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s available-for-sale ("AFS") securities portfolio and \$1.3 billion held in the trading portfolio, based on increased liquidity and price transparency
- \$2.0 billion of gross derivatives payables, primarily driven by an increase in observability of certain structured equity derivatives; and \$1.1 billion of longterm debt, largely driven by an increase in observability of certain equity structured notes

Transfers from level 2 to level 3 included \$1.3 billion of corporate debt securities in the trading portfolio largely driven by a decrease in observability for certain credit instruments.

All transfers are assumed to occur at the beginning of the interim reporting period in which they occur.

#### **Level 3 valuations**

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has established well-documented processes for determining fair value, including for instruments where fair value is estimated using significant unobservable inputs (level 3). For further information on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s valuation process and a detailed discussion of the determination of fair value for individual financial instruments, see pages 19-23 of this Note.

Estimating fair value requires the application of judgment. The type and level of judgment required is largely dependent on the amount of observable market information available to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. For instruments valued using internally developed models that use significant unobservable inputs and are therefore classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, judgments used to estimate fair value are more significant than those required when estimating the fair value of instruments classified within levels 1 and 2.

In arriving at an estimate of fair value for an instrument within level 3, management must first determine the appropriate model to use. Second, due to the lack of observability of significant inputs, management must assess all relevant empirical data in deriving valuation inputs including, but not limited to, transaction details, yield curves, interest rates, prepayment speed, default rates, volatilities, correlations, equity or debt prices, valuations of comparable instruments, foreign exchange rates and credit curves.

The following table presents JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s primary level 3 financial instruments, the valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of those financial instruments, the significant unobservable inputs, the range of values for those inputs and, for certain instruments, the weighted averages of such inputs. While the determination to classify an instrument within level 3 is based on the significance of the unobservable inputs to the overall fair value measurement, level 3 financial instruments typically include observable components (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources) in addition to the unobservable components. The level 1 and/or level 2 inputs are not included in the table. In addition, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. manages the risk of the observable components of level 3 financial instruments using securities and derivative positions that are classified within levels 1 or 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The range of values presented in the table is representative of the highest and lowest level input used to value the significant groups of instruments within a product/instrument classification. Where provided, the weighted averages of the input values presented in the table are calculated based on the fair value of the instruments that the input is being used to value.

In JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s view, the input range and the weighted average value do not reflect the degree of input uncertainty or an assessment of the reasonableness of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s estimates and assumptions. Rather, they reflect the characteristics of the various instruments held by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and the relative distribution of instruments within the range of characteristics. For example, two option contracts may have similar levels of market risk exposure and valuation uncertainty, but may have significantly different implied volatility levels because the option contracts have different underlyings, tenors, or strike prices. The input range and weighted average values will therefore vary from period-toperiod and parameter-to-parameter based on the characteristics of the instruments held by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. at each balance sheet date.

For JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s derivatives and structured notes positions classified within level 3 at December 31, 2015, interest rate correlation inputs used in estimating fair value were concentrated towards the upper end of the range presented; equities correlation inputs were concentrated at the lower end of the range; the credit correlation inputs were distributed across the range presented; and the foreign exchange correlation inputs were concentrated at the top end of the range presented. In addition, the interest rate volatility inputs and the foreign exchange correlation inputs used in estimating fair value were each concentrated at the upper end of the range presented. The equity volatilities are concentrated in the lower half end of the range. The forward commodity prices used in estimating the fair value of commodity derivatives were concentrated within the lower end of the range presented.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

Level 3 inputs(a)

December 31, 2015 (in millions, except for ratios and basis points)

Product/Instrument	Fair value	Principal valuation technique	Unobservable inputs	Range of input values	Weighted average
Residential mortgage-backed	\$ 3,892	Discounted cash flows	Yield	6 % - 26%	6%
securities and loans			Prepayment speed	0 % - 15%	7%
			Conditional default rate	0 % - 4%	1%
			Loss severity	0 % - 17%	2%
Commercial mortgage-backed	2,724	Discounted cash flows	Yield	1 % - 25%	6%
securities and loans <sup>(b)</sup>			Conditional default rate	0 % - 91%	35%
			Loss severity	40%	40%
Corporate debt securities, obligations	560	Discounted cash flows	Credit spread	225 bps	225 bps
of U.S. states and municipalities, and other <sup>(c)</sup>			Yield	4 % - 20%	5%
	1,386	Market comparables	Price	\$ \$168	\$88
Net interest rate derivatives	605	Option pricing	Interest rate correlation	(52)% - 99%	
			Interest rate spread volatility	3 % - 38%	
Net credit derivatives(b)(c)	535	Discounted cash flows	Credit correlation	35 % - 90%	
Net foreign exchange derivatives	(898)	Option pricing	Foreign exchange correlation	0 % - 60%	
Net equity derivatives	(1,223)	Option pricing	Equity volatility	20 % - 65%	
Net commodity derivatives	(1,324)	Discounted cash flows	Forward commodity price	\$ 22 - \$46 per ba	arrel
Collateralized loan obligations	759	Discounted cash flows	Credit spread	354 bps - 550 bps	396 bps
			Prepayment speed	20%	20%
			Conditional default rate	2%	2%
			Loss severity	40%	40%
	37	Market comparables	Price	\$ \$91	\$70
Mortgage servicing rights	6,608	Discounted cash flows	Refer to Note 18.		
Retained interests in credit card securitization trusts	5,643	Discounted cash flows	Refer to Note 17.		
Long-term debt, other borrowed funds,	9,894	Option pricing	Interest rate correlation	(52)% - 99%	-
and deposits <sup>(d)</sup>	7,074	option pricing	Interest rate spread volatility	3 % - 38%	
			Foreign exchange correlation	0 % - 60%	
			0 0		
	405	Discounted such flavor	Equity correlation	(50)% - 80%	
	495	Discounted cash flows	Credit correlation	35 % - 90%	

<sup>(</sup>a) The categories presented in the table have been aggregated based upon the product type, which may differ from their classification on the Consolidated balance sheets.

<sup>(</sup>b) The unobservable inputs and associated input ranges for approximately \$349 million of credit derivative receivables and \$310 million of credit derivative payables with underlying commercial mortgage risk have been included in the inputs and ranges provided for commercial mortgage-backed securities and loans.

<sup>(</sup>c) The unobservable inputs and associated input ranges for approximately \$434 million of credit derivative receivables and \$401 million of credit derivative payables with underlying asset-backed securities risk have been included in the inputs and ranges provided for corporate debt securities, obligations of U.S. states and municipalities and other.

<sup>(</sup>d) Long-term debt, other borrowed funds and deposits include structured notes issued by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. that are predominantly financial instruments containing embedded derivatives. The estimation of the fair value of structured notes is predominantly based on the derivative features embedded within the instruments. The significant unobservable inputs are broadly consistent with those presented for derivative receivables.

#### Changes in and ranges of unobservable inputs

The following discussion provides a description of the impact on a fair value measurement of a change in each unobservable input in isolation, and the interrelationship between unobservable inputs, where relevant and significant. The impact of changes in inputs may not be independent as a change in one unobservable input may give rise to a change in another unobservable input; where relationships exist between two unobservable inputs, those relationships are discussed below. Relationships may also exist between observable and unobservable inputs (for example, as observable interest rates rise, unobservable prepayment rates decline); such relationships have not been included in the discussion below. In addition, for each of the individual relationships described below, the inverse relationship would also generally apply.

In addition, the following discussion provides a description of attributes of the underlying instruments and external market factors that affect the range of inputs used in the valuation of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s positions.

Yield - The yield of an asset is the interest rate used to discount future cash flows in a discounted cash flow calculation. An increase in the yield, in isolation, would result in a decrease in a fair value measurement.

Credit spread - The credit spread is the amount of additional annualized return over the market interest rate that a market participant would demand for taking exposure to the credit risk of an instrument. The credit spread for an instrument forms part of the discount rate used in a discounted cash flow calculation. Generally, an increase in the credit spread would result in a decrease in a fair value measurement.

The yield and the credit spread of a particular mortgagebacked security primarily reflect the risk inherent in the instrument. The yield is also impacted by the absolute level of the coupon paid by the instrument (which may not correspond directly to the level of inherent risk). Therefore, the range of yield and credit spreads reflects the range of risk inherent in various instruments owned by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. The risk inherent in mortgage-backed securities is driven by the subordination of the security being valued and the characteristics of the underlying mortgages within the collateralized pool, including borrower FICO scores, loan-to-value ratios for residential mortgages and the nature of the property and/or any tenants for commercial mortgages. For corporate debt securities, obligations of U.S. states and municipalities and other similar instruments, credit spreads reflect the credit quality of the obligor and the tenor of the obligation.

Prepayment speed - The prepayment speed is a measure of the voluntary unscheduled principal repayments of a prepayable obligation in a collateralized pool. Prepayment speeds generally decline as borrower delinquencies rise. An increase in prepayment speeds, in isolation, would result in a decrease in a fair value measurement of assets valued at a premium to par and an increase in a fair value measurement of assets valued at a discount to par.

Prepayment speeds may vary from collateral pool to collateral pool, and are driven by the type and location of the underlying borrower, the remaining tenor of the obligation as well as the level and type (e.g., fixed or floating) of interest rate being paid by the borrower. Typically collateral pools with higher borrower credit quality have a higher prepayment rate than those with lower borrower credit quality, all other factors being equal.

Conditional default rate - The conditional default rate is a measure of the reduction in the outstanding collateral balance underlying a collateralized obligation as a result of defaults. While there is typically no direct relationship between conditional default rates and prepayment speeds, collateralized obligations for which the underlying collateral has high prepayment speeds will tend to have lower conditional default rates. An increase in conditional default rates would generally be accompanied by an increase in loss severity and an increase in credit spreads. An increase in the conditional default rate, in isolation, would result in a decrease in a fair value measurement. Conditional default rates reflect the quality of the collateral underlying a securitization and the structure of the securitization itself. Based on the types of securities owned in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s market-making portfolios, conditional default rates are most typically at the lower end of the range presented.

Loss severity - The loss severity (the inverse concept is the recovery rate) is the expected amount of future realized losses resulting from the ultimate liquidation of a particular loan, expressed as the net amount of loss relative to the outstanding loan balance. An increase in loss severity is generally accompanied by an increase in conditional default rates. An increase in the loss severity, in isolation, would result in a decrease in a fair value measurement.

The loss severity applied in valuing a mortgage-backed security investment depends on factors relating to the underlying mortgages, including the loan-to-value ratio, the nature of the lender's lien on the property and other instrument-specific factors.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

Correlation - Correlation is a measure of the relationship between the movements of two variables (e.g., how the change in one variable influences the change in the other). Correlation is a pricing input for a derivative product where the payoff is driven by one or more underlying risks. Correlation inputs are related to the type of derivative (e.g., interest rate, credit, equity, foreign exchange and commodity) due to the nature of the underlying risks. When parameters are positively correlated, an increase in one parameter will result in an increase in the other parameter. When parameters are negatively correlated, an increase in one parameter will result in a decrease in the other parameter. An increase in correlation can result in an increase or a decrease in a fair value measurement. Given a short correlation position, an increase in correlation, in isolation, would generally result in a decrease in a fair value measurement. The range of correlation inputs between risks within the same asset class are generally narrower than those between underlying risks across asset classes. In addition, the ranges of credit correlation inputs tend to be narrower than those affecting other asset classes.

The level of correlation used in the valuation of derivatives with multiple underlying risks depends on a number of factors including the nature of those risks. For example, the correlation between two credit risk exposures would be different than that between two interest rate risk exposures. Similarly, the tenor of the transaction may also impact the correlation input as the relationship between the underlying risks may be different over different time periods. Furthermore, correlation levels are very much dependent on market conditions and could have a relatively wide range of levels within or across asset classes over time, particularly in volatile market conditions.

Volatility - Volatility is a measure of the variability in possible returns for an instrument, parameter or market index given how much the particular instrument, parameter or index changes in value over time. Volatility is a pricing input for options, including equity options, commodity options, and interest rate options. Generally, the higher the volatility of the underlying, the riskier the instrument. Given a long position in an option, an increase in volatility, in isolation, would generally result in an increase in a fair value measurement.

The level of volatility used in the valuation of a particular option-based derivative depends on a number of factors, including the nature of the risk underlying the option (e.g., the volatility of a particular equity security may be significantly different from that of a particular commodity index), the tenor of the derivative as well as the strike price of the option.

#### Changes in level 3 recurring fair value measurements

The following tables include a rollforward of the Consolidated balance sheets amounts (including changes in fair value) for financial instruments classified by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013. When a determination is made to classify a financial instrument within level 3, the determination is based on the significance of the unobservable parameters to the overall fair value measurement. However, level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or level 3 components, observable components (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources); accordingly, the gains and losses in the table below include changes in fair value due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology. Also, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. risk-manages the observable components of level 3 financial instruments using securities and derivative positions that are classified within level 1 or 2 of the fair value hierarchy; as these level 1 and level 2 risk management instruments are not included below, the gains or losses in the following tables do not reflect the effect of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s risk management activities related to such level 3 instruments.

	Fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs												
Year ended December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Fair value at January 1, 2015	Total realized/ unrealized gains/ (losses)	Purchases <sup>(g)</sup>	Sales	Settlements <sup>(h)</sup>	Transfers into and/or out of level 3 <sup>(i)</sup>	Fair value at Dec. 31, 2015	Change in unrealized gains, (losses) related to financial instruments held at Dec. 31, 2015					
Assets:		,											
Trading assets:													
Debt instruments:													
Mortgage-backed securities:													
U.S. government agencies	\$ 904	\$ (35)	\$ 120	\$ (198)	\$ (127)	\$ -	\$ 664	\$ (37)					
Residential - nonagency	438	(24)	139	(254)	(6)	(274)	19	(4)					
Commercial - nonagency	217	(7)	43	(91)	(16)	(140)	6	1					
Total mortgage-backed securities	1,559	(66)	302	(543)	(149)	(414)	689	(40)					
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	59	-	-	_	(5)	(28)	26	_					
Non-U.S. government debt securities	302	9	205	(123)	(64)	(255)	74	(15)					
Corporate debt securities	2,756	(63)	1,103	(1,064)	(89)	(2,161)	482	(3)					
Loans	9,830	(254)	2,995	(4,149)	(1,189)	(1,869)	5,364	(128)					
Asset-backed securities	374	(29)	121	(294)	(14)	(80)	78	(12)					
Total debt instruments	14,880	(403)	4,726	(6,173)	(1,510)	(4,807)	6,713	(198)					
Equity securities	73	22	52	(35)	(28)	4	88	33					
Other	1,184	110	1,642	(1,476)	(234)	(884)	342	99					
Total trading assets - debt and equity instruments	16,137	(271) <sup>(c)</sup>	6,420	(7,684)	(1,772)	(5,687)	7,143	(66)					
Net derivative receivables:(a)					1								
Interest rate	335	1,146	545	(245)	(709)	(467)	605	218					
Credit	185	110	145	(133)	129	99	535	256					
Foreign exchange	(761)	627	40	(137)	(277)	(390)	(898)	151					
Equity	(560)	649	3,021	(3,889)	(28)	(416)	(1,223)	74					
Commodity	(805)	(893)	(245)	(12)	657	(26)	(1,324)	(780)					
Total net derivative receivables	(1,606)	1,639 <sup>(c)</sup>	3,506	(4,416)	(228)	(1,200)	(2,305)	(81)					
Available-for-sale securities:													
Asset-backed securities	833	(22)	48	(20)	(60)	_	779	(28)					
Other	129	-	_	_	(29)	(99)	1	_					
Total available-for-sale securities	962	(22) <sup>(d)</sup>	48	(20)	(89)	(99)	780	(28)					
oans	2,213	(143) <sup>(c)</sup>	1,170	-	(985)	(847)	1,408	(40) <sup>(c</sup>					
Mortgage servicing rights	7,436	(405) <sup>(e)</sup>	985	(486)	(922)	-	6,608	(405)					
Other assets	4,593	(2) <sup>(f)</sup>	19	(3,334)	4,394	_	5,670	(4) <sup>(f</sup>					

Fairvalue	measurements	ucina	cignificant	unobcorvablo	innute
raii vaiue	: illeasul elllellis	using	Signinicani	unobservable	illputs

Year ended December 31, 2015 (in millions)	at	iir value January , 2015	Total realized/ unrealized (gains)/ losses	F	Purchases <sup>(g)</sup>	Sales	Is	ssuances	Set	tlements <sup>(h)</sup>	Transfers into and/or out of level 3 <sup>(i)</sup>	а	ir value It Dec. I, 2015	ins	Change in unrealized gains)/losses related to financial truments held Dec. 31, 2015
Liabilities:(b)															
Deposits	\$	2,883	\$ (16) <sup>(c)</sup>	\$	1	\$ -	\$	1,945	\$	(830)	\$ (1,013)	\$	2,970	\$	(14) <sup>(c)</sup>
Other borrowed funds		1,426	(682) <sup>(c)</sup>		_	_		3,078		(2,753)	(433)		636		(48) <sup>(c)</sup>
Trading liabilities - debt and equity instruments		51	15 <sup>(c)</sup>		(141)	134		-		(15)	4		48		(5) <sup>(c)</sup>
Accounts payable and other liabilities		-	_		_	_		-		_	_		_		_
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs		18	(17) <sup>(c)</sup>		_	_		208		(209)	_		_		_ (c)
Long-term debt		6,970	(414) <sup>(c)</sup>		(58)	_		6,373		(5,082)	(1,006)		6,783		319 <sup>(c)</sup>

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

			Fair value meas	surements using s	ignificant uno	bservable inputs			_
Year ended December 31, 2014 (in millions)	Fair value at January 1, 2014	Total realized/ unrealized gains/ (losses)	Purchases <sup>(g)</sup>	Sales		Settlements <sup>(h)</sup>	Transfers into and/or out of level 3 <sup>(i)</sup>	Fair value at Dec. 31, 2014	Change in unrealized gains/(losses) related to financial instruments held at Dec. 31, 2014
Assets:									
Trading assets:									
Debt instruments:									
Mortgage-backed securities:									
U.S. government agencies	\$ 912	\$ (91)	\$ 244	\$ (38)		\$ (123)	\$ -	\$ 904	\$ (91)
Residential - nonagency	273	14	392	(275)		(12)	46	438	(11)
Commercial - nonagency	89	3	262	(129)		(16)	8	217	(6)
Total mortgage-backed securities	1,274	(74)	898	(442)		(151)	54	1,559	(108)
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	75	4	_	(20)		_	_	59	(6)
Non-U.S. government debt securities	143	24	719	(615)		(5)	36	302	10
Corporate debt securities	5,631	258	5,761	(3,157)		(4,637)	(1,100)	2,756	632
Loans	10,476	21	10,644	(5,866)		(3,955)	(1,490)	9,830	(78)
Asset-backed securities	283	(23)	892	(719)		(121)	62	374	(46)
Total debt instruments	17,882	210	18,914	(10,819)		(8,869)	(2,438)	14,880	404
Equity securities	145	48	183	(106)		(139)	(58)	73	16
Other	1,996	271	1,981	(525)		(359)	(2,180)	1,184	(1)
Total trading assets - debt and equity instruments	20,023	529 <sup>(c)</sup>	21,078	(11,450)		(9,367)	(4,676)	16,137	419 <sup>(c)</sup>
Net derivative receivables:(a)									
Interest rate	1,888	(44)	207	(255)		(1,353)	(108)	335	(1,125)
Credit	88	(162)	273	(47)		104	(71)	185	(120)
Foreign exchange	(1,433)	(228)	122	(28)		775	31	(761)	(147)
Equity <sup>(j)</sup>	(834)	340	4,992	(4,454)		(577)	(27)	(560)	1,458
Commodity	(95)	(864)	(12)	-		92	74	(805)	(603)
Total net derivative receivables <sup>(j)</sup>	(386)	(958) <sup>(c)</sup>	5,582	(4,784)		(959)	(101)	(1,606)	(537) <sup>(c)</sup>
Available-for-sale securities:									
Asset-backed securities	1,012	(42)	274	-		(100)	(311)	833	(41)
Other	1,192	(19)	122	_		(223)	(943)	129	(1)
Total available-for-sale securities	2,204	(61) <sup>(d)</sup>	396	_		(323)	(1,254)	962	(42) <sup>(d)</sup>
Loans	1,102	(242) <sup>(c)</sup>	3,206	(563)		(1,290)	-	2,213	(245) <sup>(c)</sup>
Mortgage servicing rights	9,614	(1,826) <sup>(e)</sup>	768	(209)		(911)	-	7,436	(1,826) <sup>(e)</sup>
Other assets	5,975	24 <sup>(f)</sup>	_	(3,383)		1,977	_	4,593	12 <sup>(f)</sup>
			Fair value meas	surements using s	ignificant uno	bservable inputs			Change in unrealized (gains)/losses
Year ended December 31, 2014 (in millions)	Fair value at January 1, 2014	Total realized/ unrealized (gains)/ losses	Purchases <sup>(g)</sup>	Sales	Issuances	Settlements <sup>(h)</sup>	Transfers into and/or out of level 3 <sup>(i)</sup>	Fair value at Dec. 31, 2014	related to financial instruments held at Dec. 31, 2014
Liabilities:(b)	d 25	d (*)	<i>t</i>	¢	# <b>.</b> = : :	# /	# /==·	t 22==	# *** /=\
Deposits Other bearing of finder	\$ 2,255	•	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,569				\$ 160 (c)
Other borrowed funds  Trading liabilities - debt and equity	1,467 89	(589) <sup>(c)</sup>	(270)	281	5,209	(5,439)	778 (43)	1,426 51	(300) <sup>(c)</sup>
instruments  Accounts payable and other	09	(3)	(270)	201	_	(3)	(43)	21	1
liabilities	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs	40	2 <sup>(c)</sup>	_	-	2	(26)	-	18	1 <sup>(c)</sup>
Long-term debt	6,617	(447) (c)	_	_	6,016	(5,208)	(8)	6,970	(365) <sup>(c)</sup>

			Fall Value illeas	surements using s	igiiiicani unoi	isei vabie iliputs			
Year ended December 31, 2013 (in millions)	Fair value at January 1, 2013	Total realized/ unrealized gains/ (losses)	Purchases <sup>(g)</sup>	Sales		Settlements <sup>(h)</sup>	Transfers into and/or out of level 3 <sup>(i)</sup>	Fair value at Dec. 31, 2013	Change in unrealized gains/(losses) related to financial instruments held at Dec. 31, 2013
Assets:									
Trading assets:									
Debt instruments:									
Mortgage-backed securities:									
U.S. government agencies	\$ 438	\$ 194	\$ 734	\$ (347)		\$ (107)	\$ -	\$ 912	\$ 194
Residential - nonagency	152	77	167	(107)		(11)	(5)	273	52
Commercial - nonagency	82	10	156	(138)		(21)	_	89	3
Total mortgage-backed securities	672	281	1,057	(592)		(139)	(5)	1,274	249
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	308	53	6	(25)		(267)	-	75	3
Non-U.S. government debt securities	67	4	1,448	(1,479)		(7)	110	143	(4)
Corporate debt securities	5,082	206	7,498	(5,867)		(1,781)	493	5,631	463
Loans	8,583	124	10,304	(6,537)		(1,736)	(262)	10,476	(8)
Asset-backed securities	1,788	71	288	(520)		(96)	(1,248)	283	49
Total debt instruments	16,500	739	20,601	(15,020)		(4,026)	(912)	17,882	752
Equity securities	253	(49)	133	(114)		(59)	(19)	145	64
Other	825	860	837	(207)		(448)	129	1,996	1,085
Total trading assets - debt and equity instruments	17,578	1,550 <sup>(c)</sup>	21,571	(15,341)		(4,533)	(802)	20,023	1,901 <sup>(c)</sup>
Net derivative receivables:(a)									
Interest rate	2,650	1,436	347	(186)		(2,349)	(10)	1,888	267
Credit	1,870	(1,697)	115	(12)		(365)	177	88	(1,455)
Foreign exchange	(2,004)	(49)	4	(3)		650	(31)	(1,433)	157
Equity	(2,035)	2,586	1,478	(2,281)		(1,079)	497	(834)	859
Commodity	(150)	192	59	(3)		(190)	(3)	(95)	79
Total net derivative receivables	331	2,468 <sup>(c)</sup>	2,003	(2,485)		(3,333)	630	(386)	(93) <sup>(c)</sup>
Available-for-sale securities:									
Asset-backed securities	27,896	(1)	579	_		(57)	(27,405)	1,012	(1)
Other	705	14	508	(17)		(48)	30	1,192	25
Total available-for-sale securities	28,601	13 <sup>(d)</sup>	1,087	(17)		(105)	(27,375)	2,204	24 <sup>(d)</sup>
Loans	1,321	80 <sup>(c)</sup>	820	(97)		(1,022)	-	1,102	20 <sup>(c)</sup>
Mortgage servicing rights	7,614	1,610 <sup>(e)</sup>	2,216	(725)		(1,101)	-	9,614	1,610 <sup>(e)</sup>
Other assets	6,644	(8) <sup>(f)</sup>		(4,111)		3,450	_	5,975	(19) <sup>(f)</sup>
			Fair value meas	surements using s	ignificant unob	oservable inputs			Change in
Year ended December 31, 2013 (in millions)	Fair value at January 1, 2013	Total realized/ unrealized (gains)/ losses	Purchases <sup>(g)</sup>	Sales	Issuances	Settlements <sup>(h)</sup>	Transfers into and/or out of level 3 <sup>(i)</sup>	Fair value at Dec. 31, 2013	unrealized (gains)/losses related to financial instruments held at Dec. 31, 2013
Liabilities:(b)									
Deposits	\$ 1,976	\$ (82) (c)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,234	\$ (201)	\$ (672)	\$ 2,255	\$ (88) (c)
Other borrowed funds	1,315	(134) <sup>(c)</sup>	=	-	6,399	(6,530)	417	1,467	281 <sup>(c)</sup>
Trading liabilities - debt and equity instruments	189	(82) <sup>(c)</sup>	(2,373)	2,552	_	(52)	(145)	89	(102) <sup>(c)</sup>
Accounts payable and other							_	_	_
liabilities	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs	- 29	11 <sup>(c)</sup>	_	_	_	_	_	40	11 <sup>(c)</sup>

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

Note: Effective April 1, 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. adopted new accounting guidance for certain investments where JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. measures fair value using the net asset value per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient and excluded such investments from the fair value hierarchy. The guidance was required to be applied retrospectively, and accordingly, prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current period presentation. For further information, see pages 17–25.

- (a) All level 3 derivatives are presented on a net basis, irrespective of underlying counterparty.
- (b) Level 3 liabilities as a percentage of total JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. liabilities accounted for at fair value (including liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis) were 15%, 17% and 20% at December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.
- (c) Predominantly reported in principal transactions revenue, except for changes in fair value for mortgage loans, lending-related commitments originated with the intent to sell, and mortgage loan purchase commitments within the consumer & community banking business, which are reported in mortgage fees and related income.
- (d) Realized gains/(losses) on AFS securities, as well as other-than-temporary impairment losses that are recorded in earnings, are reported in securities gains. Unrealized gains/ (losses) are reported in OCI. Realized gains/(losses) and foreign exchange remeasurement adjustments recorded in income on AFS securities were zero, \$(78) million, and \$5 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Unrealized gains/(losses) recorded on AFS securities in OCI were \$(25) million, \$(19) million and \$8 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.
- (e) Changes in fair value for the consumer & community banking business's mortgage servicing rights are reported in mortgage fees and related income.
- (f) Predominantly reported in other income.
- (g) Loan originations are included in purchases.
- (h) Includes financial assets and liabilities that have matured, been partially or fully repaid, impacts of modifications, and deconsolidations associated with beneficial interests in VIFs.
- (i) All transfers into and/or out of level 3 are assumed to occur at the beginning of the quarterly reporting period in which they occur.
- (j) Certain prior period amounts were revised to conform with the current period presentation.

#### Level 3 analysis

#### Consolidated balance sheets changes

Level 3 assets (including assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis) were 1.6% of total JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. assets and 6.1% of total assets measured at fair value at December 31, 2015, compared with 2.3% and 8.0%, respectively, at December 31, 2014. The following describes significant changes to level 3 assets since December 31, 2014, for those items measured at fair value on a recurring basis. For further information on changes impacting items measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, see Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis on page 35.

#### For the year ended December 31, 2015

Level 3 assets were \$29.6 billion at December 31, 2015, reflecting a decrease of \$15.0 billion from December 31, 2014. This decrease was driven by net sales and transfers to Level 2 due to an increase in observability and a decrease in the significance of unobservable inputs. In particular:

- \$9.0 billion decrease in trading assets debt and equity instruments was predominantly driven by a decrease of \$4.5 billion in trading loans due to sales, maturities and transfers from level 3 to level 2 as a result of an increase in observability of certain valuation inputs, and a \$2.3 billion decrease in corporate debt securities due to transfers from level 3 to level 2 as a result of an increase in observability of certain valuation inputs
- \$5.2 billion decrease in gross derivative receivables was driven by a \$4.7 billion decrease in equity, interest rate and foreign exchange derivative receivables due to transfers from level 3 to level 2 as a result of an increase in observability of certain valuation inputs and market movements

#### Gains and losses

The following describes significant components of total realized/unrealized gains/(losses) for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013. For further information on these instruments, see Changes in level 3 recurring fair value measurements rollforward tables on pages 30-34.

#### 2015

- \$2.4 billion of net gains in interest rate, foreign exchange and equity derivative receivables due to market movements; partially offset by loss in commodity derivatives due to market movements
- \$1.1 billion of net gains in liabilities due to market movements

#### 2014

• \$1.8 billion of net losses on MSRs. For further discussion of the change, refer to Note 18

#### 2013

- \$2.5 billion of net gains on derivatives, largely driven by \$2.6 billion of gains on equity derivatives, primarily related to client-driven market-making activity and a rise in equity markets; and \$1.4 billion of gains, predominantly on interest rate lock and mortgage loan purchase commitments; partially offset by \$1.7 billion of losses on credit derivatives from the impact of tightening reference entity credit spreads
- \$1.6 billion of net gains on trading assets debt and equity instruments, predominantly driven by the impact of market movements on client-driven financing transactions, mortgage-backed securities and corporate debt securities
- \$1.6 billion of net gains on MSRs. For further discussion of the change, refer to Note 18

# Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis were \$1.7 billion and \$3.6 billion, respectively, consisting predominantly of loans that had fair value adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. At December 31, 2015, \$696 million and \$956 million of these assets were classified in levels 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy, respectively. At December 31, 2014, \$568 million and \$3.0 billion of these assets were classified in levels 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy, respectively. Liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis were not significant at December 31, 2015 and 2014. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, there were no significant transfers between levels 1, 2 and 3 related to assets held at the balance sheet date.

Of the \$956 million in level 3 assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of December 31, 2015:

\$556 million related to residential real estate loans carried at the net realizable value of the underlying collateral (i.e., collateral-dependent loans and other loans charged off in accordance with regulatory guidance). These amounts are classified as level 3, as they are valued using a broker's price opinion and discounted based upon JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s experience with actual liquidation values. These discounts to the broker price opinions ranged from 4% to 59%, with a weighted average of 22%.

The total change in the recorded value of assets and liabilities for which a fair value adjustment has been included in the Consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, related to financial instruments held at those dates, were losses of \$294 million, \$575 million and \$678 million, respectively; these reductions were predominantly associated with loans.

For further information about the measurement of impaired collateral-dependent loans, and other loans where the carrying value is based on the fair value of the underlying collateral (e.g., residential mortgage loans charged off in accordance with regulatory guidance), see Note 15.

# Additional disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments that are not carried on the Consolidated balance sheets at fair value

U.S. GAAP requires disclosure of the estimated fair value of certain financial instruments, and the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate their fair value. Financial instruments within the scope of these disclosure requirements are included in the following table. However, certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments are excluded from the scope of these disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the fair value disclosures provided in the following table include only a partial estimate of the fair value of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s assets and liabilities. For example, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has developed long-term relationships with its customers through its deposit base and credit card accounts, commonly referred to as core deposit intangibles and credit card relationships. In the opinion of management, these items, in the aggregate, add significant value to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., but their fair value is not disclosed in this Note.

Financial instruments for which carrying value approximates fair value

Certain financial instruments that are not carried at fair value on the Consolidated balance sheets are carried at amounts that approximate fair value, due to their shortterm nature and generally negligible credit risk. These instruments include cash and due from banks, deposits with banks, federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowed, short-term receivables and accrued interest receivable, commercial paper, federal funds purchased, securities loaned and sold under repurchase agreements, other borrowed funds, accounts payable, and accrued liabilities. In addition, U.S. GAAP requires that the fair value of deposit liabilities with no stated maturity (i.e., demand, savings and certain money market deposits) be equal to their carrying value; recognition of the inherent funding value of these instruments is not permitted.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

The following table presents by fair value hierarchy classification the carrying values and estimated fair values at December 31, 2015 and 2014, of financial assets and liabilities, excluding financial instruments which are carried at fair value on a recurring basis. For additional information regarding the financial instruments within the scope of this disclosure, and the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate their fair value, see pages 19-23 of this Note.

				Dec	eml	ber 31, 2	015		December 31, 2014										
				Estimate	d fa	air value h	nierarchy			Estimated fair value hierarchy									
(in billions)	Carry valu		L	evel 1	L	_evel 2	Level 3	Total estimated fair value		Carrying value	L	evel 1	Leve	el 2	Level 3		Total estimated fair value		
Financial assets																			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 1	19.4	\$	19.4	\$	_	\$ -	\$ 19.4	\$	26.6	\$	26.6	\$	-	\$ -	- \$	26.6		
Deposits with banks	31	<b>16.4</b>		305.6		10.8	_	316.4	ļ	471.4		466.7		4.8	-	-	471.5		
Accrued interest and accounts receivable	3	36.4		_		36.3	0.1	36.4	ı	38.8		_		38.7	0.1	_	38.8		
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	14	10.5		_		140.5	_	140.5	5	126.9		_	1	26.9	_	-	126.9		
Securities borrowed	2	25.1		_		25.1	_	25.1	L	31.2		_		31.2	_	-	31.2		
Securities, held-to-maturity(a)	4	19.0		_		50.6	_	50.6	<b>j</b>	49.3		_		51.2	_	-	51.2		
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses <sup>(b)</sup>	72	22.2		_		28.4	699.4	727.8	3	638.0		_		24.0	617.3	3	641.3		
Other	4	<del>1</del> 7.1		_		39.0	8.6	47.6	5	49.9		_		41.9	8.5	5	50.4		
Financial liabilities																			
Deposits	\$ 1,30	0.1	\$	-	\$	1,299.0	\$ 1.2	\$ 1,300.2	\$	1,430.4	\$	_	\$ 1,4	29.5	\$ 1.2	2 \$	1,430.7		
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements	7	76.6		_		76.6	_	76.6	5	93.6		_		93.6	-	_	93.6		
Other borrowed funds	2	23.5		_		23.5	_	23.5	5	30.4				30.4	_	-	30.4		
Accounts payable and other liabilities <sup>(c)</sup>	5	51.3		_		48.8	2.4	51.2	2	54.3		_		51.9	2.2	2	54.1		
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs <sup>(d)</sup>	1	12.9		_		11.9	0.9	12.8	3	18.6		-		16.6	2.0	)	18.6		
Long-term debt and junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures <sup>(e)</sup>	ç	94.0		-		90.2	4.3	94.5	5	86.8		_		84.0	3.8	3	87.8		

<sup>(</sup>a) Carrying value includes unamortized discount or premium.

<sup>(</sup>b) Fair value is typically estimated using a discounted cash flow model that incorporates the characteristics of the underlying loans (including principal, contractual interest rate and contractual fees) and other key inputs, including expected lifetime credit losses, interest rates, prepayment rates, and primary origination or secondary market spreads. For certain loans, the fair value is measured based on the value of the underlying collateral. The difference between the estimated fair value and carrying value of a financial asset or liability is the result of the different methodologies used to determine fair value as compared with carrying value. For example, credit losses are estimated for a financial asset's remaining life in a fair value calculation but are estimated for a loss emergence period in the allowance for loan loss calculation; future loan income (interest and fees) is incorporated in a fair value calculation but is generally not considered in the allowance for loan losses. For a further discussion of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s methodologies for estimating the fair value of loans and lending-related commitments, see Valuation hierarchy on pages 20–23.

<sup>(</sup>c) Certain prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current presentation.

<sup>(</sup>d) Carrying value reflects unamortized issuance costs.

<sup>(</sup>e) Carrying value includes unamortized premiums and discounts, issuance costs and other valuation adjustments.

The majority of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s lending-related commitments are not carried at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated balance sheets, nor are they actively traded. The carrying value of the allowance and the estimated fair value of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s wholesale lending-related commitments were as follows for the periods indicated.

	 December 31, 2015							_	December 31, 2014														
			Estima	ate	d fair valu	e h	iiera	archy						Estin	nate	ed 1	fair valı	ıe l	niera	archy	_		
(in billions)	rying ue <sup>(a)</sup>		Level 1		Level 2		l	Level 3	Total stimated air value			rying lue <sup>(a)</sup>		Level 1			Level 2		ı	₋evel 3		Tota estima fair val	ted
Wholesale lending- related commitments	\$ 0.8	\$		_	\$	_	\$	2.9	\$ 2.	,	\$	0.6	\$	)	_	\$		_	\$	1.6	\$		1.6

<sup>(</sup>a) Excludes the current carrying values of the guarantee liability and the offsetting asset, each of which are recognized at fair value at the inception of guarantees.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not estimate the fair value of consumer lending-related commitments. In many cases, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. can reduce or cancel these commitments by providing the borrower notice or, in some

cases as permitted by law, without notice. For a further discussion of the valuation of lending-related commitments, see page 21 of this Note.

#### Note 5 - Fair value option

The fair value option provides an option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets, financial liabilities, unrecognized firm commitments, and written loan commitments.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has elected to measure certain instruments at fair value in order to:

- Mitigate income statement volatility caused by the differences in the measurement basis of elected instruments (e.g. certain instruments elected were previously accounted for on an accrual basis) while the associated risk management arrangements are accounted for on a fair value basis;
- Eliminate the complexities of applying certain accounting models (e.g., hedge accounting or bifurcation accounting for hybrid instruments); and/or
- Better reflect those instruments that are managed on a fair value basis.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s election of fair value includes the following instruments:

- Loans purchased or originated as part of securitization warehousing activity, subject to bifurcation accounting, or managed on a fair value basis.
- Certain securities financing arrangements with an embedded derivative and/or a maturity of greater than one year.
- Owned beneficial interests in securitized financial assets that contain embedded credit derivatives, which would otherwise be required to be separately accounted for as a derivative instrument.
- Certain investments that receive tax credits and other equity investments acquired as part of the Washington Mutual transaction.
- Structured notes issued as part of corporate & investment banking business's client-driven activities. (Structured notes are predominantly financial instruments that contain embedded derivatives.)
- Certain long-term beneficial interests issued by the corporate & investment banking business's consolidated securitization trusts where the underlying assets are carried at fair value.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Changes in fair value under the fair value option election

The following table presents the changes in fair value included in the Consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, for items for which the fair value option was elected. The profit and loss information presented below only includes the financial instruments that were elected to be measured at fair value; related risk management instruments, which are required to be measured at fair value, are not included in the table.

		2015			2014		2013				
December 31, (in millions)	Principal transactions	All other income	Total changes in fair value recorded	Principal transactions	All other income	Total changes in fair value recorded	Principal transactions	All other income	Total changes in fair value recorded		
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	\$ (32)	\$ -	\$ (32)	\$ (27)	\$ -	\$ (27)	\$ (324)	\$ -	\$ (324)		
Securities borrowed	(6)	-	(6)	(10)	-	(10)	10	_	10		
Trading assets:											
Debt and equity instruments, excluding loans	603	_	603	711	_	711	274	7 <sup>(c)</sup>	281		
Loans reported as trading assets:											
Changes in instrument- specific credit risk	101	<b>41</b> (c)	142	506	29 <sup>(c)</sup>	535	829	23 <sup>(c)</sup>	852		
Other changes in fair value	200	<b>818</b> (c)	1,018	345	1,353 <sup>(c)</sup>	1,698	(239)	1,833 <sup>(c)</sup>	1,594		
Loans:											
Changes in instrument-specific credit risk	37	_	37	44	_	44	22	_	22		
Other changes in fair value	4	-	4	29	-	29	23	-	23		
Other assets	(2)	<b>5</b> (d)	3	_	26 <sup>(d)</sup>	26	_	(29) <sup>(d)</sup>	(29)		
Deposits <sup>(a)</sup>	94	-	94	(295)	_	(295)	260	_	260		
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements	6	_	6	(21)	_	(21)	59	_	59		
Other borrowed funds <sup>(a)</sup>	194	_	194	(949)	_	(949)	941	_	941		
Trading liabilities	(20)	_	(20)	(17)	_	(17)	(46)	_	(46)		
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs	14	_	14	(3)	_	(3)	(15)	_	(15)		
Other liabilities	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Long-term debt:											
Changes in instrument-specific credit risk <sup>(a)</sup>	300	_	300	101	_	101	(271)	_	(271)		
Other changes in fair value(b)	752	_	752	(374)	_	(374)	(68)	_	(68)		

<sup>(</sup>a) Total changes in instrument-specific credit risk (DVA) related to structured notes were \$171 million, \$20 million and \$(337) million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. These totals include such changes for structured notes classified within deposits and other borrowed funds, as well as long-term debt.

<sup>(</sup>b) Structured notes are predominantly financial instruments containing embedded derivatives. Where present, the embedded derivative is the primary driver of risk. Although the risk associated with the structured notes is actively managed, the gains/(losses) reported in this table do not include the income statement impact of the risk management instruments used to manage such risk.

<sup>(</sup>c) Reported in mortgage fees and related income.

<sup>(</sup>d) Reported in other income.

# Determination of instrument-specific credit risk for items for which a fair value election was made

The following describes how the gains and losses included in earnings that are attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk, were determined.

- Loans and lending-related commitments: For floating-rate instruments, all changes in value are attributed to instrument-specific credit risk. For fixed-rate instruments, an allocation of the changes in value for the period is made between those changes in value that are interest rate-related and changes in value that are credit-related. Allocations are generally based on an analysis of borrower-specific credit spread and recovery information, where available, or benchmarking to similar entities or industries.
- Long-term debt: Changes in value attributable to instrument-specific credit risk were derived principally from observable changes in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s credit spread.
- Resale and repurchase agreements, securities borrowed agreements and securities lending agreements:
   Generally, for these types of agreements, there is a requirement that collateral be maintained with a market value equal to or in excess of the principal amount loaned; as a result, there would be no adjustment or an immaterial adjustment for instrument-specific credit risk related to these agreements.

#### Difference between aggregate fair value and aggregate remaining contractual principal balance outstanding

The following table reflects the difference between the aggregate fair value and the aggregate remaining contractual principal balance outstanding as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, for loans, long-term debt and long-term beneficial interests for which the fair value option has been elected.

			2	015						20	014		
December 31, (in millions)	р	ntractual rincipal tstanding	F	air value	co	air value over/ (under) ortractual orincipal itstanding	ŗ	ontractual orincipal otstanding		F	air value	( coi p	air value over/ under) ntractual rincipal tstanding
Loans <sup>(a)</sup>													
Nonaccrual loans													
Loans reported as trading assets	\$	1,855	\$	247	\$	(1,608)	\$	2,097		\$	457	\$	(1,640)
Loans		-		-		-		_			_		
Subtotal		1,855		247		(1,608)		2,097			457		(1,640)
All other performing loans													
Loans reported as trading assets		28,094		26,930		(1,164)		32,314			32,031		(283)
Loans		2,771		2,752		(19)		2,290			2,283		(7)
Total loans	\$	32,720	\$	29,929	\$	(2,791)	\$	36,701		\$	34,771	\$	(1,930)
Long-term debt													
Principal-protected debt	\$	2,778	c) <b>\$</b>	2,673	\$	(105)	\$	3,111	(c)	\$	3,064	\$	(47)
Nonprincipal-protected debt <sup>(b)</sup>		NA		12,056		NA		NA			11,072		NA
Total long-term debt		NA	\$	14,729		NA		NA		\$	14,136		NA
Long-term beneficial interests													
Nonprincipal-protected debt		NA	\$	_		NA		NA		\$	18		NA
Total long-term beneficial interests		NA	\$	_		NA		NA		\$	18		NA

<sup>(</sup>a) There were no performing loans that were ninety days or more past due as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the contractual amount of letters of credit for which the fair value option was elected was \$4.6 billion and \$4.5 billion, respectively, with a corresponding fair value of \$(113) million and \$(147) million, respectively. For further information regarding off-balance sheet lending-related financial instruments, see Note 27.

<sup>(</sup>b) Remaining contractual principal is not applicable to nonprincipal-protected notes. Unlike principal-protected structured notes, for which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is obligated to return a stated amount of principal at the maturity of the note, nonprincipal-protected structured notes do not obligate JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to return a stated amount of principal at maturity, but to return an amount based on the performance of an underlying variable or derivative feature embedded in the note. However, investors are exposed to the credit risk of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as issuer for both nonprincipal-protected and principal protected notes.

<sup>(</sup>c) Where JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. issues principal-protected zero-coupon or discount notes, the balance reflects the contractual principal payment at maturity or, if applicable, the contractual principal payment at JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s next call date.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Note 6 - Credit risk concentrations

Concentrations of credit risk arise when a number of customers are engaged in similar business activities or activities in the same geographic region, or when they have similar economic features that would cause their ability to meet contractual obligations to be similarly affected by changes in economic conditions.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. regularly monitors various segments of its credit portfolios to assess potential credit risk concentrations and to obtain collateral when deemed necessary. Senior management is significantly involved in the credit approval and review process, and risk levels are adjusted as needed to reflect JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s risk appetite.

In JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s consumer portfolio, concentrations are evaluated primarily by product and by U.S. geographic region, with a key focus on trends and concentrations at the portfolio level, where potential credit risk concentrations can be remedied through changes in underwriting policies and portfolio guidelines. In the wholesale portfolio, credit risk concentrations are evaluated

primarily by industry and monitored regularly on both an aggregate portfolio level and on an individual customer basis. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s wholesale exposure is managed through loan syndications and participations, loan sales, securitizations, credit derivatives, master netting agreements, and collateral and other risk-reduction techniques. For additional information on loans, see Note 15.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not believe that its exposure to any particular loan product (e.g., option adjustable rate mortgages ("ARMs")), or industry segment (e.g., commercial real estate), or its exposure to residential real estate loans with high loan-to-value ratios, results in a significant concentration of credit risk. Terms of loan products and collateral coverage are included in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s assessment when extending credit and establishing its allowance for loan losses.

The table below presents both on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet consumer and wholesale-related credit exposure by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s three credit portfolio segments as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

		20	15		2014					
	Credit	On-balar	nce sheet	Off-balance	Credit	On-balan	Off-balance			
December 31, (in millions)	exposure	Loans	Derivatives	sheet <sup>(f)</sup>	exposure	Loans	Derivatives	sheet <sup>(f)(g)</sup>		
Total consumer, excluding credit card	\$ 403,406	344,766	\$ -	\$ 58,640	\$ 347,479 \$	289,294	\$ -	\$ 58,185		
Total credit card	41,451	31,065	_	10,386	60,990	31,925		29,065		
Total consumer	444,857	375,831		69,026	408,469	321,219		87,250		
Wholesale-related <sup>(a)</sup>										
Real Estate	116,829	92,792	312	23,725	105,936	79,075	326	26,535		
Consumer & Retail	84,192	27,001	1,574	55,617	82,340	24,852	1,845	55,643		
Technology, Media & Telecommunications	57,345	11,058	1,032	45,255	46,168	11,349	2,190	32,629		
Industrials	54,341	16,783	1,428	36,130	47,714	16,016	1,284	30,414		
Banks & Finance Cos	46,398	23,401	10,218	12,779	57,877	26,364	15,488	16,025		
Healthcare	43,557	14,819	2,751	25,987	55,694	13,010	4,504	38,180		
Oil & Gas	41,623	13,233	1,558	26,832	42,584	15,503	1,385	25,696		
Utilities	30,026	5,102	1,128	23,796	26,406	4,622	1,537	20,247		
State & Municipal Govt	27,980	8,510	3,279	16,191	29,538	6,247	3,828	19,463		
Asset Managers	22,690	6,639	7,733	8,318	26,168	8,038	9,386	8,744		
Transportation	19,199	9,129	1,575	8,495	20,565	10,342	2,232	7,991		
Central Govt	17,968	2,000	13,240	2,728	19,881	1,103	15,527	3,251		
Chemicals/Plastics	15,231	4,033	369	10,829	12,592	3,082	396	9,114		
Metals/Mining	14,022	4,618	608	8,796	14,924	5,617	589	8,718		
Automotive	13,864	4,473	1,350	8,041	12,738	3,763	766	8,209		
Insurance	11,888	1,094	1,991	8,803	13,328	1,175	3,453	8,700		
Financial Markets Infrastructure	6,169	724	2,602	2,843	9,575	928	6,146	2,501		
Securities Firms	3,267	861	1,424	982	3,481	1,025	1,351	1,105		
All other <sup>(b)</sup>	149,720	109,761	5,324	34,635	134,450	92,216	4,731	37,503		
Subtotal	776,309	356,031	59,496	360,782	761,959	324,327	76,964	360,668		
Loans held-for-sale and loans at fair value	3,855	3,855	_	_	6,084	6,084	_	_		
Receivables from customers and other(c)	480	_	_	_	542					
Total wholesale-related	780,644	359,886	59,496	360,782	768,585	330,411	76,964	360,668		
Total exposure(d)(e)	\$ 1,225,501	735,717	\$ 59,496	\$ 429,808	\$ 1,177,054 \$	651,630	\$ 76,964	\$ 447,918		

<sup>(</sup>a) Effective in 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. realigned its wholesale industry divisions in order to better monitor and manage industry concentrations, as illustrated in the tables below. Included in this realignment is the combination of certain previous stand-alone industries (e.g. Consumer & Retail) as well as the creation of a new industry division, Financial Market Infrastructure, consisting of clearing houses, exchanges and related depositories. The prior period information has been revised to conform with the current period presentation.

<sup>(</sup>b) All other includes: individuals; SPEs; holding companies; and private education and civic organizations. For more information on exposures to SPEs, see Note 17.

<sup>(</sup>c) Primarily consists of margin loans to prime brokerage customers that are generally over-collateralized through a pledge of assets maintained in clients' brokerage accounts and are subject to daily minimum collateral requirements. As a result of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s credit risk mitigation practices, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. did not hold any reserves for credit impairment on these receivables.

<sup>(</sup>d) For further information regarding on-balance sheet credit concentrations by major product and/or geography, see Note 7 and Note 15. For information regarding concentrations of off-balance sheet lending-related financial instruments by major product, see Note 27.

<sup>(</sup>e) Excludes cash placed with banks of \$326.2 billion and \$487.2 billion, at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, placed with various central banks, predominantly Federal Reserve Banks.

f) Represents lending-related financial instruments.

g) Effective January 1, 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. no longer includes within its disclosure of wholesale lending-related commitments the unused amounts of advised uncommitted lines of credit as it is within JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s discretion whether or not to make a loan under these lines, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s approval is generally required prior to funding. Prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current period presentation.

#### Note 7 - Derivative instruments

Derivative instruments enable end-users to modify or mitigate exposure to credit or market risks. Counterparties to a derivative contract seek to obtain risks and rewards similar to those that could be obtained from purchasing or selling a related cash instrument without having to exchange upfront the full purchase or sales price. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. makes markets in derivatives for clients and also uses derivatives to hedge or manage its own risk exposures. Predominantly all of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s derivatives are entered into for market-making or risk management purposes.

#### Market-making derivatives

The majority of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s derivatives are entered into for market-making purposes. Clients use derivatives to mitigate or modify interest rate, credit, foreign exchange, equity and commodity risks. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. actively manages the risks from its exposure to these derivatives by entering into other derivative transactions or by purchasing or selling other financial instruments that partially or fully offset the exposure from client derivatives. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also seeks to earn a spread between the client derivatives and offsetting positions, and from the remaining open risk positions.

#### Risk management derivatives

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. manages its market risk exposures using various derivative instruments.

Interest rate contracts are used to minimize fluctuations in earnings that are caused by changes in interest rates. Fixed-rate assets and liabilities appreciate or depreciate in market value as interest rates change. Similarly, interest income and expense increases or decreases as a result of variable-rate assets and liabilities resetting to current market rates, and as a result of the repayment and subsequent origination or issuance of fixed-rate assets and liabilities at current market rates. Gains or losses on the derivative instruments that are related to such assets and liabilities are expected to substantially offset this variability in earnings. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. generally uses interest rate swaps, forwards and futures to manage the impact of interest rate fluctuations on earnings.

Foreign currency forward contracts are used to manage the foreign exchange risk associated with certain foreign currency-denominated (i.e., non-U.S. dollar) assets and liabilities and forecasted transactions, as well as JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s net investments in certain non-U.S. subsidiaries or branches whose functional currencies are not the U.S. dollar. As a result of fluctuations in foreign currencies, the U.S. dollar-equivalent values of the foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities or the forecasted revenues or expenses increase or decrease. Gains or losses on the derivative instruments related to these foreign currency-denominated assets or liabilities, or

forecasted transactions, are expected to substantially offset this variability.

Credit derivatives are used to manage the counterparty credit risk associated with loans and lending-related commitments. Credit derivatives compensate the purchaser when the entity referenced in the contract experiences a credit event, such as bankruptcy or a failure to pay an obligation when due. Credit derivatives primarily consist of credit default swaps. For a further discussion of credit derivatives, see the discussion in the Credit derivatives section on pages 52-55 of this Note.

For more information about risk management derivatives, see the risk management derivatives gains and losses table on page 52 of this Note, and the hedge accounting gains and losses tables on pages 49-52 of this Note.

Derivative counterparties and settlement types
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. enters into over-the-counter
("OTC") derivatives with third parties and JPMorgan Chase
affiliates, which are negotiated and settled bilaterally with
the derivative counterparty. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
also enters into, as principal, certain exchange-traded
derivatives ("ETD") such as futures and options, and
"cleared" over-the-counter ("OTC-cleared") derivative
contracts with central counterparties ("CCPs"). ETD
contracts are generally standardized contracts traded on an
exchange and cleared by the CCP, which is the counterparty
from the inception of the transactions. OTC-cleared
derivatives are traded on a bilateral basis and then novated
to the CCP for clearing.

#### Derivative Clearing Services

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. provides clearing services for clients where JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. acts as a clearing member with respect to certain derivative exchanges and clearing houses. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not reflect the clients' derivative contracts in its Consolidated Financial Statements. For further information on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s clearing services, see Note 27.

#### **Accounting for derivatives**

All free-standing derivatives that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. executes for its own account are required to be recorded on the Consolidated balance sheets at fair value.

As permitted under U.S. GAAP JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. nets derivative assets and liabilities, and the related cash collateral receivables and payables, when a legally enforceable master netting agreement exists between JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and the derivative counterparty. For further discussion of the offsetting of assets and liabilities, see Note 1. The accounting for changes in value of a derivative depends on whether or not the transaction has been designated and qualifies for hedge accounting. Derivatives that are not designated as hedges are reported and measured at fair value through earnings. The tabular disclosures on pages 45-52 of this Note provide additional information on the amount of, and reporting for, derivative

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

assets, liabilities, gains and losses. For further discussion of derivatives embedded in structured notes, see Notes 4 and 5.

Derivatives designated as hedges

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. applies hedge accounting to certain derivatives executed for risk management purposes – generally interest rate, foreign exchange and commodity derivatives. However, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not seek to apply hedge accounting to all of the derivatives involved in its risk management activities. For example, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not apply hedge accounting to purchased credit default swaps used to manage the credit risk of loans and lending-related commitments, because of the difficulties in qualifying such contracts as hedges. For the same reason, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not apply hedge accounting to certain interest rate, foreign exchange, and commodity derivatives used for risk management purposes.

To qualify for hedge accounting, a derivative must be highly effective at reducing the risk associated with the exposure being hedged. In addition, for a derivative to be designated as a hedge, the risk management objective and strategy must be documented. Hedge documentation must identify the derivative hedging instrument, the asset or liability or forecasted transaction and type of risk to be hedged, and how the effectiveness of the derivative is assessed prospectively and retrospectively. To assess effectiveness, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. uses statistical methods such as regression analysis, as well as nonstatistical methods including dollar-value comparisons of the change in the fair value of the derivative to the change in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged item. The extent to which a derivative has been, and is expected to continue to be. effective at offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged item must be assessed and documented at least quarterly. Any hedge ineffectiveness (i.e., the amount by which the gain or loss on the designated derivative instrument does not exactly offset the change in the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk) must be reported in current-period earnings. If it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective at hedging the designated exposure, hedge accounting is discontinued.

There are three types of hedge accounting designations: fair value hedges, cash flow hedges and net investment hedges. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. uses fair value hedges primarily to hedge fixed-rate long-term debt, AFS securities and certain commodities inventories. For qualifying fair value hedges, the changes in the fair value of the derivative, and in the value of the hedged item for the risk being hedged, are recognized in earnings. If the hedge relationship is terminated, then the adjustment to the hedged item continues to be reported as part of the basis of the hedged item, and for benchmark interest rate hedges is amortized to earnings as a yield adjustment. Derivative amounts affecting earnings are recognized consistent with the classification of the hedged item – primarily net interest income and principal transactions revenue.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. uses cash flow hedges primarily to hedge the exposure to variability in forecasted cash flows from floating-rate assets and liabilities and foreign currency-denominated revenue and expense. For qualifying cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the change in the fair value of the derivative is recorded in OCI and recognized in the Consolidated statements of income when the hedged cash flows affect earnings. Derivative amounts affecting earnings are recognized consistent with the classification of the hedged item - primarily interest income, interest expense, noninterest revenue and compensation expense. The ineffective portions of cash flow hedges are immediately recognized in earnings. If the hedge relationship is terminated, then the value of the derivative recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income/ (loss) ("AOCI") is recognized in earnings when the cash flows that were hedged affect earnings. For hedge relationships that are discontinued because a forecasted transaction is not expected to occur according to the original hedge forecast, any related derivative values recorded in AOCI are immediately recognized in earnings.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. uses foreign currency hedges to protect the value of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s net investments in certain non-U.S. subsidiaries or branches whose functional currencies are not the U.S. dollar. For foreign currency qualifying net investment hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivatives are recorded in the translation adjustments account within AOCI.

The following table outlines JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s primary uses of derivatives and the related hedge accounting designation or disclosure category.

Type of Derivative	Use of Derivative	Designation and disclosure	Page reference
Manage specifically identified	risk exposures in qualifying hedge accounting relationships:	•	•
∘ Interest rate	Hedge fixed rate assets and liabilities	Fair value hedge	50
∘ Interest rate	Hedge floating-rate assets and liabilities	Cash flow hedge	51
∘ Foreign exchange	Hedge foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities	Fair value hedge	50
∘ Foreign exchange	Hedge forecasted revenue and expense	Cash flow hedge	51
∘ Foreign exchange	Hedge the value of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s investments in non-U.S. subsidiaries	Net investment hedge	52
<ul><li>Commodity</li></ul>	Hedge commodity inventory	Fair value hedge	50
Manage specifically identified	risk exposures not designated in qualifying hedge accounting relationships:		
∘ Interest rate	Manage the risk of the mortgage pipeline, warehouse loans and MSRs	Specified risk management	52
∘ Credit	Manage the credit risk of wholesale lending exposures	Specified risk management	52
∘ Commodity	Manage the risk of certain commodities-related contracts and investments	Specified risk management	52
∘Interest rate and foreign exchange	Manage the risk of certain other specified assets and liabilities	Specified risk management	52
Market-making derivatives an	d other activities:	<u> </u>	
• Various	Market-making and related risk management	Market-making and other	52
• Various	Other derivatives	Market-making and other	52

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

Notional amount of derivative contracts

The following table summarizes the notional amount of derivative contracts outstanding as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

	Notional amounts					
December 31, (in billions)	2015	2014				
Interest rate contracts						
Swaps	\$ 24,394	\$ 29,980				
Futures and forwards	4,885	9,840				
Written options	3,524	3,913				
Purchased options	3,927	4,259				
Total interest rate contracts	36,730	47,992				
Credit derivatives <sup>(a)</sup>	2,893	4,247				
Foreign exchange contracts						
Cross-currency swaps	3,213	3,355				
Spot, futures and forwards	5,083	4,675				
Written options	690	790				
Purchased options	706	780				
Total foreign exchange contracts	9,692	9,600				
Equity contracts						
Swaps	318	306				
Futures and forwards	40	37				
Written options	441	480				
Purchased options	408	431				
Total equity contracts	1,207	1,254				
Commodity contracts						
Swaps	345	132				
Spot, futures and forwards	92	163				
Written options	135	180				
Purchased options	136	178				
Total commodity contracts	708	653				
Total derivative notional amounts	\$ 51,230	\$ 63,746				

- (a) For more information on volumes and types of credit derivative contracts, see the Credit derivatives discussion on pages 52-55 of this Note.
- (b) Represents the sum of gross long and gross short notional derivative contracts with third-parties and JPMorgan Chase affiliates. For additional information on related party derivatives, see Note 22.

While the notional amounts disclosed above give an indication of the volume of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s derivatives activity, the notional amounts significantly exceed, in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s view, the possible losses that could arise from such transactions. For most derivative transactions, the notional amount is not exchanged; it is used simply as a reference to calculate payments.

#### Impact of derivatives on the Consolidated balance sheets

The tables below include derivative receivables and payables with affiliates on a net basis. See Note 22 for information regarding our derivative activities with affiliates.

The following table summarizes information on derivative receivables and payables (before and after netting adjustments) that are reflected on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, by accounting designation (e.g., whether the derivatives were designated in qualifying hedge accounting relationships or not) and contract type.

Free-standing derivative receivables and payables(a)

	Gross derivative receivables					Gross derivative payables						
December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Not designated as hedges		signated hedges	Total derivative receivables		Net erivative eivables <sup>(b)</sup>	Not designated as hedges		signated hedges	Total derivative payables		Net erivative ayables <sup>(b)</sup>
Trading assets and liabilities	5											
Interest rate	\$ 679,090	\$	776	\$ 679,866	\$	27,099	\$ 644,227	\$	2,081	\$ 646,308	\$	11,814
Credit	51,583		-	51,583		1,424	50,872		-	50,872		1,770
Foreign exchange	180,070		788	180,858		17,437	191,793		364	192,157		20,321
Equity	53,694		-	53,694		5,756	55,791		-	55,791		8,699
Commodity	40,719		9	40,728		7,780	42,503		_	42,503		10,180
Total fair value of trading assets and liabilities	\$1,005,156	\$	1,573	\$1,006,729	\$	59,496	\$ 985,186	\$	2,445	\$ 987,631	\$	52,784

	Gross derivative receivables						Gross derivative payables							
December 31, 2014 (in millions)	Not designated as hedges		Designated as hedges	Total derivative receivables		Net derivative eceivables <sup>(b)</sup>	Not designated as hedges			signated hedges		Total Ierivative payables		Net erivative ayables <sup>(b)</sup>
Trading assets and liabilities														
Interest rate	\$ 948,416	(c)	\$ 1,004	\$ 949,420	(c)	\$ 33,339	\$ 918,216	(c)	\$	2,790	\$	921,006	(c)	\$ 20,814
Credit	76,868		_	76,868		1,864	76,397			_		76,397		2,095
Foreign exchange	211,523	(c)	3,634	215,157	(c)	21,223	225,350	(c)		54		225,404	(c)	23,760
Equity	39,643	(c)	-	39,643	(c)	8,173	43,532	(c)		_		43,532	(c)	11,852
Commodity	39,496		502	39,998		12,365	40,370			163		40,533		14,447
Total fair value of trading assets and liabilities	\$1.315.946	(c)	\$ 5.140	\$1.321.086	(c)	\$ 76,964	\$1.303.865	(c)	\$	3.007	\$1	.306,872	(c)	\$ 72.968

<sup>(</sup>a) Balances exclude structured notes for which the fair value option has been elected. See Note 5 for further information.

<sup>(</sup>b) As permitted under U.S. GAAP, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has elected to net derivative receivables and derivative payables and the related cash collateral receivables and payables when a legally enforceable master netting agreement exists.

<sup>(</sup>c) The prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current period presentation. These revisions had no impact on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets or its results of operations.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

The following table presents, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the gross and net derivative receivables by contract and settlement type. Derivative receivables have been netted on the Consolidated balance sheets against derivative payables and cash collateral payables to the same counterparty with respect to derivative contracts for which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has obtained an appropriate legal opinion with respect to the master netting agreement. Where such a legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained, the receivables are not eligible under U.S. GAAP for netting on the Consolidated balance sheets, and are shown separately in the table below.

		2015		2014								
December 31, (in millions)	Gross derivative receivables	Amounts netted on the Consolidated balance sheets	Net derivative receivables	Gross derivative receivables	Amounts netted on the Consolidated balance sheets	Net derivative receivables						
U.S. GAAP nettable derivative receivables												
Interest rate contracts:												
ОТС	\$ 427,840	\$ (406,182)	\$ 21,658	\$ 541,806	(c) \$ (514,902) (c)	\$ 26,904						
OTC-cleared	246,594	(246,585)	9	401,217	(401,179)	38						
Exchange-traded <sup>(a)</sup>	_	_		_	_							
Total interest rate contracts	674,434	(652,767)	21,667	943,023	(c) (916,081) (c)	26,942						
Credit contracts:	,	,										
ОТС	44,203	(43,297)	906	66,664	(65,720)	944						
OTC-cleared	6,865	(6,862)	3	9,320	(9,284)	36						
Total credit contracts	51,068	(50,159)	909	75,984	(75,004)	980						
Foreign exchange contracts:					,							
ОТС	176,177	(163,100)	13,077	208,798	(c) (193,900) (c)	14,898						
OTC-cleared	323	(321)	2	36	(34)	2						
Exchange-traded <sup>(a)</sup>	_	_	_	_	_	_						
Total foreign exchange contracts	176,500	(163,421)	13,079	208,834	(c) (193,934) (c)	14,900						
Equity contracts:	,											
ОТС	40,056	(39,568)	488	23,455	(22,826)	629						
OTC-cleared	_	_	_	_	_	_						
Exchange-traded <sup>(a)</sup>	10,754	(8,370)	2,384	10,798	(8,644) (c)	2,154						
Total equity contracts	50,810	(47,938)	2,872	34,253	(c) (31,470) (c)	2,783						
Commodity contracts:												
ОТС	30,996	(23,892)	7,104	19,740	(12,563)	7,177						
OTC-cleared	-	_	_	_	_	-						
Exchange-traded <sup>(a)</sup>	9,124	(9,056)	68	19,200	(15,070)	4,130						
Total commodity contracts	40,120	(32,948)	7,172	38,940	(27,633)	11,307						
Derivative receivables with appropriate legal opinion	\$ 992,932	\$ (947,233) <sup>(b)</sup>	\$ 45,699	\$ 1,301,034	(c) \$(1,244,122) (b)(c)	\$ 56,912						
Derivative receivables where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained	13,797		13,797	20,052		20,052						
Total derivative receivables recognized on the Consolidated balance sheets	\$ 1,006,729		\$ 59,496	\$ 1,321,086	(c)	\$ 76,964						

<sup>(</sup>a) Exchange-traded derivative amounts that relate to futures contracts are settled daily.

<sup>(</sup>b) Included cash collateral netted of \$73.4 billion and \$73.9 billion at December 31, 2015, and 2014, respectively.

<sup>(</sup>c) The prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current period presentation with a corresponding impact to the table below. These revisions had no impact on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets or its results of operations.

The following table presents, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the gross and net derivative payables by contract and settlement type. Derivative payables have been netted on the Consolidated balance sheets against derivative receivables and cash collateral receivables from the same counterparty with respect to derivative contracts for which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has obtained an appropriate legal opinion with respect to the master netting agreement. Where such a legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained, the payables are not eligible under U.S. GAAP for netting on the Consolidated balance sheets, and are shown separately in the table below.

		2015		2014						
December 31, (in millions)	Gross derivative payables	Amounts netted on the Consolidated balance sheets	Net derivative payables	Gross derivative payables	Amounts netted on the Consolidated balance sheets	Net derivative payables				
U.S. GAAP nettable derivative payables										
Interest rate contracts:										
ОТС	\$ 405,054	\$ (394,282)	\$ 10,772	\$ 519,010	(c) \$ (503,381) (c)	\$ 15,629				
OTC-cleared	240,241	(240,212)	29	398,079	(396,811)	1,268				
Exchange-traded <sup>(a)</sup>	-	_	_	_	_	_				
Total interest rate contracts	645,295	(634,494)	10,801	917,089	(c) (900,192) (c)	16,897				
Credit contracts:										
ОТС	44,731	(43,133)	1,598	65,937	(64,904)	1,033				
OTC-cleared	5,969	(5,969)	_	9,398	(9,398)	_				
Total credit contracts	50,700	(49,102)	1,598	75,335	(74,302)	1,033				
Foreign exchange contracts:										
ОТС	186,567	(171,535)	15,032	218,909	(c) (201,578) (c)	17,331				
OTC-cleared	301	(301)	_	66	(66)	_				
Exchange-traded <sup>(a)</sup>	_	_	_	_	_	_				
Total foreign exchange contracts	186,868	(171,836)	15,032	218,975	(c) (201,644) (c)	17,331				
Equity contracts:										
ОТС	42,683	(38,722)	3,961	28,041	(23,036)	5,005				
OTC-cleared	_	_	_	_	_	_				
Exchange-traded <sup>(a)</sup>	8,911	(8,370)	541	10,022	(c) (8,644) (c)	1,378				
Total equity contracts	51,594	(47,092)	4,502	38,063	(c) (31,680) (c)	6,383				
Commodity contracts:										
ОТС	31,976	(23,054)	8,922	20,618	(11,016)	9,602				
OTC-cleared	_	_	_	_	_	_				
Exchange-traded <sup>(a)</sup>	9,322	(9,269)	53	18,078	(15,070)	3,008				
Total commodity contracts	41,298	(32,323)	8,975	38,696	(26,086)	12,610				
Derivative payables with appropriate legal opinions	\$ 975,755	\$ (934,847) <sup>(b)</sup>	\$ 40,908	\$ 1,288,158	(c) \$(1,233,904) (b)(c)	\$ 54,254				
Derivative payables where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained	11,876		11,876	18,714		18,714				
Total derivative payables recognized on the Consolidated balance sheets	\$ 987,631		\$ 52,784	\$ 1,306,872	(c)	\$ 72,968				

<sup>(</sup>a) Exchange-traded derivative balances that relate to futures contracts are settled daily.

<sup>(</sup>b) Included cash collateral netted of \$61.1 billion and \$63.7 billion related to OTC and OTC-cleared derivatives at December 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014, respectively.

<sup>(</sup>c) The prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current period presentation. These revisions had no impact on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets or its results of operations.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

In addition to the cash collateral received and transferred that is presented on a net basis with net derivative receivables and payables, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. receives and transfers additional collateral (financial instruments and cash). These amounts mitigate counterparty credit risk associated with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s derivative instruments but are not eligible for net presentation, because (a) the collateral consists of non-

cash financial instruments (generally U.S. government and agency securities and other Group of Seven Nations ("G7") government bonds), (b) the amount of collateral held or transferred exceeds the fair value exposure, at the individual counterparty level, as of the date presented, or (c) the collateral relates to derivative receivables or payables where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained.

The following tables present information regarding certain financial instrument collateral received and transferred as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, that is not eligible for net presentation under U.S. GAAP. The collateral included in these tables relates only to the derivative instruments for which appropriate legal opinions have been obtained; excluded are (i) additional collateral that exceeds the fair value exposure and (ii) all collateral related to derivative instruments where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained.

#### Derivative receivable collateral

			2015						
December 31, (in millions)	t derivative eceivables	nett Co	lateral not able on the nsolidated ance sheets	Net exposure		derivative eivables	netta Con	ateral not able on the solidated nce sheets	Net exposure
2000	 	20.0	21100 3110013	chposu.c		cirabics	ou.u.	3	emposure_
Derivative receivables with appropriate legal opinions	\$ 45,699	\$	(13,354) <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 32,345	\$	56,912	\$	(16,032) <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 40,880

#### Derivative payable collateral(b)

		201	5		2014					
December 31, (in millions)	t derivative payables	Collate nettable Consoli balance	on the idated	Net amount <sup>(c)</sup>		derivative bayables	netta Cor	lateral not able on the nsolidated nce sheets	Net amount <sup>(c)</sup>	
Derivative payables with appropriate legal opinions	\$ 40,908	\$	(7,946) (a)	\$ 32,962	\$	54,254	\$	(10,505) (a)	\$ 43,749	

- (a) Represents liquid security collateral as well as cash collateral held at third party custodians. For some counterparties, the collateral amounts of financial instruments may exceed the derivative receivables and derivative payables balances. Where this is the case, the total amount reported is limited to the net derivative receivables and net derivative payables balances with that counterparty.
- (b) Derivative payables collateral relates only to OTC and OTC-cleared derivative instruments. Amounts exclude collateral transferred related to exchange-traded derivative instruments.
- (c) Net amount represents exposure of counterparties to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

### Liquidity risk and credit-related contingent features

In addition to the specific market risks introduced by each derivative contract type, derivatives expose JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to credit risk – the risk that derivative counterparties may fail to meet their payment obligations under the derivative contracts and the collateral, if any, held by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. proves to be of insufficient value to cover the payment obligation. It is the policy of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to actively pursue, where possible, the use of legally enforceable master netting arrangements and collateral agreements to mitigate derivative counterparty credit risk. The amount of derivative receivables reported on the Consolidated balance sheets is the fair value of the derivative contracts after giving effect to legally enforceable master netting agreements and cash collateral held by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

While derivative receivables expose JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to credit risk, derivative payables expose JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to liquidity risk, as the derivative contracts typically require JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to post cash or

securities collateral with counterparties as the fair value of the contracts moves in the counterparties' favor or upon specified downgrades in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s its subsidiaries' respective credit ratings. Certain derivative contracts also provide for termination of the contract, generally upon a downgrade of either JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. or the counterparty, at the fair value of the derivative contracts. The following table shows the aggregate fair value of net derivative payables related to OTC and OTC-cleared derivatives that contain contingent collateral or termination features that may be triggered upon a ratings downgrade, and the associated collateral JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has posted in the normal course of business, at December 31, 2015 and 2014.

# OTC and OTC-cleared derivative payables containing downgrade triggers

December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014
Aggregate fair value of net derivative payables	\$ 21,934	\$ 31,882
Collateral posted	18,176	27,282

The following table shows the impact of a single-notch and two-notch downgrade of the long-term issuer ratings of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2015 and 2014, related to OTC and OTC-cleared derivative contracts with contingent collateral or termination features that may be triggered upon a ratings downgrade. Derivatives contracts generally require additional collateral to be posted or terminations to be triggered when the predefined threshold rating is breached. A downgrade by a single rating agency that does not result in a rating lower than a preexisting corresponding rating provided by another major rating agency will generally not result in additional collateral (except in certain instances in which additional initial margin may be required upon a ratings downgrade), nor in termination payments requirements. The liquidity impact in the table is calculated based upon a downgrade below the lowest current rating by the rating agencies referred to in the derivative contract.

### Liquidity impact of downgrade triggers on OTC and OTC-cleared derivatives

	2015				201	14	
December 31, (in millions)	Single- downg		Two-notch downgrade	. •	e-notch ngrade	Two-notch downgrade	
Amount of additional collateral to be posted upon downgrade <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	787	\$ 3,001	\$	1,032	\$ 3,297	
Amount required to settle contracts with termination triggers upon downgrade(b)		271	1,093		366	1,388	

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes the additional collateral to be posted for initial margin.

#### Derivatives executed in contemplation of a sale of the underlying financial asset

In certain instances JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. enters into transactions in which it transfers financial assets but maintains the economic exposure to the transferred assets by entering into a derivative with the same counterparty in contemplation of the initial transfer. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. generally accounts for such transfers as collateralized financing transactions as described in Note 14, but in limited circumstances they may qualify to be accounted for as a sale and a derivative under U.S. GAAP. The amount of such transfers accounted for as a sale where the associated derivative was outstanding at December 31, 2015 was not material.

#### Impact of derivatives on the Consolidated statements of income

The following tables provide information related to gains and losses recorded on derivatives based on their hedge accounting designation or purpose.

#### Fair value hedge gains and losses

The following tables present derivative instruments, by contract type, used in fair value hedge accounting relationships, as well as pretax gains/(losses) recorded on such derivatives and the related hedged items for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. includes gains/(losses) on the hedging derivative and the related hedged item in the same line item in the Consolidated statements of income.

<sup>(</sup>b) Amounts represent fair values of derivative payables, and do not reflect collateral posted.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

		Gains/(lo	osses) recorded i	n income	Income statement impact due to:			
Year ended December 31, 2015 (in millions)	De	erivatives	Hedged items	Total income statement impact	He ineffecti	dge veness <sup>(d)</sup>	Excluded components <sup>(e)</sup>	
Contract type								
Interest rate <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	(123)	\$ (233)	\$ (356)	\$	26	\$ (382)	
Foreign exchange <sup>(b)</sup>		6,900	(6,921)	(21)		_	(21)	
Commodity <sup>(c)</sup>		600	(638)	(38)		(11)	(27)	
Total	\$	7,377	\$ (7,792)	\$ (415)	\$	15	\$ (430)	

		Gains/(lo	sses) recorded i	Inco	Income statement impact due to:			
Year ended December 31, 2014 (in millions)	De	rivatives	Hedged items	Total income statement impact		Hedge ctiveness <sup>(d)</sup>		Excluded mponents <sup>(e)</sup>
Contract type		-						
Interest rate <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	(2,967)	\$ 2,577	\$ (390	) \$	55	\$	(445)
Foreign exchange <sup>(b)</sup>		9,107	(9,434)	(32)	<b>'</b> )	_		(327)
Commodity <sup>(c)</sup>		327	(149)	178	3	42		136
Total	\$	6,467	\$ (7,006)	\$ (539	) \$	97	\$	(636)

	Gains/(losses) recorded in income						Income statement impact due to:			
Year ended December 31, 2013 (in millions)	Dei	rivatives	Hed	dged items	Total income statement impact	ine	Hedge effectiveness <sup>(d)</sup>		Excluded components <sup>(e)</sup>	
Contract type										
Interest rate <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	2,011	\$	(2,363)	\$ (352)	\$	2	\$	(354)	
Foreign exchange(b)		(556)		293	(263)		_		(263)	
Commodity <sup>(c)</sup>		316		(1,160)	(844)		42		(886)	
Total	\$	1,771	\$	(3,230)	\$ (1,459)	\$	44	\$	(1,503)	

<sup>(</sup>a) Primarily consists of hedges of the benchmark (e.g., London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR")) interest rate risk of fixed-rate AFS securities. Gains and losses were recorded in net interest income.

<sup>(</sup>b) Primarily consists of hedges of the foreign currency risk of AFS securities for changes in spot foreign currency rates. Gains and losses related to the derivatives and the hedged items, due to changes in foreign currency rates, were recorded primarily in principal transactions revenue and net interest income

<sup>(</sup>c) Consists of overall fair value hedges of physical commodities inventories that are generally carried at the lower of cost or market (market approximates fair value). Gains and losses were recorded in principal transactions revenue.

<sup>(</sup>d) Hedge ineffectiveness is the amount by which the gain or loss on the designated derivative instrument does not exactly offset the gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk.

<sup>(</sup>e) The assessment of hedge effectiveness excludes certain components of the changes in fair values of the derivatives and hedged items such as forward points on foreign exchange forward contracts and time values.

#### Cash flow hedge gains and losses

The following tables present derivative instruments, by contract type, used in cash flow hedge accounting relationships, and the pretax gains/(losses) recorded on such derivatives, for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. includes the gain/(loss) on the hedging derivative and the change in cash flows on the hedged item in the same line item in the Consolidated statements of income.

		Gains/(losses) recorded in income and other comprehensive income/(loss)										
Year ended December 31, 2015 (in millions)	effecti reclas	ve portion sified from	Hedge ffectiveness recorded directly in income <sup>(c)</sup>	Total incon statemen impact	t effecti	vatives - ve portion ded in OCI	Total change in OCI for period					
Contract type												
Interest rate <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	(93) \$	_	\$	(93) \$	(44) \$		49				
Foreign exchange <sup>(b)</sup>		(81)	_		(81)	(53)		28				
Total	\$	(174) \$	_	\$ (	174) \$	(97) \$		77				

	Gains/(losses) recorded in income and other comprehensive income/(loss)										
Year ended December 31, 2014 (in millions)	Derivatives - effective portior reclassified fron AOCI to income		Hedge ineffectiveness recorded directly in income <sup>(c)</sup>		Total income statement impact	Derivatives - effective portion recorded in OCI		Total change in OCI for period			
Contract type											
Interest rate <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	45	\$ -	\$	45	\$	192 \$	147			
Foreign exchange <sup>(b)</sup>		78	_		78		(92)	(170)			
Total	\$	123	\$ -	\$	123	\$	100 \$	(23)			

	Gains/(losses) recorded in income and other comprehensive income/(loss)										
Year ended December 31, 2013 (in millions)	Derivatives - effective portion reclassified from AOCI to income		Hedge ineffectiveness recorded directly in income <sup>(c)</sup>		Total income statement impact	Derivatives - effective portion recorded in OCI		Total change in OCI for period			
Contract type								_			
Interest rate <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	149	\$ -	- \$	149	\$	(547) \$	(696)			
Foreign exchange <sup>(b)</sup>		7	_	-	7		40	33			
Total	\$	156	\$ -	- \$	156	\$	(507) \$	(663)			

<sup>(</sup>a) Primarily consists of benchmark interest rate hedges of LIBOR-indexed floating-rate assets and floating-rate liabilities. Gains and losses were recorded in net interest income, and for the forecasted transactions that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. determined during the year ended December 31, 2015, were probable of not occurring, in other income.

In 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. reclassified approximately \$150 million of net losses from AOCI to other income because JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. determined that it was probable that the forecasted interest payment cash flows would not occur as a result of the planned reduction in wholesale non-operating deposits JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. did not experience any forecasted transactions that failed to occur for the years ended December 31, 2014 or 2013.

Over the next 12 months, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. expects that approximately \$95 million (after-tax) of net losses recorded in AOCI at December 31, 2015, related to cash flow hedges, will be recognized in income. For terminated cash flow hedges, the maximum length of time over which forecasted transactions are remaining is approximately 7 years. For open cash flow hedges, the maximum length of time over which forecasted transactions are hedged is approximately 2 years. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s longer-dated forecasted transactions relate to core lending and borrowing activities.

<sup>(</sup>b) Primarily consists of hedges of the foreign currency risk of non-U.S. dollar-denominated revenue and expense. The income statement classification of gains and losses follows the hedged item - primarily noninterest revenue and compensation expense.

<sup>(</sup>c) Hedge ineffectiveness is the amount by which the cumulative gain or loss on the designated derivative instrument exceeds the present value of the cumulative expected change in cash flows on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Net investment hedge gains and losses

The following table presents hedging instruments, by contract type, that were used in net investment hedge accounting relationships, and the pretax gains/(losses) recorded on such instruments for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

> Gains/(losses) recorded in income and other comprehensive income/(loss) 2015 2014 2013 Excluded Excluded Excluded components components components

Effective Effective Effective recorded recorded recorded Year ended December 31, directly in portion directly in portion directly in portion (in millions) income<sup>(a)</sup> recorded in OCI income<sup>(a)</sup> recorded in OCI income<sup>(a)</sup> recorded in OCI (394) \$ Foreign exchange derivatives (317) \$ 1,541 1,413 (340)\$ 817

(a) Certain components of hedging derivatives are permitted to be excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness, such as forward points on foreign exchange forward contracts. Amounts related to excluded components are recorded in other income. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. measures the ineffectiveness of net investment hedge accounting relationships based on changes in spot foreign currency rates, and therefore there was no significant ineffectiveness for net investment hedge accounting relationships during 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Gains and losses on derivatives used for specified risk management purposes

The following table presents pretax gains/(losses) recorded on a limited number of derivatives, not designated in hedge accounting relationships, that are used to manage risks associated with certain specified assets and liabilities, including certain risks arising from the mortgage pipeline, warehouse loans, MSRs, wholesale lending exposures, AFS securities, foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities, and commodities-related contracts and investments.

	 Derivatives gains/(losses) recorded in income								
Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2013						
Contract type									
Interest rate <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 853 \$	2,308 \$	617						
Credit <sup>(b)</sup>	70	(58)	(142)						
Foreign exchange <sup>(c)</sup>	17	(27)	(18)						
Total	\$ 940	2,223 \$	457						

- (a) Primarily represents interest rate derivatives used to hedge the interest rate risk inherent in the mortgage pipeline, warehouse loans and MSRs, as well as written commitments to originate warehouse loans. Gains and losses were recorded predominantly in mortgage fees and related income.
- Relates to credit derivatives used to mitigate credit risk associated with lending exposures in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s wholesale businesses. These derivatives do not include credit derivatives used to mitigate counterparty credit risk arising from derivative receivables, which is included in gains and losses on derivatives related to market-making activities and other derivatives. Gains and losses were recorded in principal transactions revenue.
- Primarily relates to hedges of the foreign exchange risk of specified foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities. Gains and losses were recorded in principal transactions revenue.

Gains and losses on derivatives related to market-making activities and other derivatives

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. makes markets in derivatives in order to meet the needs of customers and uses derivatives to manage certain risks associated with net open risk positions from its market-making activities, including the counterparty credit risk arising from derivative receivables. All derivatives not included in the hedge accounting or specified risk management categories above are included in this category. Gains and losses on these derivatives are primarily recorded in principal transactions revenue. See Note 8 for information on principal transactions revenue.

#### Credit derivatives

Credit derivatives are financial instruments whose value is derived from the credit risk associated with the debt of a third-party issuer (the reference entity) and which allow one party (the protection purchaser) to transfer that risk to another party (the protection seller). Credit derivatives expose the protection purchaser to the creditworthiness of the protection seller, as the protection seller is required to make payments under the contract when the reference entity experiences a credit event, such as a bankruptcy, a failure to pay its obligation or a restructuring. The seller of credit protection receives a premium for providing protection but has the risk that the underlying instrument referenced in the contract will be subject to a credit event.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is both a purchaser and seller of protection in the credit derivatives market and uses these derivatives for two primary purposes. First, in its capacity as a market-maker, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. actively manages a portfolio of credit derivatives by purchasing and selling credit protection, predominantly on corporate debt obligations, to meet the needs of customers. Second, as an end-user, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. uses credit derivatives to manage credit risk associated with lending exposures (loans and unfunded commitments) and derivatives counterparty exposures in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s wholesale businesses, and to manage the credit risk arising from certain financial instruments in JPMorgan Chase Bank. N.A.'s market-making businesses. Following is a summary of various types of credit derivatives.

#### Credit default swaps

Credit derivatives may reference the credit of either a single reference entity ("single-name") or a broad-based index. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. purchases and sells protection on both single- name and index-reference obligations. Single-name CDS and index CDS contracts are either OTC or OTC-cleared derivative contracts. Single-name CDS are used to manage the default risk of a single reference entity, while index CDS contracts are used to manage the credit risk associated with the broader credit markets or credit market segments. Like the S&P 500 and other market indices, a CDS index consists of a portfolio of CDS across many reference entities. New series of CDS indices are periodically established with a new underlying portfolio of reference entities to reflect changes in the credit markets. If one of the reference entities in the index experiences a credit event, then the reference entity that defaulted is removed from the index. CDS can also be referenced against specific portfolios of reference names or against customized exposure levels based on specific client demands: for example, to provide protection against the first \$1 million of realized credit losses in a \$10 million portfolio of exposure. Such structures are commonly known as tranche CDS.

For both single-name CDS contracts and index CDS contracts, upon the occurrence of a credit event, under the terms of a CDS contract neither party to the CDS contract has recourse to the reference entity. The protection purchaser has recourse to the protection seller for the difference between the face value of the CDS contract and the fair value of the reference obligation at settlement of the credit derivative contract, also known as the recovery value. The protection purchaser does not need to hold the debt instrument of the underlying reference entity in order to receive amounts due under the CDS contract when a credit event occurs.

#### Credit-related notes

A credit-related note is a funded credit derivative where the issuer of the credit-related note purchases from the note investor credit protection on a reference entity or an index. Under the contract, the investor pays the issuer the par value of the note at the inception of the transaction, and in return, the issuer pays periodic payments to the investor, based on the credit risk of the referenced entity. The issuer also repays the investor the par value of the note at maturity unless the reference entity (or one of the entities that makes up a reference index) experiences a specified credit event. If a credit event occurs, the issuer is not obligated to repay the par value of the note, but rather, the issuer pays the investor the difference between the par value of the note and the fair value of the defaulted reference obligation at the time of settlement. Neither party to the credit-related note has recourse to the defaulting reference entity.

The following tables present a summary of the notional amounts of credit derivatives and credit-related notes JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. sold and purchased as of December 31, 2015 and 2014. Upon a credit event, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as a seller of protection would typically pay out only a percentage of the full notional amount of net protection sold, as the amount actually required to be paid on the contracts takes into account the recovery value of the reference obligation at the time of settlement. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. manages the credit risk on contracts to sell protection by purchasing protection with identical or similar underlying reference entities. Other purchased protection referenced in the following tables includes credit derivatives bought on related, but not identical, reference positions (including indices, portfolio coverage and other reference points) as well as protection purchased through credit-related notes.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not use notional amounts of credit derivatives as the primary measure of risk management for such derivatives, because the notional amount does not take into account the probability of the occurrence of a credit event, the recovery value of the reference obligation, or related cash instruments and economic hedges, each of which reduces, in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s view, the risks associated with such derivatives.

#### Total credit derivatives and credit-related notes

		Maximum payout/Notional amount								
December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Protection sold	Protection purchased with identical underlyings <sup>(b)</sup>		Net protection (sold)/ purchased <sup>(c)</sup>			Other rotection rchased <sup>(d)</sup>			
Credit derivatives										
Credit default swaps	\$ (1,382,805)	\$	1,398,627	\$	15,822	\$	12,011			
Other credit derivatives <sup>(a)</sup>	(42,646)		42,922		276		14,028			
Total credit derivatives	(1,425,451)		1,441,549		16,098		26,039			
Credit-related notes	(30)		_		(30)		4,489			
Total	\$ (1,425,481)	\$	1,441,549	\$	16,068	\$	30,528			

		Maximum payout/Notional amount								
December 31, 2014 (in millions)	Protection sold	Protection purchased with identical underlyings <sup>(b)</sup>		Net protection (sold)/ purchased <sup>(c)</sup>		Other protection purchased <sup>(d)</sup>				
Credit derivatives										
Credit default swaps	\$ (2,058,173)	\$	2,017,214	\$	(40,959)	80,007				
Other credit derivatives <sup>(a)</sup>	(40,322)		32,048		(8,274)	19,475				
Total credit derivatives	(2,098,495)		2,049,262		(49,233)	99,482				
Credit-related notes	(40)		=		(40)	3,165				
Total	\$ (2,098,535)	\$	2,049,262	\$	(49,273) \$	102,647				

<sup>(</sup>a) Other credit derivatives predominantly consists of credit swap options.

<sup>(</sup>b) Represents the total notional amount of protection purchased where the underlying reference instrument is identical to the reference instrument on protection sold; the notional amount of protection purchased for each individual identical underlying reference instrument may be greater or lower than the notional amount of protection sold.

<sup>(</sup>c) Does not take into account the fair value of the reference obligation at the time of settlement, which would generally reduce the amount the seller of protection pays to the buyer of protection in determining settlement value.

<sup>(</sup>d) Represents protection purchased by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. on referenced instruments (single-name, portfolio or index) where JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has not sold any protection on the identical reference instrument.

The following tables summarize the notional amounts by the ratings and maturity profile, and the total fair value, of credit derivatives and credit-related notes as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, where JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is the seller of protection. The maturity profile is based on the remaining contractual maturity of the credit derivative contracts. The ratings profile is based on the rating of the reference entity on which the credit derivative contract is based. The ratings and maturity profile of credit derivatives and credit-related notes where JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is the purchaser of protection are comparable to the profile reflected below.

#### Protection sold - credit derivatives and credit-related notes ratings(a)/maturity profile

December 31, 2015 (in millions)	<1 year	1-5 years	>5 years	Total notional amount	Fair value of receivables <sup>(b)</sup>	Fair value of payables <sup>(b)</sup>	Net fair value
Risk rating of reference ent	ity						
Investment-grade	\$ (307,416)	\$ (699,148)	\$ (46,997)	\$ (1,053,561)	\$ 13,538	\$ (6,878)	\$ 6,660
Noninvestment-grade	(109,105)	(245,110)	(17,705)	(371,920)	10,946	(18,867)	(7,921)
Total	\$ (416,521)	\$ (944,258)	\$ (64,702)	\$ (1,425,481)	\$ 24,484	\$ (25,745)	\$ (1,261)
December 31, 2014 (in millions)	<1 year	1-5 years	>5 years	Total notional amount	Fair value of receivables <sup>(b)</sup>	Fair value of payables <sup>(b)</sup>	Net fair value
Risk rating of reference ent	ity						
Investment-grade	\$ (323,400)	\$(1,118,418)	\$ (80,185)	\$ (1,522,003)	\$ 25,771	\$ (6,318)	\$19,453
Noninvestment-grade	(156,557)	(396,663)	(23,312)	(576,532)	20,680	(22,646)	(1,966)
Total	\$ (479,957)	\$(1,515,081)	\$(103,497)	\$ (2,098,535)	\$ 46,451	\$ (28,964)	\$17,487

<sup>(</sup>a) The ratings scale is primarily based on external credit ratings defined by S&P and Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's").

<sup>(</sup>b) Amounts are shown on a gross basis, before the benefit of legally enforceable master netting agreements and cash collateral received by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Note 8 - Noninterest revenue

#### Investment banking fees

This revenue category includes equity and debt underwriting and advisory fees. Underwriting fees are recognized as revenue when JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has rendered all services to the issuer and is entitled to collect the fee from the issuer, as long as there are no other contingencies associated with the fee. Underwriting fees are net of syndicate expense; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. recognizes credit arrangement and syndication fees as revenue after satisfying certain retention, timing and yield criteria. Advisory fees are recognized as revenue when the related services have been performed and the fee has been earned.

The following table presents the components of investment banking fees.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015			2014	2013		
Underwriting							
Equity	\$	517	\$	592	\$	432	
Debt		750		829		839	
Total underwriting		1,267		1,421		1,271	
Advisory		664		613		537	
Total investment banking fees	\$	1,931	\$	2,034	\$	1,808	

#### **Principal transactions**

Principal transactions revenue consists of realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivatives and other instruments (including those accounted for under the fair value option) primarily used in client-driven market-making activities and on private equity investments. In connection with its client-driven market-making activities, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. transacts in debt and equity instruments, derivatives and commodities (including physical commodities inventories and financial instruments that reference commodities).

Principal transactions revenue also includes realized and unrealized gains and losses related to hedge accounting and specified risk-management activities, including: (a) certain derivatives designated in qualifying hedge accounting relationships (primarily fair value hedges of commodity and foreign exchange risk), (b) certain derivatives used for specific risk management purposes, primarily to mitigate credit risk, foreign exchange risk and commodity risk, and (c) other derivatives. For further information on the income statement classification of gains and losses from derivatives activities, see Note 7.

In the financial commodity markets, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. transacts in OTC derivatives (e.g., swaps, forwards, options) and exchange-traded derivatives that reference a wide range of underlying commodities. In the physical commodity markets, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. purchases and sells precious and base metals.

Physical commodities inventories are generally carried at the lower of cost or market (market approximates fair value) subject to any applicable fair value hedge accounting adjustments, with realized gains and losses and unrealized losses recorded in principal transactions revenue.

The following table presents all realized and unrealized gains and losses recorded in principal transactions revenue. This table excludes interest income and interest expense on trading assets and liabilities, which are an integral part of the overall performance of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s client-driven market-making activities. See Note 9 for further information on interest income and interest expense. Trading revenue is presented primarily by instrument type. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s client-driven market-making businesses generally utilize a variety of instrument types in connection with their market-making and related risk-management activities; accordingly, the trading revenue presented in the table below is not representative of the total revenue of any individual line of business.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015		2014		2013	
Trading revenue by instrument type						
Interest rate	\$	2,782	\$	1,951	\$	886
Credit		930		901		892
Foreign exchange		2,700		1,586		1,754
Equity		2,043		2,021		2,117
Commodity <sup>(a)</sup>		610		1,182		953
Total trading revenue		9,065		7,641		6,602
Private equity gains		20		19		(13)
Principal transactions	\$	9,085	\$	7,660	\$	6,589

<sup>(</sup>a) Commodity derivatives are frequently used to manage JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s risk exposure to its physical commodities inventories. For gains/(losses), see Note 7.

#### Lending- and deposit-related fees

This revenue category includes fees from loan commitments, standby letters of credit, financial guarantees, deposit-related fees in lieu of compensating balances, cash management-related activities or transactions, deposit accounts and other loan-servicing activities. These fees are recognized over the period in which the related service is provided.

#### Asset management, administration and commissions

This revenue category includes fees from investment management and related services, custody, brokerage services, and other products. These fees are recognized over the period in which the related service is provided. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has contractual arrangements with third parties to provide certain services in connection with its asset management activities. Amounts paid to third-party service providers are predominantly expensed, such that asset management fees are recorded gross of payments made to third parties.

The following table presents JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. asset management, administration and commissions.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015		2014		2013	
Asset management fees						
Investment management fees(a)	\$	2,086	\$	1,981	\$	1,754
All other asset management fees(b)		40		46		38
Total asset management fees		2,126		2,027		1,792
Total administration fees <sup>(c)</sup>		2,027		2,198		2,120
Commissions and other fees						
Brokerage commissions		1,033		1,219		1,258
All other commissions and fees $^{(d)}$		6,077		6,208		4,926
Total commissions and fees		7,110		7,427		6,184
Total asset management, administration and						

(a) Represents fees earned from managing assets on behalf of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s clients, including investors in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. sponsored funds and owners of separately managed investment accounts.

**\$ 11.263 \$** 11.652

commissions

- (b) Represents fees for services that are ancillary to investment management services, such as commissions earned on the sales or distribution of mutual funds to clients.
- (c) Predominantly, includes fees for custody, securities lending, funds services and securities clearance.
- Includes fees earned by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. for services provided to related party affiliates.

#### Mortgage fees and related income

This revenue category primarily reflects the consumer & community banking business's mortgage banking production and servicing revenue, including fees and income derived from mortgages originated with the intent to sell; mortgage sales and servicing including losses related to the repurchase of previously sold loans; the impact of risk-management activities associated with the mortgage pipeline, warehouse loans and MSRs; and revenue related to any residual interests held from mortgage securitizations. This revenue category also includes gains and losses on sales and lower of cost or fair value adjustments for mortgage loans held-for-sale, as well as changes in fair value for mortgage loans originated with the intent to sell and measured at fair value under the fair value option. Changes in the fair value of the consumer & community banking business's MSRs are reported in mortgage fees and related income. Net interest income from mortgage loans is recorded in interest income. For a further discussion of MSRs, see Note 18.

#### Card income

This revenue category includes interchange income from credit and debit cards and net fees earned from processing credit card transactions for merchants. Card income is recognized as earned. Cost related to rewards programs is recorded when the rewards are earned by the customer and presented as a reduction to interchange income. Annual fees and direct loan origination costs are deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over a 12-month period. The card income earned by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. results from activity in Commerce Solutions and from a participation arrangement with a bank affiliate of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

#### Other income

Other income on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated statements of income included the following:

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015		2014		2013	
Operating lease income	\$	2,075	\$	1,698	\$	1,465
Gain from sale of Visa B shares		-		_		857

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Note 9 - Interest income and Interest expense

Interest income and interest expense are recorded in the Consolidated statements of income and classified based on the nature of the underlying asset or liability. Interest income and interest expense includes the current-period interest accruals for financial instruments measured at fair value, except for financial instruments containing embedded derivatives that would be separately accounted for in accordance with U.S. GAAP absent the fair value option election; for those instruments, all changes in fair value, including any interest elements, are reported in principal transactions revenue. For financial instruments that are not measured at fair value, the related interest is included within interest income or interest expense, as applicable.

Details of interest income and interest expense were as follows.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2013
Interest income			
Loans	\$22,925	\$21,898	\$22,627
Taxable securities	6,522	7,569	6,874
Non-taxable securities(a)	1,562	1,273	748
Total securities	8,084	8,842	7,622
Trading assets	4,097	4,714	5,248
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	960	1,171	1,437
Securities borrowed(b)	(10)	39	209
Deposits with banks	1,176	1,099	912
Other assets	193	203	95
Total interest income	37,425	37,966	38,150
Interest expense			_
Interest-bearing deposits	1,409	1,802	2,292
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements Trading liabilities - debt,	253	396	317
short-term and other liabilities	1,311	1,274	1,366
Long-term debt	682	684	1,094
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs	81	73	115
Total interest expense	3,736	4,229	5,184
Net interest income	33,689	33,737	32,966
Provision for credit losses	1,376	832	(1,247)
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	\$32,313	\$32,905	\$34,213

- (a) Represents securities which are tax exempt for U.S. federal income tax purposes.
- (b) Negative interest income for the year ended December 31, 2015, is a result of increased client-driven demand for certain securities combined with the impact of low interest rates; this is matched book activity and the negative interest expense on the corresponding securities loaned is recognized in interest expense.

# Note 10 - Pension and other postretirement employee benefit plans

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has various defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement employee benefit ("OPEB") plans that provide benefits to its employees. These plans are discussed below.

Defined benefit pension and OPEB plans
Substantially all of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s U.S.
employees are provided benefits through JPMorgan Chase's
qualified noncontributory, U.S. defined benefit pension
plan. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also offers benefits
through defined benefit pension plans to qualifying
employees in certain non-U.S. locations. In addition,
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. offers postretirement medical
and life insurance benefits to certain retirees and
postretirement medical benefits to qualifying U.S.
employees through JPMorgan Chase plans. These JPMorgan
Chase plans are discussed in the JPMorgan Chase defined
benefit pension and OPEB plans section on pages 62-63 of
this Note.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also offers certain qualifying employees in the U.S. the ability to participate in a number of defined benefit pension plans that are not subject to Title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act. One of the most significant of these plans is the U.S. Excess Retirement Plan, pursuant to which certain employees previously earned pay credits on compensation amounts above the maximum stipulated by law under a qualified plan; no further pay credits are allocated under this plan. The U.S. Excess Retirement Plan had an unfunded projected benefit obligation ("PBO") in the amount of \$22 million and \$214 million, at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

It is JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s policy to fund the pension plans in amounts sufficient to meet the requirements under applicable laws. In 2016, the cost of funding benefits under the U.S. Excess Retirement Plan is expected to be \$2 million. The 2016 contributions to the non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans are expected to be \$47 million of which \$31 million are contractually required.

#### Defined contribution plans

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s employees may also participate in one of the two qualified defined contribution plans offered by JPMorgan Chase in the U.S. and other similar arrangements offered by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. in certain non-U.S. locations, all of which are administered in accordance with applicable local laws and regulations. The most significant of these plans is The JPMorgan Chase 401(k) Savings Plan (the "401(k) Savings Plan"), which covers substantially all U.S. employees. Employees can contribute to the 401(k) Savings Plan on a pretax and/or Roth 401(k) after-tax basis. The JPMorgan Chase Common Stock Fund, which is an investment option under the 401(k)

Savings Plan, is a nonleveraged employee stock ownership plan.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. matches eligible employee contributions up to 5% of eligible compensation (generally base salary/regular pay and variable incentive compensation) on an annual basis. Employees begin to receive matching contributions after completing a one-year-

of-service requirement. Employees with total annual cash compensation of \$250,000 or more are not eligible for matching contributions. Matching contributions vest after three years of service. The 401(k) Savings Plan also permits discretionary profit-sharing contributions by participating companies for certain employees, subject to a specified vesting schedule.

The following table presents the changes in benefit obligations, plan assets and funded status amounts reported on the Consolidated balance sheets for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s significant defined benefit pension plans.

	Defined benefit pension plans									
As of or for the year ended December 31,		u.s.					Non-U.S.			
(in millions)		2015			2015			2014		
Change in benefit obligation										
Benefit obligation, beginning of year	\$	(426)	\$	(365)	\$	(3,632)	\$	(3,430)		
Benefits earned during the year		(2)		(4)		(36)		(31)		
Interest cost on benefit obligations		(5)		(17)		(111)		(129)		
Employee contributions		NA		NA		(7)		(7)		
Net gain/(loss)		9		(71)		146		(408)		
Benefits paid		6		31		120		119		
Special termination benefits		_		_		(1)		_		
Foreign exchange impact and other		300		_		177		254		
Benefit obligation, end of year	\$	(118)	\$	(426)	\$	(3,344)	\$	(3,632)		
Change in plan assets	,									
Fair value of plan assets, beginning of year	\$	_	\$	_	\$	3,718	\$	3,532		
Actual return on plan assets		_		_		52		518		
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. contributions		6		31		45		46		
Employee contributions		_		_		7		7		
Benefits paid		(6)		(31)		(120)		(119)		
Foreign exchange impact and other		_		-		(191)		(266)		
Fair value of plan assets, end of year	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,511	\$	3,718		
Net (unfunded)/funded status <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	(118)	\$	(426)	\$	167	\$	86		
Accumulated benefit obligation, end of year	\$	(118)	\$	(426)	\$	(3,344)	\$	(3,615)		

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents plans with an aggregate underfunded balance of \$251 million and \$588 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and plans with an aggregate overfunded balance of \$300 million and \$248 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

## **Gains and losses**

For JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s defined benefit pension plans, fair value is used to determine the expected return on plan assets. Amortization of net gains and losses is included in annual net periodic benefit cost if, as of the beginning of the year, the net gain or loss exceeds 10% of the greater of the PBO or the fair value of the plan assets. Any excess is amortized over the average future service period of defined benefit pension plan participants, which for the U.S. Excess Retirement Plan is currently seven years

and for the non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans is the period appropriate for the affected plan. In addition, prior service costs are amortized over the average remaining service period of active employees expected to receive benefits under the plan when the prior service cost is first recognized.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

The following table presents pretax pension amounts recorded in AOCI related to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s significant defined benefit pension plans.

	Defined benefit pension plans									
December 31,		u.s.		Non-U.S.						
(in millions)		2015	2014	2015	2014					
Net gain/(loss)	\$	(28) \$	(142) \$	(509) \$	(621)					
Prior service credit/(cost)		-	_	10	12					
Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss), pretax, end of year	\$	(28) \$	(142) \$	(499) \$	(609)					

The following table presents the components of net periodic benefit costs reported in the Consolidated statements of income and other comprehensive income for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s significant defined benefit pension and defined contribution plans.

	Pension plans											
			u.	S.					No	n-U.S.		
Year ended December 31, (in millions)		2015		014		2013		2015		2014		2013
Components of net periodic benefit cost												
Benefits earned during the year	\$	2	\$	4	\$	5	\$	36	\$	31	\$	32
Interest cost on benefit obligations		5		17		15		111		129		116
Expected return on plan assets		-		_		_		(150)		(172)		(141)
Amortization:												
Net (gain)/loss		5		7		11		35		46		49
Prior service cost/(credit)		-		_		_		(2)		(2)		(2)
Special termination benefits		_		_		-		1		_		-
Net periodic defined benefit cost		12		28		31		31		32		54
Other defined benefit pension plans <sup>(a)</sup>		14		14		14		4		2		4
Total defined benefit plans		26		42		45		35		34		58
Total defined contribution plans		390		364		440		277		282		278
Total pension and OPEB cost included in compensation expense	\$	416	\$ .	406	\$	485	\$	312	\$	316	\$	336
Changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income												·
Net (gain)/loss arising during the year	\$	(9)	\$	71	\$	(37)	\$	(45)	\$	51	\$	20
Prior service credit arising during the year		-		_		_		_		_		_
Amortization of net loss		(5)		(7)		(11)		(35)		(46)		(49)
Amortization of prior service (cost)/credit		-		_		_		2		2		2
Foreign exchange impact and other		(100)		(1)		_		(32) <sup>(a)</sup>		(35) <sup>(a)</sup>		10 (a)
Total recognized in other comprehensive income	\$	(114)	\$	63	\$	(48)	\$	(110)	\$	(28)	\$	(17)
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income	\$	(102)	\$	91	\$	(17)	\$	(79)	\$	4	\$	37

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes various defined benefit pension plans which are individually immaterial.

It is expected that \$23 million and \$2 million, pretax, of net loss and prior service credit, respectively, related to non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans and \$3 million, pretax, of net loss related to U.S. defined benefit pension plans, recorded in AOCI at December 31, 2015, will be recognized in earnings during 2016.

The following table presents the actual rate of return on plan assets for the non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans.

Year ended December 31,	2015	2014	2013
Actual rate of return	(0.48) - 4.92%	5.62 - 17.69%	3.74 - 23.80%

#### Plan assumptions

For the United Kingdom ("U.K.") defined benefit pension plans, which represent the most significant of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans, procedures are used to develop the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, taking into consideration local market conditions and the specific allocation of plan assets. The expected long-term rate of return on U.K. plan assets is an average of projected long-term returns for each asset class. The return on equities has been selected by reference to the yield on long-term U.K. government bonds plus an equity risk premium above the risk-free rate. The expected return on "AA" rated long-term corporate bonds is based on an implied yield for similar bonds.

The discount rate used in determining the benefit obligation under the U.S. Excess Retirement Plan was provided by our actuaries. This rate was selected by reference to the yields on portfolios of bonds with maturity dates and coupons that closely match the plan's projected cash flows; such portfolios are derived from a broad-based universe of high-quality corporate bonds as of the measurement date. In years in which these hypothetical bond portfolios generate excess cash, such excess is assumed to be reinvested at the one-year forward rates implied by the Citigroup Pension Discount Curve published as of the measurement date. The discount rate for the U.K. defined benefit pension plan

represents a rate of appropriate duration from the analysis of yield curves provided by our actuaries.

In 2014, the Society of Actuaries ("SOA") completed a comprehensive review of mortality experience of uninsured private retirement plans in the U.S. In October 2014, the SOA published new mortality tables and a new mortality improvement scale that reflects improved life expectancies and an expectation that this trend will continue. In 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. adopted the SOA's tables and projection scale, resulting in an estimated increase in PBO of \$34 million. In 2015, the SOA updated the projection scale to reflect two additional years of historical data. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has adopted the updated projection scale resulting in an estimated decrease in PBO in 2015 of \$2 million.

At December 31, 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. increased the discount rate used to determine its benefit obligation for the U.S. Excess Retirement Plan in light of current market interest rates, which will result in an immaterial decrease in expense for 2016. As of December 31, 2015, the interest crediting rate assumption remained at 5.00%.

The following tables present the weighted-average annualized actuarial assumptions for the PBO and the components of net periodic benefit costs, for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s significant defined benefit pension plans, as of and for the periods indicated.

#### Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations

	u.s.		Non-U.S.		
December 31,	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Discount rate	4.50%	4.00%	0.80% - 3.70%	1.00 - 3.60%	
Rate of compensation increase	NA	NA	2.25 - 4.30	2.75 - 4.20	

#### Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit costs

		u.s.	Non-U.S.			
Year ended December 31,	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013
Discount rate	4.00%	5.00%	3.90%	1.00 - 3.60%	1.10 - 4.40%	1.40 - 4.40%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	NA	NA	NA	0.90 - 4.80	1.20 - 5.30	2.40 - 4.90
Rate of compensation increase	NA	NA	NA	2.75 - 4.20	2.75 - 4.60	2.75 - 4.10

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans expense is sensitive to the discount rate. A 25-basis point decline in the discount rate for the U.S. Excess Retirement Plan would result in an immaterial increase in 2016 for both the U.S. defined benefit pension expense and the related PBO. A 25-basis point decrease in the interest crediting rate for the U.S. Excess Retirement Plan would result in an immaterial decrease in 2016 for both the U.S. defined benefit pension expense and the related PBO. A 25-basis point decline in the discount rates for the non-U.S. plans would result in an increase in the 2016 non-U.S. defined benefit pension plan expense of approximately \$17 million.

## Investment strategy and asset allocation

The investment policy for the U.K. defined benefit pension plans, which represent the most significant of the non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans, is to maximize returns subject to an appropriate level of risk relative to the plans' liabilities. In order to reduce the volatility in returns relative to the plans' liability profiles, the U.K. defined benefit pension plans' largest asset allocations are to debt securities of appropriate durations. Other assets, mainly equity securities, are then invested for capital appreciation, to provide long-term investment growth. Asset allocations and asset managers for the U.K. defined benefit pension plans are reviewed regularly and the portfolios are rebalanced when deemed necessary.

As of December 31, 2015, assets held by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans do not include JPMorgan Chase common stock, except through indirect exposures through investments in third-party stockindex funds. The non-U.S. plans hold investments in funds that are sponsored or managed by affiliates of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. in the amount of \$1.2 billion and \$1.4 billion as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The following table presents the weighted-average asset allocation of the fair values of total plan assets at December 31 for the years indicated, as well as the respective approved target allocation by asset category, for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans.

	Target	% of plan ass	sets
December 31,	Allocation	2015	2014
Asset category			
Debt securities <sup>(a)</sup>	59%	60%	61%
Equity securities	40	38	38
Real Estate	_	1	_
Alternatives	1	1	1
Total	100%	100%	100%

 <sup>(</sup>a) Debt securities primarily include corporate debt and non-U.S. government debt securities.

#### Fair value measurement of the plans' assets and liabilities

For information on fair value measurements, including descriptions of level 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and the valuation methods employed by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., see Note 4.

#### Pension and OPEB plan assets and liabilities measured at fair value

Non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans(c)

	2015					2014						
December 31, (in millions)	L	Total fair Level 1 Level 2 value				Level 1 Level 2		Total fair value				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	114	\$	1	\$	115	\$	128	\$	1	\$	129
Equity securities		1,002		157		1,159		1,019		169		1,188
Common/collective trust funds		135		-		135		112		-		112
Corporate debt securities <sup>(a)</sup>		_		758		758		_		724		724
Non-U.S. government debt securities		212		504		716		235		540		775
Mortgage-backed securities		2		26		28		2		77		79
Derivative receivables		_		209		209		_		258		258
Other <sup>(b)</sup>		257		53		310		283		58		341
Total assets measured at fair value	\$	1,722	\$	1,708	\$	3,430	\$	1,779	\$	1,827	\$	3,606
Derivative payables		_		(153)		(153)	\$	_	\$	(139)	\$	(139)
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$	-	\$	(153)	\$	(153)	\$	_	\$	(139)	\$	(139)

Note: Effective April 1, 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. adopted new accounting guidance for certain investments where JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. measures fair value using the net asset value per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient and excluded them from the fair value hierarchy. Accordingly, such investments are not included within these tables. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the fair values of these investments, which include certain common/collective trust funds, were \$234 million and \$251 million, respectively, of which \$251 million had been previously classified in level 2 at December 31, 2014. The guidance was required to be applied retrospectively, and accordingly, prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current period presentation.

<sup>(</sup>a) Corporate debt securities include debt securities of U.S. and non-U.S. corporations.

b) Other primarily consists of money markets and exchange-traded funds and insurance contracts. Money markets and exchange-traded funds are primarily classified within level 1 of the fair value hierarchy given they are valued using observable market prices. Insurance contracts are guaranteed return investments subject to the credit risk of the insurance company and are classified in level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

<sup>(</sup>c) There were zero assets or liabilities classified as level 3 for the non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

#### **Estimated future benefit payments**

The following table presents benefit payments expected to be paid, which include the effect of expected future service, for the years indicated.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	U.S. de bene pension	efit	define	n-U.S. d benefit on plans
2016	\$	7	\$	107
2017		7		110
2018		7		119
2019		8		123
2020		8		129
Years 2021-2025		42		722

JPMorgan Chase defined benefit pension and OPEB plans

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s U.S. employees are eligible to participate in JPMorgan Chase's U.S. qualified noncontributory defined benefit pension plan. In addition, qualifying U.S. employees may receive postretirement medical and life insurance benefits that are provided through JPMorgan Chase's U.S. OPEB plan. Benefits vary with length of service and date of hire and provide for limits on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s share of covered medical benefits. The medical and life insurance benefits are both contributory. Defined benefit pension expense and postretirement medical benefit expense are determined based upon employee participation in the JPMorgan Chase plans and effected through an intercompany charge from JPMorgan Chase, which is cash settled monthly.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. was charged \$194 million, \$666 million and \$260 million in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, for its share of the U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan expense; and it was charged \$1 million for each of the years 2015, 2014 and 2013, for its share of the U.S. OPEB plan expense.

Consolidated disclosures of information about the defined benefit pension and OPEB plans of JPMorgan Chase, including the funded status of the plans, components of benefit cost and weighted-average actuarial assumptions are included in Note 9 on pages 223–230 of the 2015 Form 10-K.

# Note 11 - Employee stock-based incentives Employee stock-based awards

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s employees receive annual incentive compensation based on their performance, the performance of their business and JPMorgan Chase's consolidated operating results. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s employees participate, to the extent they meet minimum eligibility requirements, in various stock-based incentive plans sponsored by JPMorgan Chase. For additional information regarding JPMorgan Chase's employee stock-based incentives, see Note 10 on pages 231-232 of the 2015 Form 10-K.

In 2015, 2014 and 2013, JPMorgan Chase granted long-term stock-based awards to certain employees under its Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated effective May 19, 2015 ("LTIP"). Under the terms of the LTIP, as of December 31, 2015, 93 million shares of JPMorgan Chase's common stock were available for issuance through May 2019. The LTIP is the only active plan under which JPMorgan Chase is currently granting stock-based incentive awards. In the following discussion, the LTIP, plus prior JPMorgan Chase plans and plans assumed as the result of acquisitions, are referred to collectively as the "LTI Plans," and such plans constitute JPMorgan Chase's stock-based incentive plans.

Restricted stock units ("RSUs") are awarded at no cost to the recipient upon their grant. Generally, RSUs are granted annually and vest at a rate of 50% after two years and 50% after three years and are converted into shares of common stock as of the vesting date. In addition, RSUs typically include full-career eligibility provisions, which allow employees to continue to vest upon voluntary termination, subject to post-employment and other restrictions based on age or service-related requirements. All RSUs awards are subject to forfeiture until vested and contain clawback provisions that may result in cancellation under certain specified circumstances. RSUs entitle the recipient to receive cash payments equivalent to any dividends paid on the underlying common stock during the period the RSUs are outstanding and, as such, are considered participating securities as discussed in Note 24 on page 283 of the 2015 Form 10-K.

Under the LTI Plans, stock options and stock appreciation rights ("SARs") have generally been granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of JPMorgan Chase's common stock on the grant date. JPMorgan Chase periodically grants employee stock options to individual employees. There were no material grants of stock options or SARs in 2015 and 2014. Grants of SARs in 2013 become exercisable ratably over five years (i.e., 20% per year) and contain clawback provisions similar to RSUs. The 2013 grants of SARs contain full-career eligibility provisions. SARs generally expire ten years after the grant date.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. separately recognizes compensation expense for each tranche of each award as if it were a separate award with its own vesting date. Generally, for each tranche granted, compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis from the grant date until the vesting date of the respective tranche, provided that the employees will not become full-career eligible during the vesting period. For awards with full-career eligibility provisions and awards granted with no future substantive service requirement, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. accrues the estimated value of awards expected to be awarded to employees as of the grant date without giving consideration to the impact of post-employment restrictions. For each tranche granted to employees who will become full-career eligible during the vesting period, compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis from the grant date until the earlier of the employee's full-

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

career eligibility date or the vesting date of the respective tranche.

In January 2008, JPMorgan Chase awarded to its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer up to 2 million SARs. The terms of this award are distinct from, and more restrictive than, other equity grants regularly awarded by JPMorgan Chase. On July 15, 2014, the Compensation & Management Development Committee and Board of Directors of JPMorgan Chase determined that all requirements for the vesting of the 2 million SAR awards had been met and thus, the awards became exercisable.

The SARs, which will expire in January 2018, have an exercise price of \$39.83 (the price of JPMorgan Chase common stock on the date of grant). The expense related to this award was dependent on changes in fair value of the SARs through July 15, 2014 (the date when the vested number of SARs were determined), and the cumulative expense was recognized ratably over the service period, which was initially assumed to be five years but, effective in the first quarter of 2013, had been extended to six and one-half years. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. recognized \$3 million and \$14 million in compensation expense in 2014 and 2013, respectively, for this award.

## RSUs, employee stock options and SARs activity

Compensation expense for RSUs is measured based on the number of shares granted multiplied by the stock price at the grant date, and for employee stock options and SARs, is measured at the grant date using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Compensation expense for these awards is recognized in net income as described previously. The following table summarizes JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s RSUs, employee stock options and SARs activity for 2015.

	R	SUs .	Options/SARs				
Year ended December 31, 2015		Weighted-		Weighted- average	Weighted-average remaining Aggregate		
(in thousands, except weighted-average data, and where otherwise stated)	Number of average grant Nur		Number of awards	exercise price	contractual life intrinsic (in years) value		
Outstanding, January 1	65,704	\$ 47.76	47,820	\$ 42.36			
Granted	25,000	56.37	94	64.00			
Exercised or vested	(32,117)	41.97	(12,169)	40.35			
Forfeited	(2,405)	53.84	(820)	43.14			
Canceled	NA	NA	(365)	159.65			
Transferred	515	47.76	74	42.36			
Outstanding, December 31	56,697	\$ 54.60	34,634	\$ 41.83	4.6 \$ 883,511		
Exercisable, December 31	NA	NA	25,114	41.46	4.0 658,870		

The total fair value of RSUs that vested during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, was \$1.9 billion, \$2.1 billion and \$2.0 billion, respectively. The weighted-average grant date per share fair value of stock options and SARs granted during the year ended December 31, 2013, was \$9.58. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, was \$284 million, \$444 million and \$407 million, respectively.

### Compensation expense

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. recognized the following compensation expense related to its various employee stock-based incentive plans in its Consolidated statements of income.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2013
Cost of prior grants of RSUs and SARs that are amortized over their applicable vesting periods	\$ 730	\$ 905	\$ 942
Accrual of estimated costs of stock- based awards to be granted in future periods including those to full-career eligible employees	597	568	519
Total noncash compensation expense related to employee stock-based incentive plans	\$ 1,327	\$ 1,473	\$ 1,461

At December 31, 2015, approximately \$464 million (pretax) of compensation expense related to unvested awards had not yet been charged to net income. That cost is expected to be amortized into compensation expense over a weighted-average period of 1.0 year. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not capitalize any compensation expense related to share-based compensation awards to employees.

#### Tax benefits

Income tax benefits related to stock-based incentive arrangements recognized in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, were \$498 million, \$575 million and \$570 million, respectively. Excess tax benefits related to stock-based incentive awards are recognized by JPMorgan Chase. Pursuant to a tax sharing agreement between JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and its parent, JPMorgan Chase, cash payments were made by JPMorgan Chase to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

#### **Valuation assumptions**

The following table presents the assumptions used to value employee stock options and SARs granted during the year ended December 31, 2013, under the Black-Scholes valuation model. There were no material grants of stock options or SARs for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Year ended December 31,	2013
Weighted-average annualized valuation assumptions	
Risk-free interest rate	1.18%
Expected dividend yield	2.66
Expected common stock price volatility	28
Expected life (in years)	6.6

The expected dividend yield is determined using forward-looking assumptions. The expected volatility assumption is derived from the implied volatility of JPMorgan Chase's stock options. The expected life assumption is an estimate of the length of time that an employee might hold an option or SAR before it is exercised or canceled, and the assumption is based on JPMorgan Chase's historical experience.

# Note 12 - Noninterest expense

For details on noninterest expense, see Consolidated statements of income on page 2. Included within other expense is the following:

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2013
Legal expense	\$ 2,035	\$ 2,262	\$ 2,245
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation-related ("FDIC") expense	1,157	970	1,396

#### Note 13 - Securities

Securities are classified as trading. AFS or held-to-maturity ("HTM"). Securities classified as trading assets are discussed in Note 4. Predominantly all of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s AFS and HTM investment securities (the "investment securities portfolio") are held by Treasury and CIO in connection with its asset-liability management objectives. At December 31, 2015, the investment securities portfolio consisted of debt securities with an average credit rating of AA+ (based upon external ratings where available, and where not available, based primarily upon internal ratings which correspond to ratings as defined by S&P and Moody's). AFS securities are carried at fair value on the Consolidated balance sheets. Unrealized gains and losses, after any applicable hedge accounting adjustments, are reported as net increases or decreases to accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss). The specific identification method is used to determine realized gains and losses on AFS securities, which are included in securities gains/(losses) on the Consolidated statements of income. HTM debt securities, which management has the intent and ability to hold until maturity, are carried at amortized cost on the Consolidated balance sheets. For both AFS and HTM debt securities, purchase discounts or premiums are generally amortized into interest income over the contractual life of the security.

During 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. transferred U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities and obligations of U.S. states and municipalities with a fair value of \$19.3 billion from AFS to HTM. These securities were transferred at fair value, and the transfer was a non-cash transaction. AOCI included net pretax unrealized losses of \$9 million on the securities at the date of transfer. The transfer reflected JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s intent to hold the securities to maturity in order to reduce the impact of price volatility on AOCI and certain capital measures under Basel III.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

The amortized costs and estimated fair values of the investment securities portfolio were as follows for the dates indicated.

		20	015		2014							
December 31, (in millions)	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value				
Available-for-sale debt securities												
Mortgage-backed securities:												
U.S. government agencies(a)	\$ 53,689	\$ 1,483	\$ 106	\$ 55,066	\$ 63,089	\$ 2,302	\$ 72	\$ 65,319				
Residential:												
Prime and Alt-A	7,462	40	57	7,445	5,595	78	29	5,644				
Subprime	210	7	_	217	677	14	_	691				
Non-U.S.	19,629	341	13	19,957	43,550	1,010	_	44,560				
Commercial	22,424	134	242	22,316	20,086	411	17	20,480				
Total mortgage-backed securities	103,414	2,005	418	105,001	132,997	3,815	118	136,694				
U.S. Treasury and government agencies(a)	11,202	-	166	11,036	13,593	56	14	13,635				
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	28,467	1,960	22	30,405	24,860	1,910	15	26,755				
Certificates of deposit	282	1	_	283	1,103	1	1	1,103				
Non-U.S. government debt securities	35,852	853	41	36,664	51,480	1,272	21	52,731				
Corporate debt securities	12,464	142	170	12,436	18,158	396	24	18,530				
Asset-backed securities:												
Collateralized loan obligations	31,146	52	191	31,007	30,229	147	182	30,194				
Other	9,088	66	100	9,054	12,428	170	11	12,587				
Total available-for-sale debt securities	231,915	5,079	1,108	235,886	284,848	7,767	386	292,229				
Available-for-sale equity securities	58	11	-	69	108	10	_	118				
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 231,973	\$ 5,090	\$ 1,108	\$ 235,955	\$ 284,956	\$ 7,777	\$ 386	\$ 292,347				
Total held-to-maturity securities <sup>(b)</sup>	\$ 49,073	\$ 1,560	\$ 46	\$ 50,587	\$ 49,252	\$ 1,902	\$ -	\$ 51,154				

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes total U.S. government-sponsored enterprise obligations with fair values of \$42.3 billion and \$59.3 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, which were predominantly mortgage-related.

<sup>(</sup>b) As of December 31, 2015, consists of mortgage backed securities ("MBS") issued by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises with an amortized cost of \$30.8 billion, MBS issued by U.S. government agencies with an amortized cost of \$5.5 billion and obligations of U.S. states and municipalities with an amortized cost of \$12.8 billion. As of December 31, 2014, consists of MBS issued by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises with an amortized cost of \$35.3 billion, MBS issued by U.S. government agencies with an amortized cost of \$3.7 billion and obligations of U.S. states and municipalities with an amortized cost of \$10.2 billion.

# Securities impairment

The following tables present the fair value and gross unrealized losses for the investment securities portfolio by aging category at December 31, 2015 and 2014.

	Securities with gross unrealized losses											
		Less tha	n 12	months		12 mor	nths	or more				
December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Fa	air value	Gro	oss unrealized losses	F	air value	Gr	oss unrealized losses		Total fair value		tal gross Ilized losses
Available-for-sale debt securities												
Mortgage-backed securities:												
U.S. government agencies	\$	13,002	\$	95	\$	697	\$	11	\$	13,699	\$	106
Residential:												
Prime and Alt-A		5,147		52		239		5		5,386		57
Subprime		_		_		_		_		_		_
Non-U.S.		2,021		12		167		1		2,188		13
Commercial		13,703		238		658		4		14,361		242
Total mortgage-backed securities		33,873		397		1,761		21		35,634		418
U.S. Treasury and government agencies		10,998		166		_		_		10,998		166
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities		1,537		17		205		5		1,742		22
Certificates of deposit		_		_		_		_		_		-
Non-U.S. government debt securities		3,251		26		367		15		3,618		41
Corporate debt securities		3,199		124		848		46		4,047		170
Asset-backed securities:												
Collateralized loan obligations		15,340		67		10,692		124		26,032		191
Other		4,284		60		1,005		40		5,289		100
Total available-for-sale debt securities		72,482		857		14,878		251		87,360		1,108
Available-for-sale equity securities				_				-				
Held-to-maturity securities		3,763		46		-		-		3,763		46
Total securities with gross unrealized losses	\$	76,245	\$	903	\$	14,878	\$	251	\$	91,123	\$	1,154

	Securities with gross unrealized losses												
	Less t	han 12	2 months		12 moi	nths o	r more						
December 31, 2014 (in millions)	Fair value		ross unrealized losses	Fair \	value	Gross unrealized losses		Total fair value	Total gross unrealized losses				
Available-for-sale debt securities													
Mortgage-backed securities:													
U.S. government agencies	\$ 1,11	8 \$	5	\$	4,989	\$	67	\$ 6,107	\$ 72				
Residential:													
Prime and Alt-A	1,84	0	10		405		19	2,245	29				
Subprime		_	_		_		_	_	_				
Non-u.S.		_	_		_		_	_	_				
Commercial	4,80	3	15		92		2	4,895	17				
Total mortgage-backed securities	7,76	1	30		5,486		88	13,247	118				
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	8,41	2	14		_		_	8,412	14				
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	1,33	9	14		130		1	1,469	15				
Certificates of deposit	1,05	0	1		_		_	1,050	1				
Non-U.S. government debt securities	4,42	1	4		906		17	5,327	21				
Corporate debt securities	2,49	2	22		80		2	2,572	24				
Asset-backed securities:													
Collateralized loan obligations	13,90	9	76		9,012		106	22,921	182				
Other	2,25	8	11		_		-	2,258	11				
Total available-for-sale debt securities	41,64	2	172	1	5,614		214	57,256	386				
Available-for-sale equity securities			_				_	_					
Held-to-maturity securities		_	_		_		_	-	_				
Total securities with gross unrealized losses	\$ 41,64	2 \$	172	\$ 1	5,614	\$	214	\$ 57,256	\$ 386				

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### **Gross unrealized losses**

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has recognized the unrealized losses on securities it intends to sell. As of December 31, 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not intend to sell any securities with a loss position in AOCI, and it is not likely that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. Except for the securities for which credit losses have been recognized in income, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. believes that the securities with an unrealized loss in AOCI are not other-than-temporarily impaired as of December 31, 2015.

#### Other-than-temporary impairment

AFS debt and equity securities and HTM debt securities in unrealized loss positions are analyzed as part of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s ongoing assessment of other-thantemporary impairment ("OTTI"). For most types of debt securities, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. considers a decline in fair value to be other-than-temporary when JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. For beneficial interests in securitizations that are rated below "AA" at their acquisition, or that can be contractually prepaid or otherwise settled in such a way that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. would not recover substantially all of its recorded investment, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. considers an impairment to be other than temporary when there is an adverse change in expected cash flows. For AFS equity securities, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. considers a decline in fair value to be other-than-temporary if it is probable that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. will not recover its cost basis.

Potential OTTI is considered using a variety of factors, including the length of time and extent to which the market value has been less than cost; adverse conditions specifically related to the industry, geographic area or financial condition of the issuer or underlying collateral of a security; payment structure of the security; changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency; the volatility of the fair value changes; and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s intent and ability to hold the security until recovery.

For AFS debt securities, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. recognizes OTTI losses in earnings if JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has the intent to sell the debt security, or if it is more likely than not that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. In these circumstances the impairment loss is equal to the full difference between the amortized cost basis and the fair value of the securities. For debt securities in an unrealized loss position that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has the intent and ability to hold, the expected cash flows to be received from the securities are evaluated to determine if a credit loss exists. In the event of a credit loss, only the amount of impairment associated with the credit loss is recognized in income. Amounts relating to factors other than credit losses are recorded in OCI.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s cash flow evaluations take into account the factors noted above and expectations of relevant market and economic data as of the end of the reporting period. For securities issued in a securitization, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. estimates cash flows considering underlying loan-level data and structural features of the securitization, such as subordination, excess spread, overcollateralization or other forms of credit enhancement, and compares the losses projected for the underlying collateral ("pool losses") against the level of credit enhancement in the securitization structure to determine whether these features are sufficient to absorb the pool losses, or whether a credit loss exists. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also performs other analyses to support its cash flow projections, such as first-loss analyses or stress scenarios.

For equity securities, OTTI losses are recognized in earnings if JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. intends to sell the security. In other cases JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. considers the relevant factors noted above, as well as JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s intent and ability to retain its investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value, and whether evidence exists to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the cost basis. Any impairment loss on an equity security is equal to the full difference between the cost basis and the fair value of the security.

## Securities gains and losses

The following table presents realized gains and losses and OTTI from AFS securities that were recognized in income.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	į	2014		2013
Realized gains	\$ 351	\$	305	\$ 1	L,277
Realized losses	(127)		(233)		(613)
OTTI losses	(22)		(4)		(21)
Net securities gains	\$ 202	\$	68	\$	643
OTTI losses					
OTTI losses Credit losses recognized in income	\$ (1)	\$	(2)	\$	(1)
	\$ (1) (21)	\$	(2) (2)	\$	(1) (20)

<sup>(</sup>a) Excludes realized losses on securities sold of \$5 million, \$3 million and \$12 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, that had been previously reported as an OTTI loss due to the intention to sell the securities.

# Changes in the credit loss component of credit-impaired debt securities

The following table presents a rollforward for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, of the credit loss component of OTTI losses that have been recognized in income, related to AFS debt securities that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not intend to sell.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2013
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$ 3
Additions:			
Newly credit-impaired securities	1	2	1
Losses reclassified from other comprehensive income on previously credit-impaired securities	_	_	_
Reductions:			
Sales and redemptions of credit- impaired securities	_	_	(3)
Balance, end of period	\$ 4	\$ 3	\$ 1

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

## Contractual maturities and yields

The following table presents the amortized cost and estimated fair value at December 31, 2015, of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s investment securities portfolio by contractual maturity.

By remaining maturity December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Due in one year through Due after five years Due after year or less five years through 10 years 10 years								Total
Available-for-sale debt securities									
Mortgage-backed securities(a)									
Amortized cost	\$	2,415	\$	9,728	\$	6,562 \$	84,709	\$	103,414
Fair value		2,421		9,886		6,756	85,938		105,001
Average yield <sup>(b)</sup>		1.48%		1.86%		3.15%	3.08%	ò	2.93%
U.S. Treasury and government agencies(a)									
Amortized cost	\$	_	\$	_	\$	10,069 \$	1,133	\$	11,202
Fair value		_		_		9,932	1,104		11,036
Average yield <sup>(b)</sup>		-%		-%		0.31%	0.48%	ò	0.33%
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities									
Amortized cost	\$	184	\$	666	\$	1,081 \$	26,536	\$	28,467
Fair value		187		678		1,139	28,401		30,405
Average yield <sup>(b)</sup>		5.21%		3.11%		4.81%	6.57%	ò	6.41%
Certificates of deposit									
Amortized cost	\$	230	\$	52	\$	- \$	_	\$	282
Fair value		231		52		_	_		283
Average yield <sup>(b)</sup>		8.66%		3.28%		-%	-%	ò	7.68%
Non-U.S. government debt securities									
Amortized cost	\$	6,126	\$	11,166	\$	16,574 \$	1,986	\$	35,852
Fair value		6,422		11,419		16,745	2,078		36,664
Average yield <sup>(b)</sup>		3.11%		1.82%		1.06%	0.67%	ò	1.63%
Corporate debt securities									
Amortized cost	\$	2,761	\$	7,175	\$	2,385 \$	143	\$	12,464
Fair value		2,776		7,179		2,347	134		12,436
Average yield <sup>(b)</sup>		2.87%		2.32%		3.09%	4.46%	ò	2.61%
Asset-backed securities									
Amortized cost	\$	39	\$	442	\$	20,501 \$	19,252	\$	40,234
Fair value		40		449		20,421	19,151		40,061
Average yield <sup>(b)</sup>		0.71%		1.72%		1.79%	1.83%	ò	1.81%
Total available-for-sale debt securities									
Amortized cost	\$	11,755	\$	29,229	\$	57,172 \$	133,759	\$	231,915
Fair value		12,077		29,663		57,340	136,806		235,886
Average yield <sup>(b)</sup>		2.85%		1.99%		1.59%	3.54%	ò	2.83%
Available-for-sale equity securities									
Amortized cost	\$	_	\$	_	\$	- \$	58	\$	58
Fair value		_		_		_	69		69
Average yield <sup>(b)</sup>		-%		-%		-%	0.14%	<u>.</u>	0.14%
Total available-for-sale securities									
Amortized cost	\$	11,755	\$	29,229	\$	57,172 \$	133,817	\$	231,973
Fair value		12,077		29,663		57,340	136,875		235,955
Average yield <sup>(b)</sup>		2.85%		1.99%		1.59%	3.53%	D	2.82%
Total held-to-maturity securities									
Amortized cost	\$	51	\$	_	\$	931 \$	48,091	\$	49,073
Fair value		50		_		976	49,561		50,587
Average yield <sup>(b)</sup>		4.42%		-%		5.01%	3.98%	D	4.00%

<sup>(</sup>a) U.S. government-sponsored enterprises were the only issuers whose securities exceeded 10% of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s total stockholder's equity at December 31, 2015.

<sup>(</sup>b) Average yield is computed using the effective yield of each security owned at the end of the period, weighted based on the amortized cost of each security. The effective yield considers the contractual coupon, amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, and the effect of related hedging derivatives. Taxable-equivalent amounts are used where applicable. The effective yield excludes unscheduled principal prepayments; and accordingly, actual maturities of securities may differ from their contractual or expected maturities as certain securities may be prepaid.

<sup>(</sup>c) Includes securities with no stated maturity. Substantially all of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations are due in 10 years or more, based on contractual maturity. The estimated weighted-average life, which reflects anticipated future prepayments, is approximately five years for agency residential mortgage-backed securities, two years for agency residential collateralized mortgage obligations and four years for nonagency residential collateralized mortgage obligations.

## **Note 14 - Securities financing activities**

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. enters into resale agreements, repurchase agreements, securities borrowed transactions and securities loaned transactions (collectively, "securities financing agreements") primarily to finance JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s inventory positions, acquire securities to cover short positions, accommodate customers' financing needs, and settle other securities obligations.

Securities financing agreements are treated as collateralized financings on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets. Resale and repurchase agreements are generally carried at the amounts at which the securities will be subsequently sold or repurchased. Securities borrowed and securities loaned transactions are generally carried at the amount of cash collateral advanced or received. Where appropriate under applicable accounting guidance, resale and repurchase agreements with the same counterparty are reported on a net basis. For further discussion of the offsetting of assets and liabilities, see Note 1. Fees received and paid in connection with securities financing agreements are recorded in interest income and interest expense on the Consolidated statements of income.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has elected the fair value option for certain securities financing agreements. For further information regarding the fair value option, see Note 4. The securities financing agreements for which the fair value option has been elected are reported within securities purchased under resale agreements, securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements, and securities borrowed on the Consolidated balance sheets. Generally, for agreements carried at fair value, current-period interest accruals are recorded within interest income and interest expense, with changes in fair value reported in principal transactions revenue. However, for financial instruments containing embedded derivatives that would be separately accounted for in accordance with accounting guidance for hybrid instruments, all changes in fair value, including any interest elements, are reported in principal transactions revenue.

Secured financing transactions expose JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to credit and liquidity risk. To manage these risks, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. monitors the value of the underlying securities (predominantly high-quality securities collateral, including government-issued debt and agency MBS) that it has received from or provided to its counterparties compared to the value of cash proceeds and exchanged collateral, and either requests additional collateral or returns securities or collateral when appropriate. Margin levels are initially established based upon the counterparty, the type of underlying securities, and the permissible collateral, and are monitored on an ongoing basis.

In resale agreements and securities borrowed transactions, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is exposed to credit risk to the extent that the value of the securities received is less than initial cash principal advanced and any collateral amounts exchanged. In repurchase agreements and securities loaned transactions, credit risk exposure arises to the extent that the value of underlying securities exceeds the value of the initial cash principal advanced, and any collateral amounts exchanged.

Additionally, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. typically enters into master netting agreements and other similar arrangements with its counterparties, which provide for the right to liquidate the underlying securities and any collateral amounts exchanged in the event of a counterparty default. It is also JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s policy to take possession, where possible, of the securities underlying resale agreements and securities borrowed transactions. For further information regarding assets pledged and collateral received in securities financing agreements, see Note 28.

As a result of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s credit risk mitigation practices with respect to resale and securities borrowed agreements as described above, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. did not hold any reserves for credit impairment with respect to these agreements as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Certain prior period amounts for securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowed, as well as securities sold under repurchase agreements and securities loaned, have been revised to conform with the current period presentation. These revisions had no impact on the JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets or its results of operations.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

The following table presents as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the gross and net securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowed. Securities purchased under resale agreements have been presented on the Consolidated balance sheets net of securities sold under repurchase agreements where JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has obtained an appropriate legal opinion with respect to the master netting agreement, and where the other relevant criteria have been met. Where such a legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained, the securities purchased under resale agreements are not eligible for netting and are shown separately in the table below. Securities borrowed are presented on a gross basis on the Consolidated balance sheets.

				2015					2014		
December 31, (in millions)	balance sheets balance balance sheets bala								Net asset balance		
Securities purchased under resale agreements											
Securities purchased under resale agreements with an appropriate legal opinion	\$	233,113	\$	(89,172) \$	143,94	1	\$	222,793	\$ (91,687) \$	131,106	
Securities purchased under resale agreements where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained		3,857			3,85	7		9,269		9,269	
Total securities purchased under resale agreements	\$	236,970	\$	(89,172) \$	147,79	8 <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	232,062	\$ (91,687) \$	140,375	(a)
Securities borrowed	\$	25,519		NA \$	25,51	9 (b)(c)	\$	32,173	NA \$	32,173	(b)(c)

<sup>(</sup>a) For December 31, 2015 and 2014, included securities purchased under resale agreements of \$8.0 billion and \$14.3 billion, respectively, accounted for at fair value.

The following table presents information as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, regarding the securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowed for which an appropriate legal opinion has been obtained with respect to the master netting agreement. The below table excludes information related to resale agreements and securities borrowed where such a legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained.

		2015			2014					
			Amounts not nettable on the Consolidated balance sheets <sup>(a)</sup>	•						
December 31, (in millions)	Net asset balance	Financial Cash instruments <sup>(b)</sup> collateral	Net exposure	Net asset balance	Financial Cash instruments <sup>(b)</sup> collateral	Net exposure				
Securities purchased under resale agreements with an appropriate legal opinion	\$ 143,941	\$ (143,183) \$ (335)	) \$ 423	\$ 131,106	\$ (128,524) \$ (56	5) \$ 2,526				
Securities borrowed	\$ 24,054	\$ (23,759) \$ -	\$ 295	\$ 29,498	\$ (29,252) \$ -	- \$ 246				

<sup>(</sup>a) For some counterparties, the sum of the financial instruments and cash collateral not nettable on the Consolidated balance sheets may exceed the net asset balance. Where this is the case the total amounts reported in these two columns are limited to the balance of the net reverse repurchase agreement or securities borrowed asset with that counterparty. As a result a net exposure amount is reported even though JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., on an aggregate basis for its securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowed, has received securities collateral with a total fair value that is greater than the funds provided to counterparties.

<sup>(</sup>b) At December 31, 2015 and 2014, included securities borrowed of \$395 million and \$992 million, respectively, accounted for at fair value.

<sup>(</sup>c) Included \$1.5 billion and \$2.7 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, of securities borrowed where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained with respect to the master netting agreement.

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes financial instrument collateral received, repurchase liabilities and securities loaned liabilities with an appropriate legal opinion with respect to the master netting agreement; these amounts are not presented net on the Consolidated balance sheets because other U.S. GAAP netting criteria are not met.

The following table presents as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the gross and net securities sold under repurchase agreements and securities loaned. Securities sold under repurchase agreements have been presented on the Consolidated balance sheets net of securities purchased under resale agreements where JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has obtained an appropriate legal opinion with respect to the master netting agreement, and where the other relevant criteria have been met. Where such a legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained, the securities sold under repurchase agreements are not eligible for netting and are shown separately in the table below. Securities loaned are presented on a gross basis on the Consolidated balance sheets.

		<b>2015</b> 2014						
December 31, (in millions)	Gross liability balance		t liability oalance	Gross liability balance	liability balance Net liability			
Securities sold under repurchase agreements								
Securities sold under repurchase agreements with an appropriate legal opinion	\$ 151,367	\$ (89,172) \$	62,195	\$ 158,816	\$ (91,687) \$	67,129		
Securities sold under repurchase agreements where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained <sup>(a)</sup>	3,684		3,684	5,711		5,711		
Total securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$ 155,051	\$ (89,172) \$	65,879 <sup>(c)</sup>	\$ 164,527	\$ (91,687) \$	72,840 <sup>(c)</sup>		
Securities loaned(b)	\$ 17,260	NA \$	17,260 <sup>(d)(e)</sup>	\$ 21,067	NA \$	21,067 <sup>(d)(e)</sup>		

- (a) Includes repurchase agreements that are not subject to a master netting agreement but do provide rights to collateral.
- (b) Included securities-for-securities lending transactions of \$6.7 billion and \$781 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, accounted for at fair value, where JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is acting as lender. These amounts are presented within other liabilities in the Consolidated balance sheets.
- (c) At December 31, 2015 and 2014, included securities sold under repurchase agreements of \$728 million and \$678 million, respectively, accounted for at fair value.
- (d) There were no securities loaned accounted for at fair value at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
- (e) Included \$5 million and \$149 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, of securities loaned where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained with respect to the master netting agreement.

The following table presents information as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, regarding the securities sold under repurchase agreements and securities loaned for which an appropriate legal opinion has been obtained with respect to the master netting agreement. The below table excludes information related to repurchase agreements and securities loaned where such a legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained.

		2015				2014					
		nounts not r Consolidat sheets	ed balance					nounts not ne isolidated bal			
December 31, (in millions)	t liability balance	 nancial ruments <sup>(b)</sup>	Cash collatera	I	Net amount(c)	et liability balance	-	inancial ruments <sup>(b)</sup>		Cash Iateral	Net amount <sup>(c)</sup>
Securities sold under repurchase agreements with an appropriate legal opinion	\$ 62,195	\$ (58,968)	\$ (36	52)	\$ 2,865	\$ 67,129	\$	(66,214)	\$	(24)	\$ 891
Securities loaned	\$ 17,255	\$ (16,873)	\$	_	\$ 382	\$ 20,918	\$	(20,183)	\$	-	\$ 735

- (a) For some counterparties the sum of the financial instruments and cash collateral not nettable on the Consolidated balance sheets may exceed the net liability balance. Where this is the case the total amounts reported in these two columns are limited to the balance of the net repurchase agreement or securities loaned liability with that counterparty.
- (b) Includes financial instrument collateral transferred, reverse repurchase assets and securities borrowed assets with an appropriate legal opinion with respect to the master netting agreement; these amounts are not presented net on the Consolidated balance sheets because other U.S. GAAP netting criteria are not met.
- (c) Net amount represents exposure of counterparties to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

Effective April 1, 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. adopted new accounting guidance, which requires enhanced disclosures with respect to the types of financial assets pledged in secured financing transactions and the remaining contractual maturity of the secured financing transactions; the following tables present this information as of December 31, 2015.

	Gross liability balance									
December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Securit repurcha	Securities loaned								
Mortgage-backed securities	\$	3,286	\$ _							
U.S. Treasury and government agencies		60,119	31							
Non-U.S. government debt		80,863	4,812							
Corporate debt securities		8,794	637							
Asset-backed securities		734	-							
Equity securities		1,255	11,780							
Total	\$	155,051	\$ 17,260							

		Remaining cont	ractu	al maturity of	the	agreements	
December 31, 2015 (in millions)	ernight and ontinuous	Up to 30 days	30	) - 90 days		Greater than 90 days	Total
Total securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$ 25,950	\$ 76,681	\$	35,050	\$	17,370 \$	155,051
Total securities loaned	14,517	708		475		1,560	17,260

#### Transfers not qualifying for sale accounting

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. held \$7.5 billion and \$13.8 billion, respectively, of financial assets for which the rights have been transferred to third parties; however, the transfers did not qualify as a sale in accordance with U.S. GAAP. These transfers have been recognized as collateralized financing transactions. The transferred assets are recorded in trading assets and loans, and the corresponding liabilities are predominantly recorded in other borrowed funds on the Consolidated balance sheets.

#### Note 15 - Loans

### Loan accounting framework

The accounting for a loan depends on management's strategy for the loan, and on whether the loan was creditimpaired at the date of acquisition. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. accounts for loans based on the following categories:

- Originated or purchased loans held-for-investment (i.e., "retained"), other than purchased credit-impaired ("PCI") loans
- Loans held-for-sale
- Loans at fair value
- PCI loans held-for-investment

The following provides a detailed accounting discussion of these loan categories:

Loans held-for-investment (other than PCI loans)

Originated or purchased loans held-for-investment, other than PCI loans, are measured at the principal amount outstanding, net of the following: allowance for loan losses; charge-offs; interest applied to principal (for loans accounted for on the cost recovery method); unamortized discounts and premiums; and net deferred loan fees or costs. Credit card loans also include billed finance charges and fees net of an allowance for uncollectible amounts.

#### Interest income

Interest income on performing loans held-for-investment, other than PCI loans, is accrued and recognized as interest income at the contractual rate of interest. Purchase price discounts or premiums, as well as net deferred loan fees or costs, are amortized into interest income over the life of the loan to produce a level rate of return.

#### Nonaccrual loans

Nonaccrual loans are those on which the accrual of interest has been suspended. Loans (other than credit card loans and certain consumer loans insured by U.S. government agencies) are placed on nonaccrual status and considered nonperforming when full payment of principal and interest is in doubt, or when principal and interest has been in default for a period of 90 days or more, unless the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection. A loan is determined to be past due when the minimum payment is not received from the borrower by the contractually specified due date or for certain loans (e.g., residential real estate loans), when a monthly payment is due and unpaid for 30 days or more. Finally, collateral-dependent loans are typically maintained on nonaccrual status.

On the date a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, all interest accrued but not collected is reversed against interest income. In addition, the amortization of deferred amounts is suspended. Interest income on nonaccrual loans may be recognized as cash interest payments are received (i.e., on a cash basis) if the recorded loan balance is deemed fully collectible; however, if there is doubt regarding the ultimate collectibility of the recorded loan

balance, all interest cash receipts are applied to reduce the carrying value of the loan (the cost recovery method). For consumer loans, application of this policy typically results in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. recognizing interest income on nonaccrual consumer loans on a cash basis.

A loan may be returned to accrual status when repayment is reasonably assured and there has been demonstrated performance under the terms of the loan or, if applicable, the terms of the restructured loan.

As permitted by regulatory guidance, credit card loans are generally exempt from being placed on nonaccrual status; accordingly, interest and fees related to credit card loans continue to accrue until the loan is charged off or paid in full. However, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. separately establishes an allowance for the estimated uncollectible portion of accrued interest and fee income on credit card loans. The allowance is established with a charge to interest income and is reported as an offset to loans.

## Allowance for loan losses

The allowance for loan losses represents the estimated probable credit losses inherent in the held-for-investment loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. Changes in the allowance for loan losses are recorded in the provision for credit losses on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated statements of income. See Note 16 for further information on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s accounting policies for the allowance for loan losses.

#### Charge-offs

Consumer loans, other than risk-rated business banking, risk-rated auto and PCI loans, are generally charged off or charged down to the net realizable value of the underlying collateral (i.e., fair value less costs to sell), with an offset to the allowance for loan losses, upon reaching specified stages of delinquency in accordance with standards established by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council ("FFIEC"). Residential real estate loans, non-modified credit card loans and scored business banking loans are generally charged off at 180 days past due. Auto and student loans are charged off no later than 120 days past due, and modified credit card loans are charged off at 120 days past due.

Certain consumer loans will be charged off earlier than the FFIEC charge-off standards in certain circumstances as follows:

- A charge-off is recognized when a loan is modified in a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR") if the loan is determined to be collateral-dependent. A loan is considered to be collateral-dependent when repayment of the loan is expected to be provided solely by the underlying collateral, rather than by cash flows from the borrower's operations, income or other resources.
- Loans to borrowers who have experienced an event (e.g., bankruptcy) that suggests a loss is either known or

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

highly certain are subject to accelerated charge-off standards. Residential real estate and auto loans are charged off when the loan becomes 60 days past due, or sooner if the loan is determined to be collateral-dependent. Credit card and scored business banking loans are charged off within 60 days of receiving notification of the bankruptcy filing or other event. Student loans are generally charged off when the loan becomes 60 days past due after receiving notification of a bankruptcy.

 Auto loans are written down to net realizable value upon repossession of the automobile and after a redemption period (i.e., the period during which a borrower may cure the loan) has passed.

Other than in certain limited circumstances, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. typically does not recognize charge-offs on government-guaranteed loans.

Wholesale loans, risk-rated business banking loans and risk-rated auto loans are charged off when it is highly certain that a loss has been realized, including situations where a loan is determined to be both impaired and collateral-dependent. The determination of whether to recognize a charge-off includes many factors, including the prioritization of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s claim in bankruptcy, expectations of the workout/restructuring of the loan and valuation of the borrower's equity or the loan collateral.

When a loan is charged down to the estimated net realizable value, the determination of the fair value of the collateral depends on the type of collateral (e.g., securities, real estate). In cases where the collateral is in the form of liquid securities, the fair value is based on quoted market prices or broker quotes. For illiquid securities or other financial assets, the fair value of the collateral is estimated using a discounted cash flow model.

For residential real estate loans, collateral values are based upon external valuation sources. When it becomes likely that a borrower is either unable or unwilling to pay. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. obtains a broker's price opinion of the home based on an exterior-only valuation ("exterior opinions"), which is then updated at least every six months thereafter. As soon as practicable after JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. receives the property in satisfaction of a debt (e.g., by taking legal title or physical possession), generally, either through foreclosure or upon the execution of a deed in lieu of foreclosure transaction with the borrower, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. obtains an appraisal based on an inspection that includes the interior of the home ("interior appraisals"). Exterior opinions and interior appraisals are discounted based upon JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s experience with actual liquidation values as compared with the estimated values provided by exterior opinions and interior appraisals, considering state- and product-specific factors.

For commercial real estate loans, collateral values are generally based on appraisals from internal and external valuation sources. Collateral values are typically updated every six to twelve months, either by obtaining a new appraisal or by performing an internal analysis, in accordance with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s policies. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also considers both borrower-and market-specific factors, which may result in obtaining appraisal updates or broker price opinions at more frequent intervals.

#### Loans held-for-sale

Held-for-sale loans are measured at the lower of cost or fair value, with valuation changes recorded in noninterest revenue. For consumer loans, the valuation is performed on a portfolio basis. For wholesale loans, the valuation is performed on an individual loan basis.

Interest income on loans held-for-sale is accrued and recognized based on the contractual rate of interest.

Loan origination fees or costs and purchase price discounts or premiums are deferred in a contra loan account until the related loan is sold. The deferred fees and discounts or premiums are an adjustment to the basis of the loan and therefore are included in the periodic determination of the lower of cost or fair value adjustments and/or the gain or loss recognized at the time of sale.

Held-for-sale loans are subject to the nonaccrual policies described above.

Because held-for-sale loans are recognized at the lower of cost or fair value, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s allowance for loan losses and charge-off policies do not apply to these loans.

# Loans at fair value

Loans used in a market-making strategy or risk managed on a fair value basis are measured at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded in noninterest revenue.

For these loans, the earned current contractual interest payment is recognized in interest income. Changes in fair value are recognized in noninterest revenue. Loan origination fees are recognized upfront in noninterest revenue. Loan origination costs are recognized in the associated expense category as incurred.

Because these loans are recognized at fair value, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s allowance for loan losses and charge-off policies do not apply to these loans.

See Note 5 for further information on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s elections of fair value accounting under the fair value option. See Note 4 and Note 5 for further information on loans carried at fair value and classified as trading assets.

#### PCI loans

PCI loans held-for-investment are initially measured at fair value. PCI loans have evidence of credit deterioration since the loan's origination date and therefore it is probable, at acquisition, that all contractually required payments will not be collected. Because PCI loans are initially measured at fair value, which includes an estimate of future credit losses, no allowance for loan losses related to PCI loans is recorded at the acquisition date. See page 87 of this Note for information on accounting for PCI loans subsequent to their acquisition.

#### Loan classification changes

Loans in the held-for-investment portfolio that management decides to sell are transferred to the held-for-sale portfolio at the lower of cost or fair value on the date of transfer. Credit-related losses are charged against the allowance for loan losses; non-credit related losses such as those due to changes in interest rates or foreign currency exchange rates are recognized in noninterest revenue.

In the event that management decides to retain a loan in the held-for-sale portfolio, the loan is transferred to the held-for-investment portfolio at the lower of cost or fair value on the date of transfer. These loans are subsequently assessed for impairment based on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s allowance methodology. For a further discussion of the methodologies used in establishing JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s allowance for loan losses, see Note 16.

#### Loan modifications

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. seeks to modify certain loans in conjunction with its loss-mitigation activities. Through the modification, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. grants one or more concessions to a borrower who is experiencing financial difficulty in order to minimize JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s economic loss, avoid foreclosure or repossession of the collateral, and to ultimately maximize payments received by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. from the borrower. The concessions granted vary by program and by borrower-specific characteristics, and may include interest rate reductions, term extensions, payment deferrals, principal forgiveness, or the acceptance of equity or other assets in lieu of payments.

Such modifications are accounted for and reported as TDRs. A loan that has been modified in a TDR is generally considered to be impaired until it matures, is repaid, or is otherwise liquidated, regardless of whether the borrower performs under the modified terms. In certain limited cases, the effective interest rate applicable to the modified loan is at or above the current market rate at the time of the restructuring. In such circumstances, and assuming that the loan subsequently performs under its modified terms and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. expects to collect all contractual principal and interest cash flows, the loan is disclosed as impaired and as a TDR only during the year of the modification; in subsequent years, the loan is not

disclosed as an impaired loan or as a TDR so long as repayment of the restructured loan under its modified terms is reasonably assured.

Loans, except for credit card loans, modified in a TDR are generally placed on nonaccrual status, although in many cases such loans were already on nonaccrual status prior to modification. These loans may be returned to performing status (the accrual of interest is resumed) if the following criteria are met: (a) the borrower has performed under the modified terms for a minimum of six months and/or six payments, and (b) JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has an expectation that repayment of the modified loan is reasonably assured based on, for example, the borrower's debt capacity and level of future earnings, collateral values, loan-to-value ("LTV") ratios, and other current market considerations. In certain limited and well-defined circumstances in which the loan is current at the modification date, such loans are not placed on nonaccrual status at the time of modification.

Because loans modified in TDRs are considered to be impaired, these loans are measured for impairment using JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s established asset-specific allowance methodology, which considers the expected redefault rates for the modified loans. A loan modified in a TDR generally remains subject to the asset-specific allowance methodology throughout its remaining life, regardless of whether the loan is performing and has been returned to accrual status and/or the loan has been removed from the impaired loans disclosures (i.e., loans restructured at market rates). For further discussion of the methodology used to estimate JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s asset-specific allowance, see Note 16.

### Foreclosed property

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. acquires property from borrowers through loan restructurings, workouts, and foreclosures. Property acquired may include real property (e.g., residential real estate, land, and buildings) and commercial and personal property (e.g., automobiles, aircraft, railcars, and ships).

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. recognizes foreclosed property upon receiving assets in satisfaction of a loan (e.g., by taking legal title or physical possession). For loans collateralized by real property, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. generally recognizes the asset received at foreclosure sale or upon the execution of a deed in lieu of foreclosure transaction with the borrower. Foreclosed assets are reported in other assets on the Consolidated balance sheets and initially recognized at fair value less costs to sell. Each quarter the fair value of the acquired property is reviewed and adjusted, if necessary, to the lower of cost or fair value. Subsequent adjustments to fair value are charged/credited to noninterest revenue. Operating expense, such as real estate taxes and maintenance, are charged to other expense.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Loan portfolio

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s loan portfolio is divided into three portfolio segments, which are the same segments used by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to determine the allowance for loan losses: Consumer, excluding credit card; Credit card; and Wholesale. Within each portfolio segment, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. monitors and assesses the credit risk in the following classes of loans, based on the risk characteristics of each loan class:

## Consumer, excluding credit card(a) Residential real estate - excluding PCI · Home equity - senior lien • Home equity - junior lien · Prime mortgage, including option ARMs · Subprime mortgage Other consumer loans Auto<sup>(b)</sup> • Business banking(b) Student and other Residential real estate - PCI Home equity • Prime mortgage Subprime mortgage Option ARMs

Credit card	
• Credit card loans	
	_

Wholesale <sup>(c)</sup>									
Commercial and industrial     Real estate     Financial institutions     Government agencies     Other (d)									

- (a) Includes loans held in the consumer & community banking business, prime mortgage and home equity loans held in the asset management business and prime mortgage loans held in the corporate business.
- (b) Includes certain business banking and auto dealer risk-rated loans that apply the wholesale methodology for determining the allowance for loan losses; these loans are managed by the consumer & community banking business, and therefore, for consistency in presentation, are included with the other consumer loan classes.
- (c) Includes loans held in the corporate & investment banking, commercial banking and asset management businesses and in the corporate business. Excludes prime mortgage and home equity loans held in the asset management business and prime mortgage loans held in the corporate business. Classes are internally defined and may not align with regulatory definitions.
- (d) Includes loans to: individuals; SPEs; holding companies; and private education and civic organizations. For more information on exposures to SPEs, see Note 17.

The following tables summarize JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s loan balances by portfolio segment.

December 31, 2015	Consur	ner, excluding					
(in millions)		edit card	Credit card <sup>(a)</sup>	Credit card <sup>(a)</sup>			Total
Retained	\$	344,300 \$	30,989	\$	356,031	\$	731,320 <sup>(b)</sup>
Held-for-sale		466	76		1,103		1,645
At fair value		_	_		2,752		2,752
Total	\$	344,766 \$	31,065	\$	359,886	\$	735,717
December 31, 2014	Consur	ner, excluding					
(in millions)	cr	edit card	Credit card <sup>(a)</sup>		Wholesale		Total
Retained	\$	288,905 \$	29,745	\$	324,327	\$	642,977 <sup>(b)</sup>
Held-for-sale		389	2,180		3,801		6,370
At fair value		_	_		2,283		2,283
Total	\$	289,294 \$	31,925	\$	330,411	\$	651,630

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes billed finance charges and fees net of an allowance for uncollectible amounts.

<sup>(</sup>b) Loans (other than PCI loans and those for which the fair value option has been elected) are presented net of unearned income, unamortized discounts and premiums, and net deferred loan costs. These amounts were not material as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

The following tables provide information about the carrying value of retained loans purchased, sold and reclassified to held-for-sale during the periods indicated. These tables exclude loans recorded at fair value. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. manages its exposure to credit risk on an ongoing basis. Selling loans is one way that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. reduces its credit exposures.

	2015											
Year ended December 31, (in millions)		ner, excluding edit card	Cred	it card	W	nolesale	Total					
Purchases	\$	5,279 (a)(b)	\$	-	\$	1,066	\$	6,345				
Sales		5,049		_		9,195		14,244				
Retained loans reclassified to held-for-sale		1,439		79		642		2,160				

	2014										
Year ended December 31, (in millions)		ner, excluding edit card	Cred	dit card	Wh	nolesale	Total				
Purchases	\$	7,434 (a)(b)	\$	_	\$	885	\$	8,319			
Sales		6,582		- <sup>(c)</sup>		7,381		13,963			
Retained loans reclassified to held-for-sale		1,173		2,176		581		3,930			

	2013										
Year ended December 31, (in millions)		er, excluding edit card	Cred	it card	Wh	olesale	Total				
Purchases	\$	7,616 (a)(b)	\$	_	\$	697	\$	8,313			
Sales		4,829		_		4,287		9,116			
Retained loans reclassified to held-for-sale		1,261		68		5,641		6,970			

<sup>(</sup>a) Purchases predominantly represent JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s voluntary repurchase of certain delinquent loans from loan pools as permitted by Ginnie Mae guidelines. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. typically elects to repurchase these delinquent loans as it continues to service them and/or manage the foreclosure process in accordance with applicable requirements of Ginnie Mae, the Federal Housing Administration ("FHA"), Rural Housing Services ("RHS") and/or the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs ("VA").

The following table provides information about gains and losses, including lower of cost or fair value adjustments, on loan sales by portfolio segment.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2	<b>2015</b> 2014		2013
Net gains/(losses) on sales of loans (including lower of cost or fair value adjustments)(a)				
Consumer, excluding credit card	\$	305 \$	341 \$	313
Credit card		(3)	(227)	_
Wholesale		15	85	(78)
Total net gains on sales of loans (including lower of cost or fair value adjustments)	\$	317 \$	199 \$	235

<sup>(</sup>a) Excludes sales related to loans accounted for at fair value.

<sup>(</sup>b) Excludes purchases of retained loans sourced through the correspondent origination channel and underwritten in accordance with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s standards. Such purchases were \$50.3 billion, \$15.1 billion and \$5.7 billion for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

<sup>(</sup>c) Prior period amounts have been revised to conform with current period presentation.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

## Consumer, excluding credit card, loan portfolio

Consumer loans, excluding credit card loans, consist primarily of residential mortgages, home equity loans and lines of credit, auto loans, business banking loans, and student and other loans, with a focus on serving the prime consumer credit market. The portfolio also includes home equity loans secured by junior liens, prime mortgage loans with an interest-only payment period, and certain payment-option loans originated by Washington Mutual that may result in negative amortization.

The table below provides information about retained consumer loans, excluding credit card, by class.

December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014
Residential real estate - excluding PCI		
Home equity:		
Senior lien	\$ 14,848	\$ 16,033
Junior lien	30,692	34,824
Mortgages:		
Prime, including option ARMs	162,515	100,794
Subprime	3,688	5,054
Other consumer loans		
Auto	60,255	54,536
Business banking	21,208	20,056
Student and other	10,096	10,912
Residential real estate - PCI		
Home equity	14,989	17,095
Prime mortgage	8,893	10,220
Subprime mortgage	3,263	3,673
Option ARMs	13,853	15,708
Total retained loans	\$ 344,300	\$ 288,905

Delinquency rates are a primary credit quality indicator for consumer loans. Loans that are more than 30 days past due provide an early warning of borrowers who may be experiencing financial difficulties and/or who may be unable or unwilling to repay the loan. As the loan continues to age, it becomes more clear that the borrower is likely either unable or unwilling to pay. In the case of residential real estate loans, late-stage delinquencies (greater than 150 days past due) are a strong indicator of loans that will ultimately result in a foreclosure or similar liquidation transaction. In addition to delinquency rates, other credit quality indicators for consumer loans vary based on the class of loan, as follows:

 For residential real estate loans, including both non-PCI and PCI portfolios, the current estimated LTV ratio, or the combined LTV ratio in the case of junior lien loans, is an indicator of the potential loss severity in the event of default. Additionally, LTV or combined LTV can provide insight into a borrower's continued willingness to pay, as the delinquency rate of high-LTV loans tends to be greater than that for loans where the borrower has equity in the collateral. The geographic distribution of the loan collateral also provides insight as to the credit quality of the portfolio, as factors such as the regional economy, home price changes and specific events such as natural disasters, will affect credit quality. The borrower's current or "refreshed" FICO score is a secondary credit-quality indicator for certain loans, as FICO scores are an indication of the borrower's credit payment history. Thus, a loan to a borrower with a low FICO score (660 or below) is considered to be of higher risk than a loan to a borrower with a high FICO score. Further, a loan to a borrower with a high LTV ratio and a low FICO score is at greater risk of default than a loan to a borrower that has both a high LTV ratio and a high FICO score.

- For scored auto, scored business banking and student loans, geographic distribution is an indicator of the credit performance of the portfolio. Similar to residential real estate loans, geographic distribution provides insights into the portfolio performance based on regional economic activity and events.
- Risk-rated business banking and auto loans are similar
  to wholesale loans in that the primary credit quality
  indicators are the risk rating that is assigned to the loan
  and whether the loans are considered to be criticized
  and/or nonaccrual. Risk ratings are reviewed on a
  regular and ongoing basis by Credit Risk Management
  and are adjusted as necessary for updated information
  about borrowers' ability to fulfill their obligations. For
  further information about risk-rated wholesale loan
  credit quality indicators, see page 91 of this Note.

# Residential real estate - excluding PCI loans

The following table provides information by class for residential real estate — excluding retained PCI loans in the consumer, excluding credit card, portfolio segment.

The following factors should be considered in analyzing certain credit statistics applicable to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s residential real estate — excluding PCI loans portfolio: (i) junior lien home equity loans may be fully charged off when the loan becomes 180 days past due, and the value of the collateral does not support the repayment of the loan, resulting in relatively high charge-off rates for this product class; and (ii) the lengthening of loss-mitigation timelines may result in higher delinquency rates for loans carried at the net realizable value of the collateral that remain on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets.

#### Residential real estate - excluding PCI loans

			Home e	equity	<sub>(</sub> (i)			Mortgages											
December 31,	Se	nior li	ien		Junio	or lie	1		Prime, i option				Su	bpri	me		Total residential real estate - excluding PCI		
(in millions, except ratios)	2015		2014	- 2	2015		2014		2015		2014	2	2015		2014		2015		2014
Loan delinquency <sup>(a)</sup>																			
Current	\$ 14,278	\$	15,408	<b>\$ 30,002</b>		4,043	\$153,298		\$	90,021	\$3	,139	\$	4,295	\$ 7	200,717	\$ 143,767		
30-149 days past due	238	3	270		470		522		3,661		4,009		376		489		4,745		5,290
150 or more days past due	332	2	355		220		259		5,556		6,764		173		270		6,281		7,648
Total retained loans	\$ 14,848	3 \$	16,033	\$ 3	0,692	\$ 3	4,824	\$1	62,515	\$1	00,794	\$3	,688	\$	5,054	\$ 7	211,743	\$ 1	56,705
% of 30+ days past due to total retained loans <sup>(b)</sup>	3.84	<b>l</b> %	3.90%		2.25%	6	2.24%		0.71%	Ď	1.29%	1	4.899	%	15.02%		1.40%	)	2.21%
90 or more days past due and government guaranteed <sup>(c)</sup>	\$ -	- \$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,053	\$	7,541	\$	_	\$	-	\$	6,053	\$	7,541
Nonaccrual loans	867	,	921		1,324		1,554		1,749		1,927		750		1,035		4,690		5,437
Current estimated LTV ratios $^{(d)(e)(f)(g)}$																			
Greater than 125% and refreshed FICO scores:																			
Equal to or greater than 660	\$ 42	\$	37	\$	123	\$	250	\$	56	\$	97	\$	2	\$	4	\$	223	\$	388
Less than 660	3	3	6		29		65		65		72		12		28		109		171
101% to 125% and refreshed FICO scores:																			
Equal to or greater than 660	50	)	83		1,293		2,087		249		476		25		76		1,617		2,722
Less than 660	23	3	40		411		646		189		280		101		207		724		1,173
80% to 100% and refreshed FICO scores:																			
Equal to or greater than 660	311	L	460		4,223		5,693		3,012		2,569		146		382		7,692		9,104
Less than 660	142	2	203		1,266		1,619		597		785		399		702		2,404		3,309
Less than 80% and refreshed FICO scores:																			
Equal to or greater than 660	11,72	L	12,321	1	7,915	1	8,259	1	40,934		79,317	1	,298		1,623	:	171,868	1	11,520
Less than 660	1,942	2	2,143		2,990		3,179		5,273		4,156	1	,516		1,795		11,721		11,273
No FICO/LTV available	614	ı	740		2,442		3,026		1,467		952		189		237		4,712		4,955
U.S. government-guaranteed		-	_		-		_		10,673		12,090		-		_		10,673		12,090
Total retained loans	\$ 14,848	\$	16,033	\$ 3	0,692	\$ 3	4,824	\$1	.62,515	\$1	00,794	\$3	,688	\$	5,054	\$ 2	211,743	\$ 1	56,705
Geographic region																			
California	\$ 2,072	2 \$	2,221	\$	6,869	\$	7,935	\$	46,745	\$	26,381	\$	517	\$	717	\$	56,203	\$	37,254
New York	2,583	3	2,747		6,560		7,373		20,937		16,002		520		676		30,600		26,798
Illinois	1,189	•	1,264		2,230		2,459		11,378		6,501		145		207		14,942		10,431
Texas	1,581	L	1,839		950		1,012		8,985		4,848		142		177		11,658		7,876
Florida	797	7	844		1,611		1,871		6,761		4,820		414		632		9,583		8,167
New Jersey	647	7	632		1,942		2,102		5,394		3,218		172		227		8,155		6,179
Washington	442	<u> </u>	503		1,008		1,185		4,097		2,179		79		109		5,626		3,976
Arizona	815	5	899		1,328		1,538		3,081		1,747		74		112		5,298		4,296
Michigan	650	)	716		699		819		1,865		1,184		79		121		3,293		2,840
Ohio	1,014	ı	1,104		638		718	1,165		602		81		112		2,898			2,536
All other <sup>(h)</sup>	3,058	3	3,264		6,857		7,812		52,107		33,312	1	465		1,964		63,487		46,352
Total retained loans	\$ 14,848	\$	16,033	\$ 3	0,692	\$ 3	4,824	\$1	.62,515	\$1	00,794	\$3	,688	\$	5,054	\$ :	211,743	\$ 1	56,705

- (a) Individual delinquency classifications include mortgage loans insured by U.S. government agencies as follows: current included \$2.6 billion and \$2.6 billion; 30–149 days past due included \$3.2 billion and \$3.5 billion; and 150 or more days past due included \$4.9 billion and \$6.0 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
- (b) At December 31, 2015 and 2014, Prime, including option ARMs loans excluded mortgage loans insured by U.S. government agencies of \$8.1 billion and \$9.5 billion, respectively. These amounts have been excluded from nonaccrual loans based upon the government guarantee.
- (c) These balances, which are 90 days or more past due, were excluded from nonaccrual loans as the loans are guaranteed by U.S. government agencies. Typically, the principal balance of the loans is insured and interest is guaranteed at a specified reimbursement rate subject to meeting agreed-upon servicing guidelines. At December 31, 2015, and 2014, these balances included \$3.4 billion and \$4.2 billion, respectively, of loans that are no longer accruing interest based on the agreed-upon servicing guidelines. For the remaining balance, interest is being accrued at the guaranteed reimbursement rate. There were no loans not guaranteed by U.S. government agencies that are 90 or more days past due and still accruing at December 31, 2015, and 2014.
- (d) Represents the aggregate unpaid principal balance of loans divided by the estimated current property value. Current property values are estimated, at a minimum, quarterly, based on home valuation models using nationally recognized home price index valuation estimates incorporating actual data to the extent available and forecasted data where actual data is not available. These property values do not represent actual appraised loan level collateral values; as such, the resulting ratios are necessarily imprecise and should be viewed as estimates. Effective December 31, 2015, the current estimated LTV ratios reflect updates to the nationally recognized home price index valuation estimates incorporated into JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s home valuation models. The prior period ratios have been revised to conform with these updates in the home price index.
- (e) Junior lien represents combined LTV, which considers all available lien positions, as well as unused lines, related to the property. All other products are presented without consideration of subordinate liens on the property.
- (f) Refreshed FICO scores represent each borrower's most recent credit score, which is obtained by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. on at least a quarterly basis.
- (g) The current period current estimated LTV ratios disclosures have been updated to reflect where either the FICO score or estimated property value is unavailable. The prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current presentation.
- (h) At December 31, 2015 and 2014, included mortgage loans insured by U.S. government agencies of \$10.7 billion and \$12.1 billion, respectively.
- (i) Includes residential real estate loans to private banking clients in the asset management business, for which the primary credit quality indicators are the borrower's financial position and LTV.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

The following table represents JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s delinquency statistics for junior lien home equity loans and lines as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

December 31,	 Total loa	Total 30+ day delinquency rate				
(in millions, except ratios)	2015	2014	2015	2014		
HELOCs:(a)						
Within the revolving period(b)	\$ 17,040 \$	23,977	1.57%	1.79%		
Beyond the revolving period	11,244	7,860	3.10	3.16		
HELOANS	2,408	2,987	3.03	3.48		
Total	\$ 30,692	34,824	2.25%	2.24%		

- (a) These HELOCs are predominantly revolving loans for a 10-year period, after which time the HELOC converts to a loan with a 20-year amortization period, but also include HELOCs originated by Washington Mutual that allow interest-only payments beyond the revolving period.
- (b) JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. manages the risk of HELOCs during their revolving period by closing or reducing the undrawn line to the extent permitted by law when borrowers are experiencing financial difficulty or when the collateral does not support the loan amount.

Home equity lines of credit ("HELOCs") beyond the revolving period and home equity loans ("HELOANs") have higher delinquency rates than do HELOCs within the revolving period. That is primarily because the fully-amortizing payment that is generally required for those products is higher than the minimum payment options Impaired loans

available for HELOCs within the revolving period. The higher delinquency rates associated with amortizing HELOCs and HELOANs are factored into the loss estimates produced by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s delinquency roll-rate methodology, which estimates defaults based on the current delinquency status of a portfolio.

The table below sets forth information about JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s residential real estate impaired loans, excluding PCI loans. These loans are considered to be impaired as they have been modified in a TDR. All impaired loans are evaluated for an asset-specific allowance as described in Note 16.

			Home	equ	ity			M				gage	!S			Total res	side	ential
December 31.	Senio	or lie	en		Junio	r lie	en			Prime, including option ARMs Subprime				ne	real e	esta	ate	
(in millions)	2015		2014		2015		2014		2015		2014		2015		2014	2015		2014
Impaired loans																		
With an allowance	\$ 557	\$	549	\$	736	\$	715	\$	3,842	\$	4,160	\$	1,392	\$	2,238	\$ 6,527	\$	7,662
Without an allowance(a)	491		540		574		567		974		1,056		471		639	2,510		2,802
Total impaired loans(b)(c)	\$ 1,048	\$	1,089	\$	1,310	\$	1,282	\$	4,816	\$	5,216	\$	1,863	\$	2,877	\$ 9,037	\$	10,464
Allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans	\$ 53	\$	84	\$	85	\$	146	\$	93	\$	110	\$	15	\$	64	\$ 246	\$	404
Unpaid principal balance of impaired loans(d)	1,370		1,437		2,590		2,568		6,213		6,735		2,856		4,198	13,029		14,938
Impaired loans on nonaccrual status <sup>(e)</sup>	581		619		637		615		1,284		1,369		670		931	3,172		3,534

- (a) Represents collateral-dependent residential mortgage loans that are charged off to the fair value of the underlying collateral less cost to sell. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. reports, in accordance with regulatory guidance, residential real estate loans that have been discharged under Chapter 7 bankruptcy and not reaffirmed by the borrower ("Chapter 7 loans") as collateral-dependent nonaccrual TDRs, regardless of their delinquency status. At December 31, 2015, Chapter 7 residential real estate loans included approximately 17% of senior lien home equity, 9% of junior lien home equity, 18% of prime mortgages, including option ARMs, and 15% of subprime mortgages that were 30 days or more past due.
- (b) At December 31, 2015 and 2014, \$3.8 billion and \$4.9 billion, respectively, of loans modified subsequent to repurchase from Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae") in accordance with the standards of the appropriate government agency (i.e., FHA, VA, RHS) are not included in the table above. When such loans perform subsequent to modification in accordance with Ginnie Mae guidelines, they are generally sold back into Ginnie Mae loan pools. Modified loans that do not re-perform become subject to foreclosure.
- (c) Predominantly all residential real estate impaired loans, excluding PCI loans, are in the U.S.
- (d) Represents the contractual amount of principal owed at December 31, 2015 and 2014. The unpaid principal balance differs from the impaired loan balances due to various factors, including charge-offs, net deferred loan fees or costs; and unamortized discounts or premiums on purchased loans.
- (e) As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, nonaccrual loans included \$2.5 billion and \$2.7 billion, respectively, of TDRs for which the borrowers were less than 90 days past due. For additional information about loans modified in a TDR that are on nonaccrual status refer to the Loan accounting framework on pages 75–77 of this Note.

The following table presents average impaired loans and the related interest income reported by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

Year ended December 31,	Avera	age	impaired	l loa	ans	Interest income on impaired loans <sup>(a)</sup>						Interest income on impaired loans on a cash basis <sup>(a)</sup>					
(in millions)	2015		2014		2013		2015		2014		2013		2015		2014	ĩ	2013
Home equity																	
Senior lien	\$ 1,067	\$	1,111	\$	1,142	\$	51	\$	55	\$	58	\$	34	\$	37	\$	39
Junior lien	1,273		1,292		1,280		77		80		82		50		52		54
Mortgages																	
Prime, including option ARMs	4,794		5,722		6,138		194		225		241		43		47		53
Subprime	2,298		3,303		3,586		131		174		189		41		49		51
Total residential real estate - excluding PCI	\$ 9,432	\$	11,428	\$	12,146	\$	453	\$	534	\$	570	\$	168	\$	185	\$	197

<sup>(</sup>a) Generally, interest income on loans modified in TDRs is recognized on a cash basis until such time as the borrower has made a minimum of six payments under the new terms.

## **Loan modifications**

Modifications of residential real estate loans, excluding PCI loans, are generally accounted for and reported as TDRs. There were no additional commitments to lend to borrowers whose residential real estate loans, excluding PCI loans, have been modified in TDRs.

The following table presents new TDRs reported by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2	015	20	14	i	2013
Home equity:						
Senior lien	\$	106	\$	108	\$	203
Junior lien		288		202		375
Mortgages:						
Prime, including option ARMs		201		266		677
Subprime		58		121		297
Total residential real estate - excluding PCI	\$	653	\$	697	\$	1,552

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

# Nature and extent of modifications

The U.S. Treasury's Making Home Affordable ("MHA") programs, as well as JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s proprietary modification programs, generally provide various concessions to financially troubled borrowers including, but not limited to, interest rate reductions, term or payment extensions and deferral of principal and/or interest payments that would otherwise have been required under the terms of the original agreement.

The following table provides information about how residential real estate loans, excluding PCI loans, were modified under JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s loss mitigation programs during the periods presented. This table excludes Chapter 7 loans where the sole concession granted is the discharge of debt.

			Home 6	equity					Mortg						
Year ended	9	Senior lien		J	Junior lien			ne, includi ption ARMs			Subprime			idential re excluding F	
Dec. 31,	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013
Number of loans approved for a trial modification	1,334	925	1,701	2,582	617	870	1,054	959	2,579	1,608	2,021	3,887	6,578	4,522	9,037
Number of loans permanently modified	1,082	1,159	1,741	3,166	2,761	4,970	1,431	2,289	3,974	1,649	3,111	5,063	7,328	9,320	15,748
Concession granted: <sup>(a)</sup>															
Interest rate reduction	75%	53%	71%	63%	84%	88%	72%	43%	73%	71%	46%	71%	68%	58%	77%
Term or payment extension	86	67	76	90	83	80	80	51	72	82	54	59	86	63	71
Principal and/ or interest deferred	32	16	12	19	23	24	33	20	30	21	12	13	24	18	21
Principal forgiveness	4	36	38	8	23	32	24	51	38	31	53	50	16	41	40
Other <sup>(b)</sup>		-	_	_	-	-	9	9	24	13	10	14	5	6	10

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents concessions granted in permanent modifications as a percentage of the number of loans permanently modified. The sum of the percentages exceeds 0% because predominantly all of the modifications include more than one type of concession. A significant portion of trial modifications include interest rate reductions and/or term or payment extensions.

#### Financial effects of modifications and redefaults

The following table provides information about the financial effects of the various concessions granted in modifications of residential real estate loans, excluding PCI, under JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s loss mitigation programs and about redefaults of certain loans modified in TDRs for the periods presented. Because the specific types and amounts of concessions offered to borrowers frequently change between the trial modification and the permanent modification, the following table presents only the financial effects of permanent modifications. This table also excludes Chapter 7 loans where the sole concession granted is the discharge of debt.

<sup>(</sup>b) Represents variable interest rate to fixed interest rate modifications.

Year ended						Home	e eq	uity				Mortgages									_									
December 31, (in millions, except weighted-average		9	Senio	or lie	n				Jun	ior lien						includ n ARN		g			Sub	prime	!		To	otal res - e		ntial re uding I		estate
data and number of loans)	20	015	20	014	2	013	2	015	2	014	2	2013	2	015	20	)14	2	013	2	015	2	014	2	2013	2	2015	2	2014	2	2013
Weighted-average interest rate of loans with interest rate reductions - before TDR	5.	.70%	o 6.	40%	6	.35%	4	4.94%	, ,	4.83%	!	5.06%	5	5.01%	4.	82%	!	5.24%		6.66%	,	7.15%	,	7.35%	ļ	5.52%	1	5.64%		5.88%
Weighted-average interest rate of loans with interest rate reductions - after TDR	2.	.70	3.	03	3	.23	7	2.16		2.00		2.13	2	2.58	2.	71	;	2.78	3	3.15		3.37		3.54		2.65		2.79		2.93
Weighted-average remaining contractual term (in years) of loans with term or payment extensions - before TDR		17		17		19		18		19		19		25		25		25		24		24		24		22		23		23
Weighted-average remaining contractual term (in years) of loans with term or payment extensions - after TDR		32		30		31		36		35		34		37		37		37		36		36		35		36		36		36
Charge-offs																											_			
recognized upon permanent modification	\$	1	\$	2	\$	7	\$	3	\$	25	\$	69	\$	9	\$	9	\$	16	\$	2	\$	3	\$	5	\$	15	\$	39	\$	97
Principal deferred		12		5		7		14		11		24		38		35		116		17		19		41		81		70		188
Principal forgiven		2		14		30		4		21		51		34		78		189		32		88		215		72		201		485
Balance of loans that redefaulted within one year of permanent modification <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	14	\$	19	\$	26	\$	7	\$	10	\$	20	\$	73	\$1	13	\$	142	\$	58	\$	91	\$	99	\$	152	\$	233	\$	287

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents loans permanently modified in TDRs that experienced a payment default in the periods presented, and for which the payment default occurred within one year of the modification. The dollar amounts presented represent the balance of such loans at the end of the reporting period in which such loans defaulted. For residential real estate loans modified in TDRs, payment default is deemed to occur when the loan becomes two contractual payments past due. In the event that a modified loan redefaults, it is probable that the loan will ultimately be liquidated through foreclosure or another similar type of liquidation transaction. Redefaults of loans modified within the last 12 months may not be representative of ultimate redefault levels.

At December 31, 2015, the weighted-average estimated remaining lives of residential real estate loans, excluding PCI loans, permanently modified in TDRs were 10 years for senior lien home equity, 9 years for junior lien home equity, 10 years for prime mortgages, including option ARMs, and 8 years for subprime mortgage. The estimated remaining lives of these loans reflect estimated prepayments, both voluntary and involuntary (i.e., foreclosures and other forced liquidations).

#### Active and suspended foreclosure

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had non-PCI residential real estate loans, excluding those insured by U.S. government agencies, with a carrying value of \$1.2 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively, that were not included in REO, but were in the process of active or suspended foreclosure.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Other consumer loans

The table below provides information for other consumer retained loan classes, including auto, business banking and student loans.

Bustones bankton

Charles to and a the co

December 31,	Au	ito	Busines	s banking	Student a	nd other	Total other	consumer
(in millions, except ratios)	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014
Loan delinquency <sup>(a)</sup>								
Current	\$59,442	\$53,866	\$20,887	\$ 19,708	\$ 9,406	\$ 10,022	\$ 89,735	\$ 83,596
30-119 days past due	804	663	215	208	444	576	1,463	1,447
120 or more days past due	9	7	106	140	246	314	361	461
Total retained loans	\$60,255	\$54,536	\$21,208	\$ 20,056	\$10,096	\$ 10,912	\$ 91,559	\$ 85,504
% of 30+ days past due to total retained loans	1.35%	1.23%	1.51%	1.74%	1.62% <sup>(d)</sup>	2.16% <sup>(d)</sup>	1.42%	d) 1.47% (d)
90 or more days past due and still accruing (b)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 290	\$ 367	\$ 290	\$ 367
Nonaccrual loans	116	115	263	279	242	269	621	663
Geographic region								
California	\$ 7,186	\$ 6,294	\$ 3,530	\$ 3,008	\$ 1,051	\$ 1,141	\$ 11,767	\$ 10,443
New York	3,874	3,662	3,359	3,187	1,224	1,210	8,457	8,059
Illinois	3,678	3,175	1,459	1,373	679	728	5,816	5,276
Texas	6,457	5,608	2,621	2,624	839	866	9,917	9,098
Florida	2,843	2,301	941	827	516	520	4,300	3,648
New Jersey	1,998	1,945	500	451	366	378	2,864	2,774
Washington	1,135	1,019	264	258	212	235	1,611	1,512
Arizona	2,033	2,003	1,205	1,083	236	239	3,474	3,325
Michigan	1,550	1,633	1,361	1,375	415	466	3,326	3,474
Ohio	2,340	2,157	1,363	1,354	559	628	4,262	4,139
All other	27,161	24,739	4,605	4,516	3,999	4,501	35,765	33,756
Total retained loans	\$60,255	\$54,536	\$21,208	\$ 20,056	\$10,096	\$ 10,912	\$ 91,559	\$ 85,504
Loans by risk ratings(c)								
Noncriticized	\$11,277	\$ 9,822	\$15,504	\$ 14,617	NA	NA	\$ 26,781	\$ 24,439
Criticized performing	76	35	815	708	NA	NA	891	743
Criticized nonaccrual	_	_	210	213	NA	NA	210	213

<sup>(</sup>a) Student loan delinquency classifications included loans insured by U.S. government agencies under the Federal Family Education Loan Program ("FFELP") as follows: current included \$3.8 billion and \$4.3 billion; 30-119 days past due included \$299 million and \$364 million; and 120 or more days past due included \$227 million and \$290 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

#### Other consumer impaired loans and loan modifications

The table below sets forth information about JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s other consumer impaired loans, including riskrated business banking and auto loans that have been placed on nonaccrual status, and loans that have been modified in TDRs.

December 31, (in millions)	:	2015	2014
Impaired loans			
With an allowance	\$	527	\$ 557
Without an allowance(a)		31	35
Total impaired loans(b)(c)	\$	558	\$ 592
Allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans	\$	118	\$ 117
Unpaid principal balance of impaired loans(d)		668	719
Impaired loans on nonaccrual status		449	456

- (a) When discounted cash flows, collateral value or market price equals or exceeds the recorded investment in the loan, the loan does not require an allowance. This typically occurs when the impaired loans have been partially charged off and/or there have been interest payments received and applied to the loan balance.
- (b) Predominantly all other consumer impaired loans are in the U.S.
- (c) Other consumer average impaired loans were \$566 million, \$599 million and \$648 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The related interest income on impaired loans, including those on a cash basis, was not material for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.
- (d) Represents the contractual amount of principal owed at December 31, 2015 and 2014. The unpaid principal balance differs from the impaired loan balances due to various factors, including charge-offs; interest payments received and applied to the principal balance; net deferred loan fees or costs; and unamortized discounts or premiums on purchased loans.

<sup>(</sup>b) These amounts represent student loans, which are insured by U.S. government agencies under the FFELP. These amounts were accruing as reimbursement of insured amounts is proceeding normally.

<sup>(</sup>c) For risk-rated business banking and auto loans, the primary credit quality indicator is the risk rating of the loan, including whether the loans are considered to be criticized and/or nonaccrual.

<sup>(</sup>d) December 31, 2015 and 2014, excluded loans 30 days or more past due and still accruing, which are insured by U.S. government agencies under the FFELP, of \$526 million and \$654 million, respectively. These amounts were excluded as reimbursement of insured amounts is proceeding normally.

#### Loan modifications

Certain other consumer loan modifications are considered to be TDRs as they provide various concessions to borrowers who are experiencing financial difficulty. All of these TDRs are reported as impaired loans in the table above.

The following table provides information about JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s other consumer loans modified in TDRs. New TDRs were not material for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

December 31, (in millions)	2	2015	2014
Loans modified in TDRs <sup>(a)(b)</sup>	\$	384	\$ 442
TDRs on nonaccrual status		275	306

- (a) The impact of these modifications was not material to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.
- (b) Additional commitments to lend to borrowers whose loans have been modified in TDRs as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 were immaterial.

#### Purchased credit-impaired loans

PCI loans are initially recorded at fair value at acquisition. PCI loans acquired in the same fiscal quarter may be aggregated into one or more pools, provided that the loans have common risk characteristics. A pool is then accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows. With respect to the Washington Mutual transaction, all of the consumer PCI loans were aggregated into pools of loans with common risk characteristics.

On a quarterly basis, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. estimates the total cash flows (both principal and interest) expected to be collected over the remaining life of each pool. These estimates incorporate assumptions regarding default rates, loss severities, the amounts and timing of prepayments and other factors that reflect then-current market conditions. Probable decreases in expected cash flows (i.e., increased credit losses) trigger the recognition of impairment, which is then measured as the present value of the expected principal loss plus any related foregone interest cash flows, discounted at the pool's effective interest rate. Impairments are recognized through the provision for credit losses and an increase in the allowance for loan losses. Probable and significant increases in expected cash flows (e.g., decreased credit losses, the net benefit of modifications) would first reverse any previously recorded allowance for loan losses with any remaining increases recognized prospectively as a yield adjustment over the remaining estimated lives of the underlying loans. The impacts of (i) prepayments. (ii) changes in variable interest rates, and (iii) any other changes in the timing of expected cash flows are recognized prospectively as adjustments to interest income.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. continues to modify certain PCI loans. The impact of these modifications is incorporated into JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s quarterly assessment of whether a probable and significant change in expected cash flows has occurred, and the loans continue to be accounted for and reported as PCI loans. In evaluating the effect of modifications on expected cash flows, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. incorporates the effect of any foregone interest and also considers the potential for redefault. JPMorgan

Chase Bank, N.A. develops product-specific probability of default estimates, which are used to compute expected credit losses. In developing these probabilities of default, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. considers the relationship between the credit quality characteristics of the underlying loans and certain assumptions about home prices and unemployment based upon industry-wide data. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also considers its own historical loss experience to-date based on actual redefaulted modified PCI loans.

The excess of cash flows expected to be collected over the carrying value of the underlying loans is referred to as the accretable yield. This amount is not reported on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets but is accreted into interest income at a level rate of return over the remaining estimated lives of the underlying pools of loans.

If the timing and/or amounts of expected cash flows on PCI loans were determined not to be reasonably estimable, no interest would be accreted and the loans would be reported as nonaccrual loans; however, since the timing and amounts of expected cash flows for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s PCI consumer loans are reasonably estimable, interest is being accreted and the loans are being reported as performing loans.

The liquidation of PCI loans, which may include sales of loans, receipt of payment in full from the borrower, or foreclosure, results in removal of the loans from the underlying PCI pool. When the amount of the liquidation proceeds (e.g., cash, real estate), if any, is less than the unpaid principal balance of the loan, the difference is first applied against the PCI pool's nonaccretable difference for principal losses (i.e., the lifetime credit loss estimate established as a purchase accounting adjustment at the acquisition date). When the nonaccretable difference for a particular loan pool has been fully depleted, any excess of the unpaid principal balance of the loan over the liquidation proceeds is written off against the PCI pool's allowance for loan losses. Beginning in 2014, write-offs of PCI loans also include other adjustments, primarily related to interest forgiveness modifications. Because JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s PCI loans are accounted for at a pool level, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not recognize charge-offs of PCI loans when they reach specified stages of delinquency (i.e., unlike non-PCI consumer loans, these loans are not charged off based on FFIEC standards).

The PCI portfolio affects JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s results of operations primarily through: (i) contribution to net interest margin; (ii) expense related to defaults and servicing resulting from the liquidation of the loans; and (iii) any provision for loan losses. The PCI loans acquired in the Washington Mutual transaction were funded based on the interest rate characteristics of the loans. For example, variable-rate loans were funded with variable-rate liabilities and fixed-rate loans were funded with fixed-rate liabilities with a similar maturity profile. A net spread will be earned on the declining balance of the portfolio, which is estimated as of December 31, 2015, to have a remaining weighted-average life of 9 years.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Residential real estate - PCI loans

The table below sets forth information about JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s consumer, excluding credit card, PCI loans.

December 31,	Home	equity	Prime n	nortgage	Subprime	e mortgage	Optio	n ARMs	Tot	al PCI
(in millions, except ratios)	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014
Carrying value <sup>(a)</sup>	\$14,989	\$17,095	\$ 8,893	\$10,220	\$ 3,263	\$ 3,673	\$13,853	\$15,708	\$40,998	\$46,696
Related allowance for loan losses(b)	1,708	1,758	985	1,193	-	180	49	194	2,742	3,325
Loan delinquency (based on unpaid principal balance)										
Current	\$14,387	\$16,295	\$ 7,894	\$ 8,912	\$ 3,232	\$ 3,565	\$12,370	\$13,814	\$37,883	\$42,586
30-149 days past due	322	445	424	500	439	536	711	858	1,896	2,339
150 or more days past due	633	1,000	601	837	380	551	1,272	1,824	2,886	4,212
Total loans	\$15,342	\$17,740	\$ 8,919	\$10,249	\$ 4,051	\$ 4,652	\$14,353	\$16,496	\$42,665	\$49,137
% of 30+ days past due to total loans	6.22%	<b>6</b> 8.15%	11.49%	13.05%	20.229	<b>6</b> 23.37%	13.82%	6 16.26%	11.21%	6 13.33%
Current estimated LTV ratios (based on unpaid principal balance) $^{(c)(d)(e)}$										
Greater than 125% and refreshed FICO scores:										
Equal to or greater than 660	\$ 153	\$ 301	\$ 10	\$ 22	\$ 10	\$ 22	\$ 19	\$ 50	\$ 192	\$ 395
Less than 660	80	159	28	52	55	106	36	84	199	401
101% to 125% and refreshed FICO scores:										
Equal to or greater than 660	942	1,448	120	268	77	144	166	330	1,305	2,190
Less than 660	444	728	152	284	220	390	239	448	1,055	1,850
80% to 100% and refreshed FICO scores:										
Equal to or greater than 660	2,709	3,591	816	1,405	331	451	977	1,695	4,833	7,142
Less than 660	1,136	1,485	614	969	643	911	1,050	1,610	3,443	4,975
Lower than 80% and refreshed FICO scores:										
Equal to or greater than 660	6,724	6,626	4,243	4,211	863	787	7,073	7,053	18,903	18,677
Less than 660	2,265	2,308	2,438	2,427	1,642	1,585	4,065	4,291	10,410	10,611
No FICO/LTV available	889	1,094	498	611	210	256	728	935	2,325	2,896
Total unpaid principal balance	\$15,342	\$17,740	\$ 8,919	\$10,249	\$ 4,051	\$ 4,652	\$14,353	\$16,496	\$42,665	\$49,137
Geographic region (based on unpaid principal balance)										
California	\$ 9,205	\$10,671	\$ 5,172	\$ 5,965	\$ 1,005	\$ 1,138	\$ 8,108	\$ 9,190	\$23,490	\$26,964
New York	788	876	580	672	400	463	813	933	2,581	2,944
Illinois	358	405	263	301	196	229	333	397	1,150	1,332
Texas	224	273	94	92	243	281	75	85	636	731
Florida	1,479	1,696	586	689	373	432	1,183	1,440	3,621	4,257
New Jersey	310	348	238	279	139	165	470	553	1,157	1,345
Washington	819	959	194	225	81	95	339	395	1,433	1,674
Arizona	281	323	143	167	76	85	203	227	703	802
Michigan	44	53	141	166	113	130	150	182	448	531
Ohio	17	20	45	48	62	72	61	69	185	209
All other	1,817	2,116	1,463	1,645	1,363	1,562	2,618	3,025	7,261	8,348
Total unpaid principal balance	\$15,342	\$17,740	\$ 8,919	\$10,249	\$ 4,051	\$ 4,652	\$14,353	\$16,496	\$42,665	\$49,137

<sup>(</sup>a) Carrying value includes the effect of fair value adjustments that were applied to the consumer PCI portfolio at the date of acquisition.

<sup>(</sup>b) Management concluded as part of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s regular assessment of the PCI loan pools that it was probable that higher expected credit losses would result in a decrease in expected cash flows. As a result, an allowance for loan losses for impairment of these pools has been recognized.

<sup>(</sup>c) Represents the aggregate unpaid principal balance of loans divided by the estimated current property value. Current property values are estimated, at a minimum, quarterly, based on home valuation models using nationally recognized home price index valuation estimates incorporating actual data to the extent available and forecasted data where actual data is not available. These property values do not represent actual appraised loan level collateral values; as such, the resulting ratios are necessarily imprecise and should be viewed as estimates. Current estimated combined LTV for junior lien home equity loans considers all available lien positions, as well as unused lines, related to the property. Effective December 31, 2015, the current estimated LTV ratios reflect updates to the nationally recognized home price index valuation estimates incorporated into JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s home valuation models. The prior period ratios have been revised to conform with this update in the home price index.

<sup>(</sup>d) Refreshed FICO scores represent each borrower's most recent credit score, which is obtained by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. on at least a quarterly basis.

<sup>(</sup>e) The current period current estimated LTV ratios disclosures have been updated to reflect where either the FICO score or estimated property value is unavailable. The prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current presentation.

Approximately 23% of the PCI home equity portfolio are senior lien loans; the remaining balance are junior lien HELOANS or HELOCs. The following table sets forth delinquency statistics for PCI junior lien home equity loans and lines of credit based on the unpaid principal balance as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

December 31,		Total lo	ans	Total 30+ day delinquency rate				
(in millions, except ratios)		2015	2014	2015	2014			
HELOCs:(a)								
Within the revolving period <sup>(b)</sup>	\$	5,000	8,972	4.10%	6.42%			
Beyond the revolving period		6,252	4,143	4.46	6.42			
HELOANS		582	736	5.33	8.83			
Total	\$	11,834	13,851	4.35%	6.55%			

- (a) In general, these HELOCs are revolving loans for a 10-year period, after which time the HELOC converts to an interest-only loan with a balloon payment at the end of the loan's term.
- (b) Substantially all undrawn HELOCs within the revolving period have been closed.
- (c) Includes loans modified into fixed-rate amortizing loans.

The table below sets forth the accretable yield activity for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s PCI consumer loans for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, and represents JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s estimate of gross interest income expected to be earned over the remaining life of the PCI loan portfolios. The table excludes the cost to fund the PCI portfolios, and therefore the accretable yield does not represent net interest income expected to be earned on these portfolios.

Year ended December 31,						
(in millions, except ratios)		2015		2014		2013
Beginning balance	\$	14,592	\$	16,167	\$	18,457
Accretion into interest income		(1,700)		(1,934)		(2,201)
Changes in interest rates on variable-rate loans		279		(174)		(287)
Other changes in expected cash flows <sup>(a)</sup>		230		533		198
Reclassification from nonaccretable difference <sup>(b)</sup>		90		_		_
Balance at December 31	\$	13,491	\$	14,592	\$	16,167
Accretable yield percentage	<b>4.20%</b> 4.19%			4.31%		

- (a) Other changes in expected cash flows may vary from period to period as JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. continues to refine its cash flow model and periodically updates model assumptions. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, other changes in expected cash flows were driven by changes in prepayment assumptions. For the year ended December 31, 2013, other changes in expected cash flows were due to refining the expected interest cash flows on HELOCs with balloon payments, partially offset by changes in prepayment assumptions.
- (b) Reclassifications from the nonaccretable difference in the year ended December 31, 2015 were driven by continued improvement in home prices and delinquencies, as well as increased granularity in the impairment estimates.

The factors that most significantly affect estimates of gross cash flows expected to be collected, and accordingly the accretable yield balance, include: (i) changes in the benchmark interest rate indices for variable-rate products such as option ARM and home equity loans; and (ii) changes in prepayment assumptions.

#### Active and suspended foreclosure

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had PCI residential real estate loans with an unpaid principal balance of \$2.3 billion and \$3.2 billion, respectively, that were not included in REO, but were in the process of active or suspended foreclosure.

# Credit card loan portfolio

The credit card portfolio segment includes credit card loans originated and purchased by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Delinquency rates are the primary credit quality indicator for credit card loans as they provide an early warning that borrowers may be experiencing difficulties (30 days past due); information on those borrowers that have been delinquent for a longer period of time (90 days past due) is also considered. In addition to delinquency rates, the geographic distribution of the loans provides insight as to the credit quality of the portfolio based on the regional economy.

While the borrower's credit score is another general indicator of credit quality, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not view credit scores as a primary indicator of credit quality because the borrower's credit score tends to be a lagging indicator. However, the distribution of such scores provides a general indicator of credit quality trends within the portfolio. Refreshed FICO score information, which is

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

obtained at least quarterly, for a statistically significant random sample of the credit card portfolio is indicated in the table below; FICO is considered to be the industry benchmark for credit scores.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. generally originates new card accounts to prime consumer borrowers. However, certain cardholders' FICO scores may decrease over time, depending on the performance of the cardholder and changes in credit score technology.

The table below sets forth information about JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s credit card loans.

A = = f = = f = = + h = ...

As of or for the year ended December 31, (in millions, except ratios)	2015		2014
Net charge-offs	\$ 673	\$	790
% of net charge-offs to retained loans	2.37%	)	2.60%
Loan delinquency			
Current and less than 30 days past due and still accruing	\$ 30,526	\$	29,341
30-89 days past due and still accruing	232		213
90 or more days past due and still accruing	231		191
Total retained credit card loans	\$ 30,989	\$	29,745
Loan delinquency ratios			
% of 30+ days past due to total retained loans	1.49%	<b>b</b>	1.36%
% of 90+ days past due to total retained loans	0.75		0.64
Credit card loans by geographic region			
California	\$ 4,655	\$	4,230
Texas	3,011		2,701
New York	2,765		2,604
Florida	1,887		1,684
Illinois	1,782		1,702
New Jersey	1,392		1,322
Ohio	1,035		1,026
Pennsylvania	1,017		992
Colorado	831		744
Michigan	791		763
All other	11,823		11,977
Total retained credit card loans	\$ 30,989	\$	29,745
Percentage of portfolio based on carrying value with estimated refreshed FICO scores			
Equal to or greater than 660	84.8%	ò	86.4%
Less than 660	15.2		13.6

#### Credit card impaired loans and loan modifications

The table below sets forth information about JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s impaired credit card loans. All of these loans are considered to be impaired as they have been modified in TDRs.

December 31, (in millions)	2	2015	2014				
Impaired credit card loans with an allowance <sup>(a)(b)</sup>							
Credit card loans with modified payment terms <sup>(c)</sup>	\$	254	\$ 331				
Modified credit card loans that have reverted to pre-modification payment terms <sup>(d)</sup>		34	47				
Total impaired credit card loans(e)	\$	288	\$ 378				
Allowance for loan losses related to impaired credit card loans	\$	91	\$ 95				
() = 1	la a la sa a		 				

- (a) The carrying value and the unpaid principal balance are the same for credit card impaired loans.
- (b) There were no impaired loans without an allowance.
- (c) Represents credit card loans outstanding to borrowers enrolled in a credit card modification program as of the date presented.
- (d) Represents credit card loans that were modified in TDRs but that have subsequently reverted back to the loans' pre-modification payment terms. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, \$22 million and \$30 million, respectively, of loans have reverted back to the pre-modification payment terms of the loans due to noncompliance with the terms of the modified loans. The remaining \$12 million and \$17 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, of these loans are to borrowers who have successfully completed a short-term modification program. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. continues to report these loans as TDRs since the borrowers' credit lines remain closed.
- (e) Predominantly all impaired credit card loans are in the U.S.

The following table presents average balances of impaired credit card loans and interest income recognized on those loans.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2	015	2	014	2013			
Average impaired credit card loans	\$	325	\$	458	\$	672		
Interest income on impaired credit card loans		15		22		34		

## Loan modifications

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may offer one of a number of loan modification programs to credit card borrowers who are experiencing financial difficulty. Most of the credit card loans have been modified under long-term programs for borrowers who are experiencing financial difficulties. Modifications under long-term programs involve placing the customer on a fixed payment plan, generally for 60 months. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may also offer short-term programs for borrowers who may be in need of temporary relief; however, none are currently being offered. Modifications under all short- and long-term programs typically include reducing the interest rate on the credit card. Substantially all modifications are considered to be TDRs.

If the cardholder does not comply with the modified payment terms, then the credit card loan agreement reverts back to its pre-modification payment terms. Assuming that the cardholder does not begin to perform in accordance with those payment terms, the loan continues to age and will ultimately be charged-off in accordance with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s standard charge-off policy. In addition, if a borrower successfully completes a short-term modification program, then the loan reverts back to its premodification payment terms. However, in most cases, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not reinstate the borrower's line of credit.

New enrollments in these loan modification programs for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, were \$111 million, \$132 million and \$112 million, respectively.

#### Financial effects of modifications and redefaults

The following table provides information about the financial effects of the concessions granted on credit card loans modified in TDRs and redefaults for the periods presented.

Year ended December 31, (in millions, except weighted-average data)	2015	2014	2013
Weighted-average interest rate of loans - before TDR	14.77%	14.62%	14.75%
Weighted-average interest rate of loans – after TDR	4.40	4.40	4.38
Loans that redefaulted within one year of modification (a)	\$ 16	\$ 22	\$ 35

(a) Represents loans modified in TDRs that experienced a payment default in the periods presented, and for which the payment default occurred within one year of the modification. The amounts presented represent the balance of such loans as of the end of the quarter in which they defaulted.

For credit card loans modified in TDRs, payment default is deemed to have occurred when the loans become two payments past due. A substantial portion of these loans is expected to be charged-off in accordance with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s standard charge-off policy. Based on historical experience, the estimated weighted-average default rate for credit card loans modified was expected to be 25.08%, 27.17% and 30.14% as of December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

## Wholesale loan portfolio

Wholesale loans include loans made to a variety of customers, ranging from large corporate and institutional clients to high-net-worth individuals.

The primary credit quality indicator for wholesale loans is the risk rating assigned each loan. Risk ratings are used to identify the credit quality of loans and differentiate risk within the portfolio. Risk ratings on loans consider the probability of default ("PD") and the loss given default ("LGD"). The PD is the likelihood that a loan will default and not be fully repaid by the borrower. The LGD is the estimated loss on the loan that would be realized upon the default of the borrower and takes into consideration collateral and structural support for each credit facility.

Management considers several factors to determine an appropriate risk rating, including the obligor's debt capacity and financial flexibility, the level of the obligor's earnings. the amount and sources for repayment, the level and nature of contingencies, management strength, and the industry and geography in which the obligor operates. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s definition of criticized aligns with the banking regulatory definition of criticized exposures, which consist of special mention, substandard and doubtful categories. Risk ratings generally represent ratings profiles similar to those defined by S&P and Moody's. Investmentgrade ratings range from "AAA/Aaa" to "BBB-/Baa3." Noninvestment-grade ratings are classified as noncriticized ("BB+/Ba1 and B-/B3") and criticized ("CCC+"/"Caa1 and below"), and the criticized portion is further subdivided into performing and nonaccrual loans, representing management's assessment of the collectibility of principal and interest. Criticized loans have a higher probability of default than noncriticized loans.

Risk ratings are reviewed on a regular and ongoing basis by Credit Risk Management and are adjusted as necessary for updated information affecting the obligor's ability to fulfill its obligations.

As noted above, the risk rating of a loan considers the industry in which the obligor conducts its operations. As part of the overall credit risk management framework, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. focuses on the management and diversification of its industry and client exposures, with particular attention paid to industries with actual or potential credit concern. See Note 6 for further detail on industry concentrations.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

The table below provides information by class of receivable for the retained loans in the Wholesale portfolio segment.

As of or for the year ended December 31,	d and industrial			estate		ancial tutions	_	Govern		Oth	er <sup>(e)</sup>	_		otal ed Ioans
(in millions, except ratios)	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014		2015	2014	2015	2014		2015	2014
Loans by risk ratings														
Investment grade	\$ 59,648	\$ 61,846	\$74,317	\$60,987	\$24,787	\$30,108		\$10,266	\$7,064	\$ 97,902	\$81,754		\$266,920	\$241,759
Noninvestment grade:														
Noncriticized	45,451	43,887	17,001	16,522	7,616	7,092	(d)	237	283	11,467	10,086	(d)	81,772	77,870
Criticized performing	4,542	2,235	1,252	1,313	306	312		7	3	253	236		6,360	4,099
Criticized nonaccrual	608	188	222	253	10	18			_	139	140		979	599
Total noninvestment grade	50,601	46,310	18,475	18,088	7,932	7,422	(d)	244	286	11,859	10,462	(d)	89,111	82,568
Total retained loans	\$110,249	\$108,156	\$92,792	\$79,075	\$32,719	\$37,530	(d)	\$10,510	\$7,350	\$109,761	\$92,216	(d)	\$356,031	\$324,327
% of total criticized to total retained loans	4.67%	b 2.24%	1.59 %	6 1.98 %	0.97 %	<b>6</b> 0.88 %	Ď	0.07 %	0.04%	0.36%	0.41 9	<b>%</b>	2.06%	i 1.45%
% of nonaccrual loans to total retained loans	0.55	0.17	0.24	0.32	0.03	0.05		-	=	0.13	0.15		0.27	0.18
Loans by geographic distribution <sup>(a)</sup>														
Total non-U.S.	\$ 30,063	\$ 33,738	\$ 3,003	\$ 2,099	\$17,167	\$20,944		\$ 1,788	\$1,122	\$ 42,029	\$42,935		\$ 94,050	\$100,838
Total U.S.	80,186	74,418	89,789	76,976	15,552	16,586	(d)	8,722	6,228	67,732	49,281	(d)	261,981	223,489
Total retained loans	\$110,249	\$108,156	\$92,792	\$79,075	\$32,719	\$37,530	(d)	\$10,510	\$7,350	\$109,761	\$92,216	(d)	\$356,031	\$324,327
Net charge-offs/ (recoveries)	\$ 27	\$ 24	\$ (15)	\$ (11)	\$ (10)	\$ (23)		\$ (8)	\$ 25	\$ 8	\$ (13)		\$ 2	\$ 2
% of net charge-offs/ (recoveries) to end-of-period retained loans	0.02%	o.02%	(0.02)%	<b>6</b> (0.01)%	(0.03)%	<b>6</b> (0.07)%	Ď	(0.08)%	0.34%	0.01%	(0.01)	<b>%</b>	_%	<b>6</b> –%
Loan delinquency <sup>(b)</sup>														
Current and less than 30 days past due and still accruing	\$109,375	\$107,390	\$92,362	\$78,514	\$32,649	\$37,411	(d)	\$10,461	\$7,281	\$108,607	\$90,872	(d)	\$353,454	\$321,468
30-89 days past due and still accruing	259	564	193	275	49	101		43	69	988	1,175		1,532	2,184
90 or more days past due and still accruing <sup>(c)</sup>	7	14	15	33	11	_		6	_	27	29		66	76
Criticized nonaccrual	608	188	222	253	10	18		_		139	140		979	599
Total retained loans	\$110,249	\$108,156	\$92,792	\$79,075	\$32,719	\$37,530	(d)	\$10,510	\$7,350	\$109,761	\$92,216	(d)	\$356,031	\$324,327

<sup>(</sup>a) The U.S. and non-U.S. distribution is determined based predominantly on the domicile of the borrower.

 <sup>(</sup>a) The crist and individus, a distinction is determined based precommany of the borrower.
 (b) The credit quality of wholesale loans is assessed primarily through ongoing review and monitoring of an obligor's ability to meet contractual obligations rather than relying on the past due status, which is generally a lagging indicator of credit quality.
 (c) Represents loans that are considered well-collateralized and therefore still accruing interest.

<sup>(</sup>d) Effective in the fourth quarter 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. realigned its wholesale industry divisions in order to better monitor and manage industry concentrations. Prior period amounts have been revised to conform with current period presentation.

<sup>(</sup>e) Other includes: individuals; SPEs; holding companies; and private education and civic organizations. For more information on exposures to SPEs, see Note 17.

The following table presents additional information on the real estate class of loans within the Wholesale portfolio segment for the periods indicated. The real estate class primarily consists of secured commercial loans mainly to borrowers for multifamily and commercial lessor properties. Multifamily lending specifically finances apartment buildings. Commercial lessors receive financing specifically for real estate leased to retail, office and industrial tenants. Commercial construction and development loans represent financing for the construction of apartments, office and professional buildings and malls. Other real estate loans include lodging, real estate investment trusts ("REITS"), single-family, homebuilders and other real estate.

December 31,	Multi	fam	ily	Commerc	lessors	Co	mmercial and dev			0	ther		Total real estate loans				
(in millions, except ratios)	2015		2014	2015		2014		2015		2014	2015		2014	2015		2014	
Real estate retained loans	\$ 60,289	\$	51,049	\$ 20,038	\$	17,409	\$	4,920	\$	4,264	\$ 7,545	\$	6,353	\$ 92,792	\$	79,075	
Criticized	520		652	835		841		43		42	76		31	1,474		1,566	
% of criticized to total real estate retained loans	0.86%	)	1.28%	4.17%		4.83%		0.87%	,	0.98%	1.019	6	0.49%	1.59%		1.98%	
Criticized nonaccrual	\$ 85	\$	126	\$ 92	\$	110	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 45	\$	17	\$ 222	\$	253	
% of criticized nonaccrual to total real estate retained loans	0.14%	)	0.25%	0.46%		0.63%		-%	5	-%	0.60%	6	0.27%	0.24%		0.32%	

#### Wholesale impaired loans and loan modifications

Wholesale impaired loans consist of loans that have been placed on nonaccrual status and/or that have been modified in a TDR. All impaired loans are evaluated for an asset-specific allowance as described in Note 16.

The table below sets forth information about JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s wholesale impaired loans.

December 31.		Comn and in			Real estate				Financial institutions					Government agencies					Ot	Total retained loans							
(in millions)	2	015	2	014	2	015	2	2014	20	015	2	014	2	015		201	4	2	015	2	014	- 7	2015		2	014	
Impaired loans																											
With an allowance	\$	522	\$	174	\$	139	\$	193	\$	10	\$	15	\$		-	\$	-	\$	46	\$	89	\$	717		\$	471	
Without an allowance(a)		98		24		106		87		_		3			_		-		94		52		298			166	
Total impaired loans	\$	620	\$	198	\$	245	\$	280	\$	10	\$	18	\$		_	\$	_	\$	140	\$	141	\$	1,015	(c)	\$	637	(c)
Allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans	\$	220	\$	34	\$	26	\$	36	\$	3	\$	4	\$		_	\$	_	\$	24	\$	13	\$	273		\$	87	
Unpaid principal balance of impaired loans <sup>(b)</sup>		669		266		352		345		13		22			_		_		164		202		1,198			835	

<sup>(</sup>a) When the discounted cash flows, collateral value or market price equals or exceeds the recorded investment in the loan, the loan does not require an allowance. This typically occurs when the impaired loans have been partially charged-off and/or there have been interest payments received and applied to the loan balance.

The following table presents JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s average impaired loans for the years ended 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2013
Commercial and industrial	\$ 453	\$ 241	\$ 409
Real estate	249	296	470
Financial institutions	13	16	11
Government agencies	1	_	_
Other	129	155	211
Total <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 845	\$ 708	\$ 1,101

<sup>(</sup>a) The related interest income on accruing impaired loans and interest income recognized on a cash basis were not material for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Certain loan modifications are considered to be TDRs as they provide various concessions to borrowers who are experiencing financial difficulty. All TDRs are reported as impaired loans in the tables above. TDRs were not material as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

<sup>(</sup>b) Represents the contractual amount of principal owed at December 31, 2015 and 2014. The unpaid principal balance differs from the impaired loan balances due to various factors, including charge-offs; interest payments received and applied to the carrying value; net deferred loan fees or costs; and unamortized discount or premiums on purchased loans.

<sup>(</sup>c) Based upon the domicile of the borrower, largely all wholesale impaired loans are in the U.S.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Note 16 - Allowance for credit losses

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s allowance for loan losses covers the consumer, including credit card, portfolio segments (primarily scored); and wholesale (risk-rated) portfolio, and represents management's estimate of probable credit losses inherent in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses includes an asset-specific component, a formula-based component and a component related to PCI loans, as described below. Management also estimates an allowance for wholesale and consumer lending-related commitments using methodologies similar to those used to estimate the allowance on the underlying loans. During 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. did not make any significant changes to the methodologies or policies used to determine its allowance for credit losses; such policies are described in the following paragraphs.

The asset-specific component of the allowance relates to loans considered to be impaired, which includes loans that have been modified in TDRs as well as risk-rated loans that have been placed on nonaccrual status. To determine the asset-specific component of the allowance, larger loans are evaluated individually, while smaller loans are evaluated as pools using historical loss experience for the respective class of assets. Scored loans (i.e., consumer loans) are pooled by product type, while risk-rated loans (primarily wholesale loans) are segmented by risk rating.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. generally measures the asset-specific allowance as the difference between the recorded investment in the loan and the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected, discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate. Subsequent changes in impairment are reported as an adjustment to the provision for loan losses. In certain cases, the asset-specific allowance is determined using an observable market price, and the allowance is measured as the difference between the recorded investment in the loan and the loan's fair value. Impaired collateral-dependent loans are charged down to the fair value of collateral less costs to sell and therefore may not be subject to an asset-specific reserve as are other impaired loans. See Note 15 for more information about charge-offs and collateral-dependent loans.

The asset-specific component of the allowance for impaired loans that have been modified in TDRs incorporates the effects of foregone interest, if any, in the present value calculation and also incorporates the effect of the modification on the loan's expected cash flows, which considers the potential for redefault. For residential real estate loans modified in TDRs, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. develops product-specific probability of default estimates, which are applied at a loan level to compute expected losses. In developing these probabilities of default, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. considers the relationship between the credit quality characteristics of the underlying loans and certain assumptions about home prices and unemployment, based upon industry-wide data. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also considers its own historical loss experience to date based on actual redefaulted modified loans. For credit card loans modified in TDRs, expected losses incorporate projected redefaults based on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s historical experience by type of modification program. For wholesale loans modified in TDRs, expected losses incorporate redefaults based on management's expectation of the borrower's ability to repay under the modified terms.

The formula-based component is based on a statistical calculation to provide for incurred credit losses in performing risk-rated loans and all consumer loans, except for any loans restructured in TDRs and PCI loans. See Note 15 for more information on PCI loans.

For scored loans, the statistical calculation is performed on pools of loans with similar risk characteristics (e.g., product type) and generally computed by applying loss factors to outstanding principal balances over an estimated loss emergence period. The loss emergence period represents the time period between the date at which the loss is estimated to have been incurred and the ultimate realization of that loss (through a charge-off). Estimated loss emergence periods may vary by product and may change over time; management applies judgment in estimating loss emergence periods, using available credit information and trends.

Loss factors are statistically derived and sensitive to changes in delinquency status, credit scores, collateral values and other risk factors. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. uses a number of different forecasting models to estimate both the PD and the loss severity, including delinquency roll rate models and credit loss severity models. In developing PD and loss severity assumptions, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also considers known and anticipated changes in the economic environment, including changes in home prices, unemployment rates and other risk indicators.

A nationally recognized home price index measure is used to estimate both the PD and the loss severity on residential real estate loans at the metropolitan statistical areas ("MSA") level. Loss severity estimates are regularly validated by comparison to actual losses recognized on defaulted loans, market-specific real estate appraisals and property sales activity. The economic impact of potential modifications of residential real estate loans is not included in the statistical calculation because of the uncertainty regarding the type and results of such modifications.

For risk-rated loans, the statistical calculation is the product of an estimated PD and an estimated LGD. These factors are determined based on the credit quality and specific attributes of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s loans and lending-related commitments to each obligor. In assessing the risk rating of a particular loan, among the factors considered are the obligor's debt capacity and financial flexibility, the level of the obligor's earnings, the amount and sources for repayment, the level and nature of contingencies, management strength, and the industry and geography in which the obligor operates. These factors are based on an evaluation of historical and current information, and involve subjective assessment and interpretation. Emphasizing one factor over another or considering additional factors could impact the risk rating assigned by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. PD estimates are based on observable external through-the-cycle data, using credit-rating agency default statistics. LGD estimates are based on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s history of actual credit losses over more than one credit cycle. Estimates of PD and LGD are subject to periodic refinement based on changes to underlying external and JPMorgan Chase Bank. N.A.-specific historical data.

Management applies judgment within an established framework to adjust the results of applying the statistical calculation described above. The determination of the appropriate adjustment is based on management's view of loss events that have occurred but that are not yet reflected in the loss factors and that relate to current macroeconomic and political conditions, the quality of underwriting standards and other relevant internal and external factors affecting the credit quality of the portfolio. For the scored loan portfolios, adjustments to the statistical calculation are made in part by analyzing the historical loss experience for each major product segment. Factors related to unemployment, home prices, borrower behavior and lien position, the estimated effects of the mortgage foreclosurerelated settlement with federal and state officials and uncertainties regarding the ultimate success of loan modifications are incorporated into the calculation, as appropriate. For junior lien products, management considers the delinquency and/or modification status of any senior liens in determining the adjustment. In addition, for the risk-rated portfolios, any adjustments made to the statistical calculation take into consideration model imprecision, deteriorating conditions within an industry, product or portfolio type, geographic location, credit concentration, and current economic events that have occurred but that are not yet reflected in the factors used to derive the statistical calculation.

Management establishes an asset-specific allowance for lending-related commitments that are considered impaired and computes a formula-based allowance for performing consumer and wholesale lending-related commitments. These are computed using a methodology similar to that used for the wholesale loan portfolio, modified for expected maturities and probabilities of drawdown.

Determining the appropriateness of the allowance is complex and requires judgment by management about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Subsequent evaluations of the loan portfolio, in light of the factors then prevailing, may result in significant changes in the allowances for loan losses and lending-related commitments in future periods. At least quarterly, the allowance for credit losses is reviewed by the Chief Risk Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and the Controller of JPMorgan Chase and discussed with the Risk Policy and Audit Committees of the Board of Directors of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. As of December 31, 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. deemed the allowance for credit losses to be appropriate (i.e., sufficient to absorb probable credit losses inherent in the portfolio).

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Allowance for credit losses and related information

The table below summarizes information about the allowances for loan losses, and lending-relating commitments, and includes a breakdown of loans and lending-related commitments by impairment methodology.

				<b>20</b> 1	15			
Year ended December 31, (in millions)		Consumer, excluding credit card	Cr	edit card	١	Vholesale		Total
Allowance for loan losses						1		
Beginning balance at January 1,	\$	6,969	\$	735	\$	3,648	\$	11,352
Gross charge-offs		1,640		752		88		2,480
Gross recoveries		(674)		(79)		(106)		(859)
Net charge-offs/(recoveries)		966		673		(18)		1,621
Write-offs of PCI loans <sup>(a)</sup>		208		_		_		208
Provision for loan losses		(64)		670		604		1,210
Other		72		(5)		7		74
Ending balance at December 31,	\$	5,803	\$	727	\$	4,277	\$	10,807
Allowance for loan losses by impairment methodology								
Asset-specific <sup>(b)</sup>	\$	364	\$	91 <sup>(c)</sup>	\$	273	\$	728
Formula-based		2,697		636		4,004		7,337
PCI		2,742		_		_		2,742
Total allowance for loan losses	\$	5,803	\$	727	\$	4,277	\$	10,807
Loans by impairment methodology								
Asset-specific	\$	9,595	\$	288	\$	1,015	\$	10,898
Formula-based		293,707		30,701		355,012		679,420
PCI		40,998		_		4		41,002
Total retained loans	\$	344,300	\$	30,989	\$	356,031	\$	731,320
Impaired collateral-dependent loans								
Net charge-offs	\$	104	\$	_	\$	16	\$	120
Loans measured at fair value of collateral less cost to sell		2,564		-		283		2,847
Allowance for lending-related commitments								
Beginning balance at January 1,	\$	13	\$	_	\$	606	\$	619
Provision for lending-related commitments		1		_		165		166
Other		_		_		1		1
Ending balance at December 31,	\$	14	\$	_	\$	772	\$	786
Allowance for lending-related commitments by impairment methodology	4		4		4	72	4	72
Asset-specific	\$	-	\$	_	\$	73	\$	73
Formula-based  Total allowance for lending-related commitments	\$	14	\$		\$	699 772	\$	713 786
	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	,,,	Ψ	700
Lending-related commitments by impairment methodology								
Asset-specific	\$		\$	_	\$	193	\$	193
Formula-based		58,640		10,386		360,589		429,615
Total lending-related commitments	\$	58,640	\$	10,386	\$	360,782	\$	429,808

<sup>(</sup>a) Write-offs of PCI loans are recorded against the allowance for loan losses when actual losses for a pool exceed estimated losses that were recorded as purchase accounting adjustments at the time of acquisition. A write-off of a PCI loan is recognized when the underlying loan is removed from a pool (e.g., upon liquidation). During of 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. recorded a \$291 million adjustment to reduce the PCI allowance and the recorded investment in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s PCI loan portfolio, primarily reflecting the cumulative effect of interest forgiveness modifications. This adjustment had no impact to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated statements of income.

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes risk-rated loans that have been placed on nonaccrual status and loans that have been modified in a TDR.

<sup>(</sup>c) The asset-specific credit card allowance for loan losses is related to loans that have been modified in a TDR; such allowance is calculated based on the loans' original contractual interest rates and does not consider any incremental penalty rates.

<sup>(</sup>d) Effective January 1, 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. no longer includes within its disclosure of wholesale lending-related commitments the unused amounts of advised uncommitted lines of credit as it is within JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s discretion whether or not to make a loan under these lines, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s approval is generally required prior to funding. Prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current period presentation.

(table continued from previous page)

2014	2013
2014	2013

			_	014							2.	713			
	Consumer, excluding credit card	Cr	edit card	W	/holesale		Total		Consumer, excluding credit card	Cı	redit card	V	Vholesale		Total
_		_		_		_		_		_		_		_	
\$	8,354	\$	832	\$	3,948	\$	13,134	\$	12,018	\$	1,101	\$	4,072	\$	17,191
	2,100		886		138		3,124		2,675		904		241		3,820
_	(739)		(96)		(136)		(971)		(767)		(130)		(222)		(1,119)
_	1,361		790		2		2,153		1,908		774		19		2,701
	533		_		_		533		53		_		_		53
	478		699		(262)		915		(1,699)		511		(106)		(1,294)
_	31		(6)		(36)		(11)		(4)		(6)		1		(9)
\$	6,969	\$	735	\$	3,648	\$	11,352	\$	8,354	\$	832	\$	3,948	\$	13,134
\$	521	\$	95 <sup>(c</sup>	:) \$	87	\$	703	\$	581	\$	173 <sup>(c)</sup>	\$	170	\$	924
·	3,123		640		3,561		7,324	·	3,615		659	·	3,778	·	8,052
	3,325		_		_		3,325		4,158		_		_		4,158
\$	6,969	\$	735	\$	3,648	\$	11,352	\$	8,354	\$	832	\$	3,948	\$	13,134
<del>-</del>		т		· ·	2,2.2	т		<del></del>						· ·	
\$	11,056	\$	378	\$	637	\$	12,071	\$	12,491	\$	560	\$	832	\$	13,883
·	231,153		29,367		323,686		584,206	·	214,835		30,317	·	306,727	·	551,879
	46,696		_		4		46,700		53,055		, _		6		53,061
\$	288,905	\$	29,745	\$	324,327	\$	642,977	\$	280,381	\$	30,877	\$	307,565	\$	618,823
÷	,	•	<u> </u>	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	,	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
\$	129	\$	_	\$	21	\$	150	\$	227	\$	_	\$	38	\$	265
	2,861		_		326		3,187		2,888		_		361		3,249
												,			
\$	8	\$	_	\$	693	\$	701	\$	7	\$	_	\$	647	\$	654
	5		_		(88)		(83)		1		_		46		47
	_		_		1		1		_		_		_		_
\$	13	\$	-	\$	606	\$	619	\$	8	\$	-	\$	693	\$	701
\$	_	\$	_	\$	60	\$	60	\$	_	\$	-	\$	60	\$	60
	13		_		546		559		8		_		633		641
\$	13	\$	_	\$	606	\$	619	\$	8	\$	_	\$	693	\$	701
\$	_	\$	_	\$	103	\$	103	\$	_	\$	_	\$	206	\$	206
Ψ	58,185	Ψ	29,065	Ψ	360,565 <sup>((</sup>		447,815	Ψ	56,069	Ψ	33,844	Ψ	338,421		428,334
\$	58,185	\$	29,065	\$	360,668	\$	447,918	\$	56,069	\$	33,844	\$	338,627	\$	428,540
φ	20,103	Ψ	۷,005	ф	300,000	Ф	777,710	φ	30,009	φ	33,044	Ф	330,027	φ	740,340

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Note 17 - Variable interest entities

For a further description of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s accounting policies regarding consolidation of VIEs, see Note 1.

The following table summarizes the most significant types of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-sponsored VIEs by each JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. business. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. considers a "sponsored" VIE to include any entity where: (1) JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is the primary beneficiary of the structure; (2) the VIE is used by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to securitize JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. assets; (3) the VIE issues financial instruments with the JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. name; or (4) the entity is a JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-administered asset-backed commercial paper conduit.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. business	Transaction Type	Activity	Consolidated Financial Statements page reference
Consumer & community bank	Mortgage securitization trusts	Servicing and securitization of both originated and purchased residential mortgages	98-100
	Credit card securitization trusts	Securitization of both originated and purchased credit card receivables	100-101
Corporate & investment bank	Mortgage and other securitization trusts	Securitization of both originated and purchased residential and commercial mortgages and student loans	98-100
	Multi-seller conduits Investor intermediation activities:	Assist clients in accessing the financial markets in a cost- efficient manner and structures transactions to meet investor needs	101-103
	Municipal bond vehicles		101-102

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s other businesses are also involved with VIEs, but to a lesser extent, as follows:

• Commercial banking business: The commercial banking business makes investments in and provides lending to community development entities that may meet the definition of a VIE. In addition, the commercial banking business provides financing and lending-related services to certain client-sponsored VIEs. In general, the commercial banking business does not control the activities of these entities, and therefore, the financial results of these entities are not consolidated by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also invests in and provides financing and other services to VIEs sponsored by third parties, as described on page 103 of this Note.

#### Significant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-sponsored variable interest entities

#### Mortgage and other securitization trusts

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. securitizes (or has securitized) originated and purchased residential mortgages, commercial mortgages and other consumer loans (including student loans) primarily in its consumer & community banking and corporate & investment banking businesses. Depending on the particular transaction, as well as the respective business involved, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may act as the servicer of the loans and/or retain certain beneficial interests in the securitization trusts.

The following table presents the total unpaid principal amount of assets held in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-sponsored private-label securitization entities, including those in which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has continuing involvement, and those that are consolidated by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Continuing involvement includes servicing the loans; holding senior interests or subordinated interests; recourse or guarantee arrangements; and derivative transactions. In certain instances, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s only continuing involvement is servicing the loans. See Securitization activity on page 104 of this Note for further information regarding JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s cash flows with and interests retained in nonconsolidated VIEs, and page 105 of this Note for information on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s loan sales to U.S. government agencies.

		Princ	ipal amou	ınt outstar	iding		JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. interest in securitized assets in nonconsolidated VIEs <sup>(c)(d)</sup>						
December 31, 2015 (a) (in billions)	h secu	al assets eld by ritization VIEs	conso	s held in olidated itization /IEs	nonce sect V co	ets held in onsolidated uritization IEs with ntinuing olvement	Tradir	ng assets	AFS s	ecurities	JI	al interests held by PMorgan ase Bank, N.A.	
Securitization-related	-												
Residential mortgage:													
Prime/Alt-A and option ARMs	\$	56.6	\$	0.8	\$	51.7	\$	0.1	\$	1.4	\$	1.5	
Subprime		16.0		_		15.1		_		_		_	
Commercial and other(b)		104.3		0.1		65.3		_		2.5		2.5	
Total	\$	176.9	\$	0.9	\$	132.1	\$	0.1	\$	3.9	\$	4.0	

		Princ	ipal amo	unt outstar	iding	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. interest in securitized assets in nonconsolidated VIEs <sup>(c)(d)</sup>						
December 31, 2014 <sup>(a)</sup> (in billions)	h secu	al assets eld by ritization VIEs	cons	ts held in solidated ritization VIEs	nonco secu VI co	ets held in onsolidated uritization Es with ntinuing olvement	Tradir	ng assets	AFS	securities	h JPI Cha	interests eld by Morgan se Bank, N.A.
Securitization-related												
Residential mortgage:												
Prime/Alt-A and option ARMs	\$	62.6	\$	0.7	\$	59.7	\$	0.2	\$	0.5	\$	0.7
Subprime		18.2		_		17.0		_		_		_
Commercial and other(b)		101.4		0.2		73.9		_		2.4		2.4
Total	\$	182.2	\$	0.9	\$	150.6	\$	0.2	\$	2.9	\$	3.1

<sup>(</sup>a) Excludes U.S. government agency securitizations. See page 105 of this Note for information on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s loan sales to U.S. government agencies.

<sup>(</sup>b) Consists of securities backed by commercial loans (predominantly real estate) and non-mortgage-related consumer receivables purchased from third parties. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. generally does not retain a residual interest in its sponsored commercial mortgage securitization transactions.

<sup>(</sup>c) The table above excludes the following: retained servicing (see Note 18 for a discussion of MSRs); securities retained from loan sales to U.S. government agencies; interest rate and foreign exchange derivatives primarily used to manage interest rate and foreign exchange risks of securitization entities (See Note 7 for further information on derivatives). There were no senior and subordinated securities purchased in connection with the corporate & investment banking business's secondary market-making activities at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

<sup>(</sup>d) As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, 96% and 93%, respectively, of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s retained securitization interests, which are carried at fair value, were risk-rated "A" or better, on an S&P-equivalent basis. The retained interests in prime residential mortgages consisted of \$1.5 billion and \$634 million of investment-grade and \$20 million and \$43 million of noninvestment-grade retained interests at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The retained interests in commercial and other securitizations trusts consisted of \$2.5 billion and \$2.4 billion of investment-grade and \$0.2 million and zero of noninvestment-grade retained interests at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Residential mortgage

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. securitizes residential mortgage loans originated by the consumer & community banking business, as well as residential mortgage loans purchased from third parties by either the consumer & community banking business or the corporate & investment banking business. The consumer & community banking business generally retains servicing for all residential mortgage loans it originated or purchased, and for certain mortgage loans purchased by the corporate & investment banking business. For securitizations holding loans serviced by the consumer & community banking business, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has the power to direct the significant activities of the VIE because it is responsible for decisions related to loan modifications and workouts. The consumer & community banking business may also retain an interest upon securitization.

In addition, the corporate & investment banking business engages in underwriting and trading activities involving securities issued by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-sponsored securitization trusts. As a result, the corporate & investment banking business at times retains senior and/or subordinated interests (including residual interests) in residential mortgage securitizations at the time of securitization, and/or reacquires positions in the secondary market in the normal course of business. In certain instances, as a result of the positions retained or reacquired by the corporate & investment banking business or held by the consumer & community banking business, when considered together with the servicing arrangements entered into by the consumer & community banking business, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is deemed to be the primary beneficiary of certain securitization trusts. See the table on page 103 of this Note for more information on consolidated residential mortgage securitizations.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not consolidate a residential mortgage securitization (JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-sponsored or third-party-sponsored) when it is not the servicer (and therefore does not have the power to direct the most significant activities of the trust) or does not hold a beneficial interest in the trust that could potentially be significant to the trust. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. did not consolidate the assets of certain JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-sponsored residential mortgage securitization VIEs, in which it had continuing involvement, primarily due to the fact that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. did not hold an interest in these trusts that could potentially be significant to the trusts. See the table on page 103 of this Note for more information on the consolidated residential mortgage securitizations, and the table on the previous page of this Note for further information on interests held in nonconsolidated residential mortgage securitizations.

Commercial mortgages and other consumer securitizations The corporate & investment banking business originates and securitizes commercial mortgage loans, and engages in underwriting and trading activities involving the securities issued by securitization trusts. The corporate & investment banking business may retain unsold senior and/or subordinated interests in commercial mortgage securitizations at the time of securitization but, generally, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not service commercial loan securitizations. For commercial mortgage securitizations the power to direct the significant activities of the VIE generally is held by the servicer or investors in a specified class of securities ("controlling class"). See the table on page 103 of this Note for more information on the consolidated commercial mortgage securitizations, and the table on the previous page of this Note for further information on interests held in nonconsolidated securitizations.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. retains servicing responsibilities for certain student loan securitizations. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has the power to direct the activities of these VIEs through these servicing responsibilities. See the table on page 103 of this Note for more information on the consolidated student loan securitizations, and the table on the previous page of this Note for further information on interests held in nonconsolidated securitizations.

#### Credit card securitizations

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s involvement with credit card affiliated securitization entities sponsored by an affiliate On an ongoing basis, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. sells credit card receivables to various credit card securitization trusts ("Trusts") sponsored by an affiliate. The consideration received for the sales is an undivided interest in the respective Trusts. These Trusts are consolidated by the affiliate as it is the primary beneficiary of the Trusts.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had recorded \$5.6 billion and \$4.6 billion, respectively, of undivided interests in the Trusts. These undivided interests are measured at fair value and classified as other assets. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also retains senior and subordinated securities issued by the Trusts. The retained securities totaled zero and \$50 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and were classified as AFS securities.

#### <u>Consolidation of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-sponsored credit</u> card securitization trust

In addition to the securitization activity with the Trusts, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had previously securitized originated and purchased credit card loans in a JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. sponsored entity ("SCORE Trust"). JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. was considered the primary beneficiary based on its ability to direct the activities of the SCORE Trust and, therefore, consolidated the assets and liabilities of the SCORE Trust. During 2014, the SCORE Trust

made its final principal note payment and was subsequently terminated.

#### Multi-seller conduits

Multi-seller conduit entities are separate bankruptcy remote entities that provide secured financing, collateralized by pools of receivables and other financial assets, to customers of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. The conduits fund their financing facilities through the issuance of highly rated commercial paper. The primary source of repayment of the commercial paper is the cash flows from the pools of assets. In most instances, the assets are structured with deal-specific credit enhancements provided to the conduits by the customers (i.e., sellers) or other third parties. Deal-specific credit enhancements are generally structured to cover a multiple of historical losses expected on the pool of assets, and are typically in the form of overcollateralization provided by the seller. The dealspecific credit enhancements mitigate JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s potential losses on its agreements with the conduits.

To ensure timely repayment of the commercial paper, and to provide the conduits with funding to provide financing to customers in the event that the conduits do not obtain funding in the commercial paper market, each asset pool financed by the conduits has a minimum 100% deal-specific liquidity facility associated with it provided by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also provides the multi-seller conduit vehicles with uncommitted program-wide liquidity facilities and program-wide credit enhancement in the form of standby letters of credit. The amount of program-wide credit enhancement required is based upon commercial paper issuance and approximates 10% of the outstanding balance.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. consolidates its JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-administered multi-seller conduits, as it has both the power to direct the significant activities of the conduits and a potentially significant economic interest in the conduits. As administrative agent and in its role in structuring transactions, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. makes decisions regarding asset types and credit quality, and manages the commercial paper funding needs of the conduits. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s interests that could potentially be significant to the VIEs include the fees received as administrative agent and liquidity and programwide credit enhancement provider, as well as the potential exposure created by the liquidity and credit enhancement facilities provided to the conduits. See page 103 of this Note for further information on consolidated VIE assets and liabilities.

In the normal course of business, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. makes markets in and invests in commercial paper issued by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.--administered multiseller conduits. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. held \$15.7 billion and \$5.7 billion of the commercial paper issued by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-administered multi-seller

conduits at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s investments reflect its funding needs and capacity and were not driven by market illiquidity. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is not obligated under any agreement to purchase the commercial paper issued by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-administered multi-seller conduits.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. provides deal-specific liquidity as well as program-wide liquidity and credit enhancement to its administered multi-seller conduits, which have been eliminated in consolidation. The administered multi-seller conduits then provide certain of their clients with lending-related commitments. The unfunded portion of these commitments was \$5.6 billion and \$9.9 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and are reported as off-balance sheet lending-related commitments. For more information on off-balance sheet lending-related commitments, see Note 27.

VIEs associated with investor intermediation activities As a financial intermediary, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. creates certain types of VIEs and also structures transactions with these VIEs, typically using derivatives, to meet investor needs. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may also provide liquidity and other support. The risks inherent in the derivative instruments or liquidity commitments are managed similarly to other credit, market or liquidity risks to which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is exposed. The principal types of VIEs for which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is engaged in on behalf of clients are municipal bond vehicles.

#### Municipal bond vehicles

Municipal bond vehicles or tender option bond ("TOB") trusts allow investors to finance their municipal bond investments at short-term rates. In a typical TOB transaction, the trust purchases highly rated municipal bond(s) of a single issuer and funds the purchase by issuing two types of securities: (1) puttable floating-rate certificates ("Floaters") and (2) inverse floating-rate residual interests ("Residuals"). The Floaters are typically purchased by money market funds or other shortterm investors and may be tendered, with requisite notice, to the TOB trust. The Residuals are retained by the investor seeking to finance its municipal bond investment. TOB transactions where the Residual is held by a third party investor are typically known as Customer TOB trusts, and Non-Customer TOB trusts are transactions where the Residual is retained by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. serves as sponsor for all Non-Customer TOB transactions and certain Customer TOB transactions established prior to 2014. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may provide various services to a TOB trust, including liquidity or tender option provider, and/or sponsor.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. often serves as the sole liquidity or tender option provider for the TOB trusts. The liquidity provider's obligation to perform is conditional and is limited by certain events ("Termination Events"), which include

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

bankruptcy or failure to pay by the municipal bond issuer or credit enhancement provider, an event of taxability on the municipal bonds or the immediate downgrade of the municipal bond to below investment grade. In addition, the liquidity provider's exposure is typically further limited by the high credit quality of the underlying municipal bonds, the excess collateralization in the vehicle, or, in certain transactions, the reimbursement agreements with the Residual holders.

Holders of the Floaters may "put," or tender, their Floaters to the TOB trust. If the remarketing agent cannot successfully remarket the Floaters to another investor, the liquidity provider either provides a loan to the TOB trust for the purchase of or directly purchases the tendered Floaters. In certain Customer TOB transactions, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as liquidity provider, has entered into a reimbursement agreement with the Residual holder. In those transactions, upon the termination of the vehicle, if the proceeds from the sale of the underlying municipal bonds are not sufficient to repay amounts owed to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as liquidity or tender option provider, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has recourse to the third party Residual holders for any shortfall. Residual holders with reimbursement agreements are required to post collateral with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to support such reimbursement obligations should the market value of the underlying municipal bonds decline. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not have any intent to protect Residual holders from potential losses on any of the underlying municipal bonds.

TOB trusts are considered to be variable interest entities. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. consolidates Non-Customer TOB trusts because as the Residual holder, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has the right to make decisions that significantly impact the economic performance of the municipal bond vehicle, and have the right to receive benefits and bear losses that could potentially be significant to the municipal bond vehicle. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not consolidate Customer TOB trusts, since JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not have the power to make decisions that significantly impact the economic performance of the municipal bond vehicle. Certain non-consolidated Customer TOB trusts are sponsored by a third party, and not JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. See page 103 of this Note for further information on consolidated municipal bond vehicles.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s exposure to nonconsolidated municipal bond VIEs at December 31, 2015 and 2014, including the ratings profile of the VIEs' assets, was as follows.

December 31, (in billions)	ue of assets by VIEs	Liquidity facilities	Excess <sup>(a)</sup>	Maximum exposure
Nonconsolidated municipal bond vehicles				
2015	\$ 6.9	\$ 3.8	\$ 3.1	3.8
2014	11.5	6.3	5.2	6.3

		Ratings profile of VIE assets(b)										
Noninvestment- Investment-grade grade											air value of	Wt. avg. expected life
December 31, (in billions, except where otherwise noted)		AAA to AAA-	АА	+ to AA-	A+ to A-		BBB+ to BBB-	ВЕ	3+ and below		assets held by VIEs	of assets (years)
2015	\$	1.7	\$	4.6	\$ 0.	5 \$	<del>-</del>	\$	0.1	\$	6.9	4.0
2014		2.7		8.4	0.	4	_		_		11.5	4.9

- (a) Represents the excess/(deficit) of the fair values of municipal bond assets available to repay the liquidity facilities, if drawn.
- (b) The ratings scale is presented on an S&P-equivalent basis.

#### VIEs sponsored by third parties

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. enters into transactions with VIEs structured by other parties. These include, for example, acting as a derivative counterparty, liquidity provider, investor, underwriter, placement agent, trustee or custodian. These transactions are conducted at arm's-length, and individual credit decisions are based on the analysis of the specific VIE, taking into consideration the

quality of the underlying assets. Where JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not have the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, or a variable interest that could potentially be significant, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. records and reports these positions on its Consolidated balance sheets similarly to the way it would record and report positions in respect of any other third-party transaction.

#### **Consolidated VIE assets and liabilities**

The following table presents information on assets and liabilities related to VIEs consolidated by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

		Ass	ets	Liabilities						
December 31, 2015 (in billions) <sup>(a)</sup>	rading assets	Loans	Other <sup>(d)</sup>	Total assets <sup>(e)</sup>	Beneficial interests in VIE assets <sup>(f)</sup>	Other <sup>(g)</sup>	Total liabilities			
VIE program type										
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.Aadministered multi-seller conduits	\$ - \$	24.4	\$ -	\$ 24.4	\$ 8.7	\$ -	\$ 8.7			
Municipal bond vehicles	2.3	-	-	2.3	2.3	-	2.3			
Student loan securitization entities	-	1.9	0.1	2.0	1.8	_	1.8			
Mortgage securitization entities(b)	-	1.4	-	1.4	_	0.7	0.7			
Other <sup>(c)</sup>	0.2	_	1.5	1.7	0.1		0.1			
Total	\$ 2.5 \$	27.7	\$ 1.6	\$ 31.8	\$ 12.9	\$ 0.7	\$ 13.6			

		Ass	sets	i		Liabilities						
December 31, 2014 (in billions) <sup>(a)</sup>	Trading assets	Loans		Other <sup>(d)</sup>	Total assets <sup>(e)</sup>	ir	Beneficial nterests in IE assets <sup>(f)</sup>		Other <sup>(g)</sup>		Total abilities	
VIE program type												
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.Aadministered multi-seller conduits	\$ _	\$ 17.7	\$	0.1	\$ 17.8	\$	12.0	\$	_	\$	12.0	
Municipal bond vehicles	4.9	_		_	4.9		4.6		_		4.6	
Student loan securitization entities	-	2.2		-	2.2		2.0		_		2.0	
Mortgage securitization entities(b)	0.9	0.7		-	1.6		_		0.8		0.8	
Other <sup>(c)</sup>	0.2	_		0.2	0.4		_		_			
Total	\$ 6.0	\$ 20.6	\$	0.3	\$ 26.9	\$	18.6	\$	0.8	\$	19.4	

- (a) Excludes intercompany transactions, which were eliminated in consolidation.
- (b) Includes residential and commercial mortgage securitizations.
- (c) Primarily includes credit-related notes and collateralized debt obligations.
- (d) Includes assets classified as cash, AFS securities, and other assets within the Consolidated balance sheets.
- (e) The assets of the consolidated VIEs included in the program types above are used to settle the liabilities of those entities. The difference between total assets and total liabilities recognized for consolidated VIEs represents JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s interest in the consolidated VIEs for each program type.
- (f) The interest-bearing beneficial interest liabilities issued by consolidated VIEs are classified in the line item on the Consolidated balance sheets titled, "Beneficial interests issued by consolidated variable interest entities." The holders of these beneficial interests do not have recourse to the general credit of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Included in beneficial interests in VIE assets are long-term beneficial interests of \$1.9 billion and \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The maturities of the long-term beneficial interests as of December 31, 2015, were as follows: \$45 million under one year, \$60 million between one and five years, and \$1.8 billion over five years, all respectively.
- (g) Includes liabilities classified as accounts payable and other liabilities in the Consolidated balance sheets.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Loan securitizations

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has securitized and sold a variety of loans, including residential mortgage, credit card, student and commercial (primarily related to real estate) loans, as well as debt securities. The purposes of these securitization transactions were to satisfy investor demand and to generate liquidity for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

For loan securitizations in which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is not required to consolidate the trust, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. records the transfer of the loan receivable to the trust as a sale when all of the following accounting criteria for a sale are met: (1) the transferred financial assets are legally isolated from JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s creditors; (2) the transferee or beneficial interest holder can pledge

or exchange the transferred financial assets; and (3) JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not maintain effective control over the transferred financial assets (e.g., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. cannot repurchase the transferred assets before their maturity and it does not have the ability to unilaterally cause the holder to return the transferred assets).

For loan securitizations accounted for as a sale, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. recognizes a gain or loss based on the difference between the value of proceeds received (including cash, beneficial interests, or servicing assets received) and the carrying value of the assets sold. Gains and losses on securitizations are reported in noninterest revenue.

#### Securitization activity

The following table provides information related to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s securitization activities for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, related to assets held in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.-sponsored securitization entities that were not consolidated by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., and where sale accounting was achieved based on the accounting rules in effect at the time of the securitization.

		2015						2014							2013					
Year ended December 31, (in millions, except rates) <sup>(a)</sup>	Credit card <sup>(c)</sup>		sidential rtgage <sup>(d)</sup>		Commercia and other <sup>(e)</sup>		Credit card <sup>(c)</sup>		esidential ortgage <sup>(d)</sup>			mmercial d other <sup>(e)</sup>		Credit card <sup>(c)</sup>		sidential ortgage <sup>(d)</sup>			nmercial d other <sup>(e)</sup>	
Principal securitized	\$ 3,330	\$	3,008	,	11,98	3	\$3,340	\$	2,558		\$	11,911		\$4,023	\$	1,404		\$	11,318	_
Pretax gain/(loss)	(2)		-	(f)	-	_ (f)	2		_	(f)		_	(f)	5		_	(f)		_	(f)
All cash flows during the period:																				
Proceeds from new securitizations <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 3,330	\$	3,022	ç	12,01	1	\$3,340	\$	2,569		\$	12,079		\$ 4,023	\$	1,410		\$	11,507	
Servicing fees collected			528			3	-		557			3		-		576			5	
Proceeds from collections reinvested in revolving securitizations	44,734		_			_	44,364		_			_		37,062		_			_	
Purchases of previously transferred financial assets (or the underlying collateral) <sup>(b)</sup>	_		2			_	-		109			_		-		233			_	
Cash flows received on interests	15,309		321		53	3	14,397		97			183		18,757		50			121	

- (a) During 2015, proceeds from credit card securitizations were received as cash; proceeds from residential mortgage securitizations, \$2.1 billion was received as cash, and \$945 million was received as securities classified in level 2 of the fair value hierarchy; and proceeds from commercial mortgage securitizations, \$11.7 billion was received as cash, and \$350 million was received as securities classified in level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. During 2014, proceeds from credit card securitizations were received as cash; proceeds from residential mortgage securitizations, \$2.3 billion was received as cash, and \$245 million was received as securities classified in level 2 of the fair value hierarchy; and proceeds from commercial mortgage securitizations, \$11.7 billion was received as cash, and \$372 million was received as securities classified in level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. During 2013 proceeds from securitizations were received as cash.
- (b) Includes cash paid by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to reacquire assets from off-balance sheet, nonconsolidated entities for example, loan repurchases due to representation and warranties and servicer clean-up calls.
- (c) Includes securitization activity related to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s undivided interest in credit card securitization trusts.
- (d) Includes prime, Alt-A, subprime, and option ARMs. Excludes certain loan securitization transactions entered into with Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.
- (e) Includes commercial and student loan securitizations.
- (f) JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. elected the fair value option for loans pending securitization. The carrying value of these loans accounted for at fair value approximated the proceeds received from securitization.

#### Loans and excess MSRs sold to U.S. governmentsponsored enterprises ("U.S. GSEs"), loans in securitization transactions pursuant to Ginnie Mae guidelines, and other third-party-sponsored securitization entities

In addition to the amounts reported in the securitization activity tables above, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in the normal course of business, sells originated and purchased mortgage loans and certain originated excess MSRs on a nonrecourse basis, predominantly to U.S. GSEs. These loans and excess MSRs are sold primarily for the purpose of securitization by the U.S. GSEs, who provide certain guarantee provisions (e.g., credit enhancement of the loans). JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also sells loans into securitization transactions pursuant to Ginnie Mae guidelines; these loans are typically insured or guaranteed by another U.S. government agency. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. does not consolidate the securitization vehicles underlying these transactions as it is not the primary beneficiary. For a limited number of loan sales, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is obligated to share a portion of the credit risk associated with the sold loans with the purchaser. See Note 27 for additional information about JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s loan sales- and securitization-related indemnifications.

See Note 18 for additional information about the impact of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s sale of certain excess MSRs.

The following table summarizes the activities related to loans sold to the U.S. GSEs, loans in securitization transactions pursuant to Ginnie Mae guidelines, and other third-party-sponsored securitization entities.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2013
Carrying value of loans sold	\$ 42,161	\$ 55,802	\$ 166,028
Proceeds received from loan sales as cash	\$ 313	\$ 260	\$ 782
Proceeds from loans sales as securities <sup>(a)</sup>	41,615	55,117	163,373
Total proceeds received from loan sales(b)	\$ 41,928	\$ 55,377	\$ 164,155
Gains on loan sales <sup>(c)</sup>	\$ 299	\$ 316	\$ 302

- (a) Predominantly includes securities from U.S. GSEs and Ginnie Mae that are generally sold shortly after receipt.
- (b) Excludes the value of MSRs retained upon the sale of loans. Gains on loan sales include the value of MSRs.
- (c) The carrying value of the loans accounted for at fair value approximated the proceeds received upon loan sale.

Options to repurchase delinguent loans In addition to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s obligation to repurchase certain loans due to material breaches of representations and warranties as discussed in Note 27, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also has the option to repurchase delinquent loans that it services for Ginnie Mae loan pools, as well as for other U.S. government agencies under certain arrangements. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. typically elects to repurchase delinquent loans from Ginnie Mae loan pools as it continues to service them and/or manage the foreclosure process in accordance with the applicable requirements, and such loans continue to be insured or guaranteed. When JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s repurchase option becomes exercisable, such loans must be reported on the Consolidated balance sheets as a loan with a corresponding liability. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had recorded on its Consolidated balance sheets \$11.0 billion and \$12.4 billion, respectively, of loans that either had been repurchased or for which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had an option to repurchase. Predominantly all of these amounts relate to loans that have been repurchased from Ginnie Mae loan pools. Additionally, real estate owned resulting from voluntary repurchases of loans was \$343 million and \$464 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Substantially all of these loans and real estate owned are insured or guaranteed by U.S. government agencies. For additional information, refer to Note 15.

### JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s interest in securitized assets held at fair value

The following table outlines the key economic assumptions used to determine the fair value, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, of certain of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s retained interests in nonconsolidated VIEs (other than MSRs), that are valued using modeling techniques. The table also outlines the sensitivities of those fair values to immediate 10% and 20% adverse changes in assumptions used to determine fair value. For a discussion of MSRs, see Note 18.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

	Credit card(c)					
December 31, (in millions, except rates and where otherwise noted) $^{\rm (a)}$		2015		2014		
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. interests in securitized assets	\$	5,643	\$	4,580		
Weighted-average life (in years)		0.3		0.3		
Weighted-average constant prepayment rate(b)	28.6%			27.8%		
		PPR		PPR		
Impact of 10% adverse change	\$	(21)	\$	(21)		
Impact of 20% adverse change		(42)		(42)		
Weighted-average loss assumption		2.4%		2.4%		
Impact of 10% adverse change	\$	(13)	\$	(13)		
Impact of 20% adverse change		(25)	(26)			
Weighted-average discount rate		12.0%		12.0%		
Impact of 10% adverse change	\$	(1)	\$	(1)		
Impact of 20% adverse change		(1)		(1)		

<sup>(</sup>a) JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s interests in prime mortgage securitizations were \$58 million and \$172 million, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. These include retained interests in Alt-A loans. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s had no interests in subprime mortgage securitizations as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

The sensitivity analysis in the preceding table is hypothetical. Changes in fair value based on a 10% or 20% variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated easily, because the relationship of the change in the assumptions to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in the table, the effect that a change in a particular assumption may have on the fair value is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another, which might counteract or magnify the sensitivities. The above sensitivities also do not reflect risk management practices JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may undertake to mitigate such risks.

#### Loan delinquencies and liquidation losses

The table below includes information about components of nonconsolidated securitized financial assets, in which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has continuing involvement, and delinquencies as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

	Securitized assets			ast due	Liquidation losses		
As of or for the year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Securitized loans <sup>(a)</sup>							
Residential mortgage:							
Prime/ Alt-A & option ARMs	\$ 51,654	\$ 59,733	\$ 5,411	\$ 7,301	\$ 1,194	1,299	
Subprime	15,069	16,971	3,516	4,157	925	1,050	
Commercial and other	65,337	73,893	1,634	1,176	324	851	
Total loans securitized <sup>(b)</sup>	\$ 132,060	\$ 150,597	\$ 10,561	\$ 12,634	\$ 2,443 \$	3,200	

<sup>(</sup>a) Total assets held in securitization-related SPEs were \$176.9 billion and \$182.2 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2015 and 2014. The \$132.1 billion and \$150.6 billion, respectively, of loans securitized at December 31, 2015 and 2014, excludes: \$43.9 billion and \$30.7 billion, respectively, of securitized loans in which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has no continuing involvement, and \$942 million and \$889 million, respectively, of loan securitizations consolidated on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2015 and 2014.

<sup>(</sup>b) PPR: principal payment rate

<sup>(</sup>c) Includes securitization activity related to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s undivided interests in credit card securitization trusts.

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes securitized loans that were previously recorded at fair value and classified as trading assets.

## Note 18 - Goodwill and other intangible assets Goodwill

Goodwill is recorded upon completion of a business combination as the difference between the purchase price and the fair value of the net assets acquired. Subsequent to initial recognition, goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment during the fourth quarter of each fiscal year, or more often if events or circumstances, such as adverse changes in the business climate, indicate there may be impairment.

The following table presents changes in the carrying amount of goodwill.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2013
Balance at beginning of period <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 27,282	\$27,344	\$27,431
Changes during the period from:			
Business combinations	28	39	43
Dispositions	(59)	(1)	_
Other <sup>(b)</sup>	(151)	(100)	(130)
Balance at December 31, <sup>(a)</sup>	\$27,100	\$ 27,282	\$27,344

- (a) Reflects gross goodwill balances as JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has not recognized any impairment losses to date.
- (b) Includes foreign currency translation adjustments and other taxrelated adjustments.

#### Impairment testing

Goodwill was not impaired at December 31, 2015, or 2014.

The goodwill impairment test is performed in two steps. In the first step, the current fair value of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is compared with its carrying value, including goodwill. If the fair value is in excess of the carrying value (including goodwill), then the goodwill is considered not to be impaired. If the fair value is less than the carrying value (including goodwill), then a second step is performed. In the second step, the implied current fair value of the goodwill is determined by comparing the fair value of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (as determined in step one) to the fair value of the net assets of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as if it was being acquired in a business combination. The resulting implied current fair value of goodwill is then compared with the carrying value of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s goodwill. If the carrying value of the goodwill exceeds its implied current fair value, then an impairment charge is recognized for the excess. If the carrying value of goodwill is less than its implied current fair value, then no goodwill impairment is recognized.

Declines in business performance, increases in credit losses, increases in equity capital requirements, as well as deterioration in economic or market conditions, adverse estimates of regulatory or legislative changes or increases in the estimated cost of equity, could cause the estimated fair values of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., or its associated goodwill to decline in the future, which could result in a material impairment charge to earnings in a future period related to some portion of the associated goodwill.

#### Mortgage servicing rights

Mortgage servicing rights represent the fair value of expected future cash flows for performing servicing activities for others. The fair value considers estimated future servicing fees and ancillary revenue, offset by estimated costs to service the loans, and generally declines over time as net servicing cash flows are received, effectively amortizing the MSR asset against contractual servicing and ancillary fee income. MSRs are either purchased from third parties or recognized upon sale or securitization of mortgage loans if servicing is retained.

As permitted by U.S. GAAP, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has elected to account for its MSRs at fair value. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. treats its MSRs as a single class of servicing assets based on the availability of market inputs used to measure the fair value of its MSR asset and its treatment of MSRs as one aggregate pool for risk management purposes. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. estimates the fair value of MSRs using an option-adjusted spread ("OAS") model, which projects MSR cash flows over multiple interest rate scenarios in conjunction with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s prepayment model, and then discounts these cash flows at risk-adjusted rates. The model considers portfolio characteristics, contractually specified servicing fees, prepayment assumptions, delinquency rates, costs to service, late charges and other ancillary revenue, and other economic factors. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. compares fair value estimates and assumptions to observable market data where available, and also considers recent market activity and actual portfolio experience.

The fair value of MSRs is sensitive to changes in interest rates, including their effect on prepayment speeds. MSRs typically decrease in value when interest rates decline because declining interest rates tend to increase prepayments and therefore reduce the expected life of the net servicing cash flows that consist of the MSR asset. Conversely, securities (e.g., mortgage-backed securities), principal-only certificates and certain derivatives (i.e., those for which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. receives fixed-rate interest payments) increase in value when interest rates decline. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. uses combinations of derivatives and securities to manage changes in the fair value of MSRs. The intent is to offset any interest-rate related changes in the fair value of the related risk management instruments.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

The following table summarizes MSR activity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

As of or for the year ended December 31, (in millions, except where otherwise noted) 2015 2014 2013 \$ 7,436 Fair value at beginning of period \$ 7,614 \$ 9,614 MSR activity: Originations of MSRs 550 757 2,214 Purchase of MSRs 435 11 1 Disposition of MSRs(a) (209)(725)(486)**Net additions** 499 559 1,490 Changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows (922)(911)(1,102)Changes in valuation due to inputs and assumptions: Changes due to market interest (160)(1.608)2.122 rates and other(b Changes in valuation due to other inputs and assumptions: Projected cash flows (e.g., cost to 109 (112)133 service) Discount rates (459)(78)(10)Prepayment model changes and (123)108 (541)other(c) Total changes in valuation due to other inputs and assumptions (245)(218)(510)Total changes in valuation due to (405) inputs and assumptions \$(1,826) \$ 1,612 Fair value at December 31, \$ 9,614 \$ 6,608 \$ 7,436 Change in unrealized gains/(losses) included in income related to MSRs held at December 31, (405) \$(1.826) \$ 1,612 Contractual service fees, late fees and other ancillary fees included in income \$ 2,533 \$ 2,884 \$ 3,309 Third-party mortgage loans serviced at December 31, (in billions) 677 756 822 Servicer advances, net of an allowance for uncollectible amounts, at December 31, 6.5 \$ 8.5 9.6 (in billions)(d

- (a) For 2014 and 2013, predominantly represents excess MSRs transferred to agency-sponsored trusts in exchange for stripped mortgage backed securities ("SMBS"). In each transaction, a portion of the SMBS was acquired by third parties at the transaction date; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. acquired and has retained the remaining balance of those SMBS as trading securities. Also includes sales of MSRs.
- (b) Represents both the impact of changes in estimated future prepayments due to changes in market interest rates, and the difference between actual and expected prepayments.
- (c) Represents changes in prepayments other than those attributable to changes in market interest rates.
- (d) Represents amounts JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. pays as the servicer (e.g., scheduled principal and interest, taxes and insurance), which will generally be reimbursed within a short period of time after the advance from future cash flows from the trust or the underlying loans. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s credit risk associated with these servicer advances is minimal because reimbursement of the advances is typically senior to all cash payments to investors. In addition, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. maintains the right to stop payment to investors if the collateral is insufficient to cover the advance. However, certain of these servicer advances may not be recoverable if they were not made in accordance with applicable rules and agreements.
- (e) For the year ending December 31, 2014, the negative impact was primarily related to higher capital allocated to the Mortgage Servicing business, which, in turn, resulted in an increase in the OAS. The resulting OAS assumption was consistent with capital and return requirements JPMorgan

Chase Bank, N.A. believed a market participant would consider, taking into account factors such as the operating risk environment and regulatory and economic capital requirements.

The table below outlines the key economic assumptions used to determine the fair value of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s MSRs at December 31, 2015 and 2014, and outlines the sensitivities of those fair values to immediate adverse changes in those assumptions, as defined below.

December 31, (in millions, except rates)	2015			
Weighted-average prepayment speed assumption ("CPR")		9.81%	_	9.80%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$	(275)	\$	(337)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change		(529)		(652)
Weighted-average option adjusted spread		9.02%		9.43%
Impact on fair value of 100 basis points adverse change	\$	(258)	\$	(300)
Impact on fair value of 200 basis points adverse change		(498)		(578)

CPR: Constant prepayment rate.

The sensitivity analysis in the preceding table is hypothetical and should be used with caution. Changes in fair value based on variation in assumptions generally cannot be easily extrapolated, because the relationship of the change in the assumptions to the change in fair value are often highly interrelated and may not be linear. In this table, the effect that a change in a particular assumption may have on the fair value is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another, which would either magnify or counteract the impact of the initial change.

#### Note 19 - Premises and equipment

Premises and equipment, including leasehold improvements, are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. computes depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of an asset. For leasehold improvements, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. uses the straight-line method computed over the lesser of the remaining term of the leased facility or the estimated useful life of the leased asset.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. capitalizes certain costs associated with the acquisition or development of internaluse software. Once the software is ready for its intended use, these costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the software's expected useful life and reviewed for impairment on an ongoing basis.

#### Note 20 - Deposits

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, noninterest-bearing and interest-bearing deposits were as follows.

December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014
u.s. offices		
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 396,277	\$ 444,452
Interest-bearing		
Demand <sup>(a)</sup>	127,911	129,186
Savings <sup>(b)</sup>	486,018	466,709
Time (included <b>\$11,247</b> and \$7,668		
at fair value) <sup>(c)</sup>	67,174	73,832
Total interest-bearing deposits	681,103	669,727
Total deposits in U.S. offices	1,077,380	1,114,179
Non-U.S. offices		
Noninterest-bearing	19,041	19,203
Interest-bearing		
Demand	158,582	223,752
Savings	2,157	2,673
Time (included <b>\$1,600</b> and \$1,306 at		
fair value) <sup>(c)</sup>	55,780	79,598
Total interest-bearing deposits	216,519	306,023
Total deposits in non-U.S. offices	235,560	325,226
Total deposits	\$ 1,312,940	\$ 1,439,405

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes Negotiable Order of Withdrawal ("NOW") accounts, and certain trust accounts.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, time deposits in denominations of \$250,000 or more were as follows.

December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014
U.S. offices	\$ 44,473	\$ 49,811
Non-u.S. offices	55,731	79,559
Total	\$100,204	\$129,370

At December 31, 2015, the maturities of interest-bearing time deposits were as follows.

December 31, 2015

(in millions)	u.s.	Non-U.S.	Total	
2016	\$ 41,988	\$ 55,360	\$ 97,348	3
2017	12,916	192	13,108	3
2018	2,262	65	2,327	7
2019	1,654	46	1,700	)
2020	1,877	117	1,994	ļ
After 5 years	6,477	_	6,477	7
Total	\$ 67,174	\$ 55,780	\$ 122,954	<u>.                                    </u>

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes Money Market Deposit Accounts ("MMDAs").

<sup>(</sup>c) Includes structured notes classified as deposits for which the fair value option has been elected. For further discussion, see Note 5.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Note 21 - Long-term debt

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. issues long-term debt denominated in various currencies, although predominantly U.S. dollars, with both fixed and variable interest rates. Included in senior and subordinated debt below are various equity-linked or other indexed instruments, which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has elected to measure at fair value. Changes in fair value are recorded in principal transactions revenue in the Consolidated statements of income. The following table is a summary of long-term debt carrying values (including unamortized premiums and discounts, issuance costs, valuation adjustments and fair value adjustments, where applicable) by remaining contractual maturity as of December 31, 2015.

By remaining maturity at December 31,		2015									2014	
(in millions, except rates)		u	nder 1 year		1-5 years	Af	ter 5 years		Total	_		Total
Long-term debt payable to JPMorgan Chase & Co. and affiliates												
Senior debt:	Variable rate	\$	1,120	\$	11,590	\$	102	\$	12,812	9	\$	12,355
	Interest rates(a)		-%		0.73%		-%		0.73%			0.38%
Subordinated debt:	Variable rate	\$	_	\$	_	\$	250	\$	250	9	\$	-
	Interest rates <sup>(a)</sup>		-%		-%		2.40%		2.40%			-%
	Subtotal	\$	1,120	\$	11,590	\$	352	\$	13,062		\$	12,355
Long-term debt issued to unrelated parties												
Federal Home Loan Banks ("FHLB") advances:	Fixed rate	\$	5	\$	30	\$	156	\$	191		\$	204
	Variable rate		8,500		47,590		5,000		61,090			53,040
	Interest rates(a)	C	0.37-0.65%	(	0.17-0.72%	0	.50-0.70%	(	0.17-0.72%		0.1	1-0.43%
Senior debt:	Fixed rate	\$	631	\$	1,247	\$	3,584	\$	5,462		\$	5,600
	Variable rate		10,866		7,121		2,560		20,547			20,344
	Interest rates <sup>(a)</sup>	C	0.47-1.00%	(	0.53-4.61%	1	.30-7.28%	(	0.47-7.28%		0.2	26-7.28%
Subordinated debt:	Fixed rate	\$	1,473	\$	3,647	\$	1,461	\$	6,581		\$	6,921
	Variable rate		1,150		_		_		1,150			2,362
	Interest rates <sup>(a)</sup>	(	).83-5.88%		6.00%	4	.38-8.25%	(	0.83-8.25%		0.5	7-8.25%
	Subtotal	\$	22,625	\$	59,635	\$	12,761	\$	95,021	9	\$	88,471
Preferred securities of subsidiaries, issued to	Fired nate	4		#		#	(00	4	(00	,	+	
affiliates <sup>(b)</sup> :	Fixed rate	\$	-	\$	-	\$_	600	\$	600	,	\$ 	600
	Interest rates <sup>(a)</sup>	_	-%		-%		.00-8.20%		7.00-8.20%			00-8.20%
	Subtotal	<u> </u>		\$_		<u>      \$                              </u>	600	\$	600		\$	600
Total long-term debt <sup>(c)(d)(e)</sup>		\$	23,745	\$	71,225	\$	13,713	\$	108,683	(6)(11)	5 1	01,426
Long-term beneficial interests:		_		_		_		_			_	
	Variable rate	\$	45	\$	60	\$	1,760	\$	1,865	,	\$ 	2,026
	Interest rates		2.82%		2.60%	0	.67-0.94%		0.67-2.82%		0.3	31-2.31%
Total long-term beneficial interests <sup>(e)</sup>		\$	45	\$	60	\$	1,760	\$	1,865	(	\$	2,026

- (a) The interest rates shown are the range of contractual rates in effect at year-end, including non-u.S. dollar fixed- and variable-rate issuances, which excludes the effects of the associated derivative instruments used in hedge accounting relationships, if applicable. The use of these derivative instruments modifies JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s exposure to the contractual interest rates disclosed in the table above. Including the effects of the hedge accounting derivatives, the range of modified rates in effect at December 31, 2015, for total long-term debt was 0.17% to 8.20%, versus the contractual range of 0.17% to 8.25% presented in the table above. The interest rate ranges shown exclude structured notes accounted for at fair value.
- (b) At December 31, 2015 and 2014, two consolidated subsidiaries of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had issued and outstanding two series of redeemable preferred securities issued to a nonbank affiliate as follows: \$300 million, 8.20% maturing in 2030, and \$300 million, 7.00% maturing in 2032.
- (c) Included long-term debt of \$65.8 billion and \$57.4 billion secured by assets totaling \$171.1 billion and \$152.1 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The amount of long-term debt secured by assets does not include amounts related to hybrid instruments.
- (d) Included \$14.7 billion and \$14.1 billion of long-term debt accounted for at fair value at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
- (e) Included \$1.1 billion and \$988 million of outstanding zero-coupon notes at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The aggregate principal amount of these notes at their respective maturities is \$1.8 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively. The aggregate principal amount reflects the contractual principal payment at maturity, which may exceed the contractual principal payment at JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s next call date, if applicable.
- (f) Included on the Consolidated balance sheets in beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs. Also included zero and \$18 million accounted for at fair value at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Excluded short-term commercial paper and other short-term beneficial interests of \$11.0 billion and \$16.6 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
- (g) At December 31, 2015, long-term debt in the aggregate of \$29.0 billion was redeemable at the option of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in whole or in part, prior to maturity, based on the terms specified in the respective instruments.
- (h) The aggregate carrying values of debt that matures in each of the five years subsequent to 2015 is \$23.7 billion in 2016, \$28.5 billion in 2017, \$15.1 billion in 2018, \$19.0 billion in 2019 and \$8.7 billion in 2020.

The weighted-average contractual interest rates for total long-term debt excluding structured notes accounted for at fair value were 0.94% and 0.82% as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. In order to modify exposure to interest rate movements, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. utilizes derivative instruments, primarily interest rate swaps, in conjunction with some of its debt issues. The use of these instruments modifies JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s interest expense on the associated debt. The modified weighted-average interest rates for total long-term debt, including the effects of related derivative instruments, were

0.70% and 0.55% as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A's unsecured debt does not contain requirements that would call for an acceleration of payments, maturities or changes in the structure of the existing debt, provide any limitations on future borrowings or require additional collateral, based on unfavorable changes in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A's credit ratings, financial ratios or earnings.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Note 22 - Related party transactions

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. regularly enters into transactions with JPMorgan Chase and its various subsidiaries.

Significant revenue- and expense-related transactions with these related parties are listed below.

Year ended December 31,

(in millions)	2015	2014	2013
Interest income	\$ 150 \$	68 \$	97
Interest expense	298	249	660
Servicing agreements and fee arrangements			
Noninterest revenue	6,321	6,926	5,689
Noninterest expense	3,875	3,846	3,243

Significant balances with these related parties are listed below.

December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014
Assets		
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	\$ 67,842	\$ 38,695
Accrued interest and accounts receivable	9,908	8,057
All other assets	21,693	15,937
Liabilities		
Deposits <sup>(a)</sup>	80,489	119,603
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements	24,297	30,241
Other borrowed funds <sup>(b)</sup>	15,023	15,115
Accounts payable and other liabilities	11,054	5,054
Long-term debt	13,662	12,955

<sup>(</sup>a) At both December 31, 2015 and 2014, included \$20.0 billion pledged by JPMorgan Chase to support extensions of credit and other transactions requiring collateral with affiliates as defined by Section 23A under the Federal Reserve Act, which defines the constraints that apply to U.S. banks in certain of their interactions with affiliates.

In addition to the information presented in the tables above, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. executes derivative transactions with affiliates as part of its client-driven market-making activities and to facilitate hedging certain risks for its affiliates. When facilitating hedging for affiliates, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also enters into mirror derivative transactions with third-parties; these two transactions substantially offset each other and are recorded in noninterest revenue. At December 31, 2015, after giving effect to legally enforceable master netting agreements, net derivative receivables and derivative payables to affiliates were \$1.3 billion and \$2.7 billion, respectively, (gross receivables and payables were approximately \$49.1 billion and \$50.4 billion, respectively). At December 31, 2014, after giving effect to legally enforceable master netting agreements, net derivative receivables and derivative payables to affiliates were \$327 million and \$5.3 billion, respectively, (gross receivables and payables were approximately \$35.0 billion and \$40.0 billion, respectively). The net positions primarily relate to interest rate and foreign exchange contracts that fall within level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

<sup>(</sup>b) At both December 31, 2015 and 2014, included \$15.0 billion of borrowings under a short-term committed facility with JPMorgan Chase & Co.

#### Note 23 - Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss)

AOCI includes the after-tax change in unrealized gains and losses on investment securities, foreign currency translation adjustments (including the impact of related derivatives), cash flow hedging activities, and net loss and prior service costs/ (credit) related to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s defined benefit pension and OPEB plans.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	Unrealized gair (losses) on investment securities <sup>(a)</sup>	Translation adjustments,	Cash flow hedges	Defined benefit pension and OPEB plans	Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss)
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 6,591	\$ 63	\$ 329	\$ (472)	\$ 6,511
Net change	(3,916)	(51)	(404)	39	(4,332)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 2,675	\$ 12	\$ (75)	\$ (433)	\$ 2,179
Net change	1,862	(35)	(16)	(34)	1,777
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ 4,537	\$ (23)	\$ (91)	\$ (467)	\$ 3,956
Net change	(2,104)	(17)	46	139	(1,936)
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$ 2,433	\$ (40)	\$ (45)	\$ (328)	\$ 2,020

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents the after-tax difference between the fair value and amortized cost of securities accounted for as AFS including, as of the date of transfer during 2014, \$9 million of net unrealized losses related to AFS securities that were transferred to HTM. Subsequent to transfer, includes any net unamortized unrealized gains and losses related to the transferred securities.

The following table presents the before- and after-tax changes in the components of other comprehensive income/(loss).

	2015				2014		2013		
Year ended December 31, (in millions)	Pretax	Tax effect	After- tax	Pretax	Tax effect	After- tax	Pretax	Tax effect	After- tax
Unrealized gains/(losses) on investment securities:									
Net unrealized gains/(losses) arising during the period	\$(3,247)	\$ 1,269	\$(1,978)	\$ 3,008	\$(1,104)	\$ 1,904	\$(5,750)	\$ 2,225	\$(3,525)
Reclassification adjustment for realized (gains)/losses included in net income <sup>(a)</sup>	(202)	76	(126)	(68)	26	(42)	(643)	252	(391)
Net change	(3,449)	1,345	(2,104)	2,940	(1,078)	1,862	(6,393)	2,477	(3,916)
Translation adjustments:									
Translation <sup>(b)</sup>	(1,542)	562	(980)	(1,402)	499	(903)	(862)	315	(547)
Hedges <sup>(b)</sup>	1,541	(578)	963	1,413	(545)	868	817	(321)	496
Net change	(1)	(16)	(17)	11	(46)	(35)	(45)	(6)	(51)
Cash flow hedges:									
Net unrealized gains/(losses) arising during the period	(97)	36	(61)	100	(40)	60	(507)	199	(308)
Reclassification adjustment for realized (gains)/losses included in net income $^{\rm (c)(e)}$	174	(67)	107	(123)	47	(76)	(156)	60	(96)
Net change	77	(31)	46	(23)	7	(16)	(663)	259	(404)
Defined benefit pension and OPEB plans:									
Prior service credits arising during the period	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Net gains/(losses) arising during the period	57	(21)	36	(129)	48	(81)	14	(4)	10
Reclassification adjustments included in net income $^{(d)}$ :									
Amortization of net loss	40	(15)	25	53	(21)	32	59	(22)	37
Prior service costs/(credits)	(2)	1	(1)	(2)	1	(1)	(2)	1	(1)
Foreign exchange and other	132	(53)	79	36	(20)	16	(10)	3	(7)
Net change	227	(88)	139	(42)	8	(34)	61	(22)	39
Total other comprehensive income/(loss)	\$(3,146)	\$ 1,210	\$(1,936)	\$ 2,886	\$(1,109)	\$ 1,777	\$(7,040)	\$ 2,708	\$(4,332)

<sup>(</sup>a) The pretax amount is reported in securities gains in the Consolidated statements of income.

<sup>(</sup>b) Reclassifications of pretax realized gains/(losses) on translation adjustments and related hedges are reported in other income/expense in the Consolidated statements of income. The amounts were not material for the periods presented.

<sup>(</sup>c) The pretax amounts are predominantly recorded in net interest income in the Consolidated statements of income.

<sup>(</sup>d) The pretax amount is reported in compensation expense in the Consolidated statements of income.

<sup>(</sup>e) In 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. reclassified approximately \$150 million of net losses from AOCI to other income because JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. determined that it is probable that the forecasted interest payment cash flows will not occur. For additional information, see Note 7.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Note 24 - Income taxes

The results of operations of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. are included in the consolidated federal, New York State, New York City and other state income tax returns filed by JPMorgan Chase. Pursuant to a tax sharing agreement, JPMorgan Chase allocates to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. its share of the consolidated income tax expense or benefit based upon statutory rates applied to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s earnings as if it were filing separate income tax returns. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. uses the asset and liability method to provide for income taxes on all transactions recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statements, Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to an amount that in the opinion of management, is more likely than not to be realized. State and local income taxes are provided on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s taxable income at the effective income tax rate applicable to the consolidated JPMorgan Chase entity.

The tax sharing arrangement between JPMorgan Chase and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. allows for intercompany payments to or from JPMorgan Chase for outstanding current tax assets or liabilities.

Due to the inherent complexities arising from the nature of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s businesses, and from conducting business and being taxed in a substantial number of jurisdictions, significant judgments and estimates are required to be made. Agreement of tax liabilities between JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and the many tax jurisdictions in which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. files tax returns may not be finalized for several years. Thus, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s final tax-related assets and liabilities may ultimately be different from those currently reported.

#### Effective tax rate and expense

A reconciliation of the applicable statutory U.S. income tax rate to the effective tax rate for each of the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, is presented in the following table.

#### Effective tax rate

Year ended December 31,	2015	2014	2013
Statutory U.S. federal tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Increase/(decrease) in tax rate resulting from:			
u.S. state and local income taxes, net of u.S. federal income tax benefit	2.8	2.6	2.5
Tax-exempt income	(3.3)	(3.1)	(2.0)
Non-U.S. subsidiary earnings(a)	(5.2)	(3.1)	(5.5)
Business tax credits	(2.6)	(2.8)	(2.3)
Nondeductible legal expense	0.7	3.3	7.7
Other, net	(1.3)	(1.9)	(1.2)
Effective tax rate	26.1%	30.0%	34.2%

<sup>(</sup>a) Predominantly includes earnings of U.K. subsidiaries that are deemed to be reinvested indefinitely.

The components of income tax expense/(benefit) included in the Consolidated statements of income were as follows for each of the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013.

#### Income tax expense/(benefit)

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	201	5	2014	2013
Current income tax expense/(benefit)				
U.S. federal	\$ 3,10	9 \$ 1	,101	\$ (696)
Non-U.S.	96	3 1	,030	801
U.S. state and local	85	8	424	(59)
Total current income tax expense/ (benefit)	4,93	0 2	2,555	46
Deferred income tax expense/(benefit)				
U.S. federal	1,01	<b>3</b> 3	3,307	6,983
Non-U.S.	(9	4)	82	24
U.S. state and local	13	1	387	914
Total deferred income tax expense/ (benefit)	1,05	0 3	3,776	7,921
Total income tax expense	\$ 5,98	<b>0</b> \$ 6	,331	\$ 7,967

Total income tax expense includes \$311 million, \$399 million and \$388 million of tax benefits recorded in 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively, as a result of tax audit resolutions. In 2013, the relationship between current and deferred income tax expense was largely driven by the reversal of significant deferred tax assets as well as prioryear tax adjustments and audit resolutions.

Tax effect of items recorded in Stockholder's equity
The preceding table does not reflect the tax effect of certain items that are recorded each period directly in stockholder's equity. The tax effect of all items recorded directly to stockholder's equity resulted in an increase of \$1.2 billion in 2015, a decrease of \$1.1 billion in 2014, and an increase of \$2.7 billion in 2013.

#### Results from Non-U.S. earnings

The following table presents the U.S. and non-U.S. components of income before income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2013
u.s.	\$16,691	\$14,959	\$16,330
Non-U.S. <sup>(a)</sup>	6,217	6,131	7,029
Income before income tax expense	\$22,908	\$21,090	\$23,359

(a) For purposes of this table, non-U.S. income is defined as income generated from operations located outside the U.S.

U.S. federal income taxes have not been provided on the undistributed earnings of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries, to the extent that such earnings have been reinvested abroad for an indefinite period of time. Based on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s ongoing review of the business requirements and capital needs of its non-U.S. subsidiaries, combined with the formation of specific strategies and steps taken to fulfill these requirements and needs, JPMorgan Chase Bank,

N.A. has determined that the undistributed earnings of certain of its subsidiaries would be indefinitely reinvested to fund current and future growth of the related businesses. As management does not intend to use the earnings of these subsidiaries as a source of funding for its U.S. operations, such earnings will not be distributed to the U.S. in the foreseeable future. For 2015, pretax earnings of \$3.5 billion were generated and will be indefinitely reinvested in these subsidiaries. At December 31, 2015, the cumulative amount of undistributed pretax earnings in these subsidiaries were \$34.6 billion. If JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. were to record a deferred tax liability associated with these undistributed earnings, the amount would be approximately \$8.2 billion at December 31, 2015.

These undistributed earnings are related to subsidiaries located predominantly in the U.K. where the 2015 statutory tax rate was 20.25%.

#### Affordable housing tax credits

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. recognized \$1.5 billion, \$1.4 billion and \$1.4 billion of tax credits and other tax benefits associated with investments in affordable housing projects within income tax expense for the years 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The amount of amortization of such investments reported in income tax expense under the current period presentation during these years was \$1.0 billion, \$955 million and \$850 million, respectively. The carrying value of these investments, which are reported in other assets on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets, was \$7.3 billion and \$6.9 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The amount of commitments related to these investments, which are reported in accounts payable and other liabilities on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets, was \$2.0 billion and \$1.7 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

#### **Deferred taxes**

Deferred income tax expense/(benefit) results from differences between assets and liabilities measured for financial reporting purposes versus income tax return purposes. Deferred tax assets are recognized if, in management's judgment, their realizability is determined to be more likely than not. If a deferred tax asset is determined to be unrealizable, a valuation allowance is established. The significant components of deferred tax assets and liabilities are reflected in the following table as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014
Deferred tax assets		
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 4,311	\$ 4,618
Employee benefits	831	1,049
Accrued expenses and other	3,722	4,218
Non-U.S. operations	3,766	1,322
Tax attribute carryforwards	58	9
Gross deferred tax assets	12,688	11,216
Valuation allowance	(14)	_
Deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance	\$ 12,674	\$ 11,216
Deferred tax liabilities		
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 1,856	\$ 1,785
Mortgage servicing rights, net of hedges	4,968	5,489
Leasing transactions	2,842	2,323
Non-U.S. operations	3,190	1,236
Other, net	2,138	3,314
Gross deferred tax liabilities	14,994	14,147
Net deferred tax (liabilities)/assets	\$ (2,320)	\$ (2,931)

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has recorded deferred tax assets of \$58 million at December 31, 2015, in connection with non-U.S. net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards. At December 31, 2015, total non-U.S. NOL carryforwards were \$288 million. If not utilized, the non-U.S. NOL carryforwards will expire between 2016 and 2017.

#### **Unrecognized tax benefits**

At December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s unrecognized tax benefits, excluding related interest expense and penalties, were \$2.0 billion, \$2.2 billion and \$3.0 billion, respectively, of which \$1.6 billion, \$1.5 billion and \$1.9 billion, respectively, if recognized, would reduce the annual effective tax rate. Included in the amount of unrecognized tax benefits are certain items that would not affect the effective tax rate if they were recognized in the Consolidated statements of income. These unrecognized items include the tax effect of certain temporary differences, the portion of gross state and local unrecognized tax benefits that would be offset by the benefit from associated U.S. federal income tax deductions, and the portion of gross non-U.S. unrecognized tax benefits that would have offsets in other jurisdictions. JPMorgan Chase is presently under audit by a number of taxing authorities, most notably by the Internal Revenue Service, as summarized in the Tax examination status table below.

As JPMorgan Chase is presently under audit by a number of taxing authorities, it is reasonably possible that over the next 12 months the resolution of these examinations may increase or decrease the gross balance of unrecognized tax benefits by as much as approximately \$700 million or \$300 million, respectively. Upon settlement of an audit, the change in the unrecognized tax benefit balance would result from payment or income statement recognition.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014	2013
Balance at January 1,	\$ 2,195	\$ 3,001	\$ 4,684
Increases based on tax positions related to the current period	265	688	313
Increases based on tax positions related to prior periods	393	453	70
Decreases based on tax positions related to prior periods	(672)	(1,942)	(2,065)
Decreases related to cash settlements with taxing authorities	(149)	(5)	(1)
Balance at December 31,	\$ 2,032	\$ 2,195	\$ 3,001

After-tax interest expense/(benefit) and penalties related to income tax liabilities recognized in income tax expense were \$4 million, \$47 million and \$(178) million in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, in addition to the liability for unrecognized tax benefits, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had accrued \$354 million and \$556 million, respectively, for income tax-related interest and penalties.

#### Tax examination status

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is continually under examination by the Internal Revenue Service, by taxing authorities throughout the world, and by many states throughout the U.S. The following table summarizes the status of significant income tax examinations of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and its consolidated subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015.

December 31, 2015	Periods under examination	Status
JPMorgan Chase - U.S.	2003 - 2005	Field examination completed, at Appellate level
JPMorgan Chase - U.S.	2006 - 2010	Field examination completed, JPMorgan Chase filed amended returns and intends to appeal
o .	2006 - 2010	• • •
JPMorgan Chase - U.S.	2011 - 2013	Field Examination
JPMorgan Chase - New York State	2008 - 2011	Field Examination
JPMorgan Chase - California	2011 - 2012	Field Examination
JPMorgan Chase - United Kingdom	2006 - 2012	Field examination of certain select entities

# Note 25 - Restrictions on cash and intercompany funds transfers

The business of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is subject to examination and regulation by the OCC. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is a member of the U.S. Federal Reserve System, and its deposits in the U.S. are insured by the FDIC.

The Federal Reserve requires depository institutions to maintain cash reserves with a Federal Reserve Bank. The average required amount of reserve balances deposited by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. with various Federal Reserve Banks was approximately \$14.4 billion and \$10.6 billion in 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Restrictions imposed by U.S. federal law prohibit JPMorgan Chase & Co. and certain of its affiliates from borrowing from JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and other banking subsidiaries unless the loans are secured in specified amounts. Such secured loans by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to any particular affiliate, together with certain other transactions with such affiliate, (collectively referred to as "covered transactions"), are generally limited to 10% of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s total capital, as determined by the risk-based capital guidelines; the aggregate amount of covered transactions between JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and all affiliates is limited to 20% of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s total capital.

In addition to dividend restrictions set forth in statutes and regulations, the OCC, and under certain circumstances the FDIC, have authority under the Financial Institutions Supervisory Act to prohibit or to limit the payment of dividends by the banking organizations they supervise, including JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. if, in the banking regulator's opinion, payment of a dividend would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice in light of the financial condition of the banking organization.

At January 1, 2016, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. could pay, in the aggregate, approximately \$24 billion in dividends to JPMorgan Chase without the prior approval of its relevant banking regulators. The capacity to pay dividends in 2016 will be supplemented by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s earnings during the year.

In compliance with rules and regulations established by U.S. and non-U.S. regulators, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, cash in the amount of \$5.6 billion and \$9.6 billion, respectively, were segregated in special bank accounts for the benefit of securities and futures brokerage customers. Also, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had receivables within other assets of \$4.9 billion and \$4.2 billion, respectively, consisting of cash deposited with clearing organizations for the benefit of customers. In addition, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had other restricted cash of \$3.2 billion and \$2.8 billion, respectively, primarily representing cash reserves held at non-U.S. central banks and held for other general purposes.

#### Note 26 - Regulatory capital

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s banking regulator, the OCC, establishes capital requirements, including well-capitalized standards for national banks.

#### Basel III overview

Basel III capital rules for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., revised, among other things, the definition of capital and introduced a new common equity tier 1 capital ("CET1 capital") requirement. Basel III presents two comprehensive methodologies for calculating risk-weighted assets ("RWA"), a general (Standardized) approach, which replaced Basel I RWA effective January 1, 2015 ("Basel III Standardized") and an advanced approach, which replaced Basel II RWA ("Basel III Advanced"); and sets out minimum capital ratios and overall capital adequacy standards. Certain of the requirements of Basel III are subject to phase-in periods that began on January 1, 2014 and continue through the end of 2018 ("transitional period").

#### Definition of capital

Basel III revised Basel I and II by narrowing the definition of capital and increasing the capital requirements for specific exposures. Under Basel III, CET1 capital predominantly includes common stockholder's equity (including capital for AOCI related to debt and equity securities classified as AFS as well as for defined benefit pension and other postretirement employee benefit ("OPEB") plans), less certain deductions for goodwill, MSRs and deferred tax assets that arise from net operating loss ("NOL") and tax credit carryforwards. Tier 1 capital is predominantly comprised of CET1 capital as well as qualifying perpetual preferred stock. Tier 2 capital includes long-term debt qualifying as Tier 2 and qualifying allowance for credit losses. Total capital is Tier 1 capital plus Tier 2 capital. The revisions to CET1 capital, Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital are subject to phase-in periods that began January 1, 2014. and continue through the end of 2018, and during that period, CET1 capital, Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital represent Basel III Transitional capital.

#### Risk-weighted assets

Basel III establishes two comprehensive methodologies for calculating RWA (a Standardized approach and an Advanced approach) which include capital requirements for credit risk, market risk, and in the case of Basel III Advanced, also operational risk. Key differences in the calculation of credit risk RWA between the Standardized and Advanced approaches are that for Basel III Advanced, credit risk RWA is based on risk-sensitive approaches which largely rely on the use of internal credit models and parameters, whereas for Basel III Standardized, credit risk RWA is generally based on supervisory risk-weightings which vary primarily by counterparty type and asset class. Market risk RWA is calculated on a generally consistent basis between Basel III Standardized and Basel III Advanced, both of which incorporate the requirements set forth in Basel 2.5. In addition to the RWA calculated under these methodologies, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may supplement such amounts

to incorporate management judgment and feedback from its bank regulators.

Risk-based capital regulatory minimums

The Basel III rules include minimum capital ratio requirements that are also subject to phase-in periods and will become fully phased-in on January 1, 2019. Basel III also establishes a minimum 6.5% CET1 standard for the definition of "well-capitalized" under the Prompt Corrective Action ("PCA") requirements of the EDIC Improvement Act

definition of "well-capitalized" under the Prompt Corrective Action ("PCA") requirements of the FDIC Improvement Act ("FDICIA"). The CET1 standard was effective beginning with the first reporting period of 2015.

Under the risk-based capital guidelines of the OCC, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is required to maintain minimum ratios of CET1, Tier 1 and Total capital to risk-weighted assets, as well as a minimum leverage ratio (which is defined as Tier 1 capital divided by adjusted quarterly average assets). Failure to meet these minimum requirements could cause the OCC to take action. The following table presents the minimum ratios to which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is subject to as of December 31, 2015.

	Minimum capital ratios <sup>(a)</sup>	Well- capitalized ratios <sup>(b)</sup>
Capital ratios	,	,
CET1	4.5%	6.5%
Tier 1	6.0	8.0
Total	8.0	10.0
Tier 1 leverage	4.0	5.0

- (a) As defined by the regulations issued by the OCC and FDIC and to which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and its subsidiaries are subject.
- (b) Represents requirements for bank subsidiaries pursuant to regulations issued under the FDIC Improvement Act.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

As of December 31, 2015, and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. was well-capitalized and met all capital requirements to which it was subject.

The following table presents the regulatory capital, assets and risk-based capital ratios for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. under both Basel III Standardized Transitional and Basel III Advanced Transitional at December 31, 2015 and 2014.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (f)

		Basel III Standardized Transitional			Advanced tional
(in millions, except ratios)	Dec 31, 2015		Dec 31, 2014	Dec 31, 2015	Dec 31, 2014
Regulatory capital					
CET1 capital	\$ 168,857		\$ 156,567	\$ 168,857	\$ 156,567
Tier 1 capital <sup>(a)</sup>	169,222		156,891	169,222	156,891
Total capital	183,262		173,322	176,423	166,326
Assets					
Risk-weighted <sup>(b)</sup>	1,264,056	(f)	1,230,358	1,249,607	1,330,175
Adjusted average <sup>(c)</sup>	1,913,448		1,968,131	1,913,448	1,968,131
Capital ratios(d)					
CET1	13.4%		12.7%	13.5%	11.8%
Tier 1 <sup>(a)</sup>	13.4		12.8	13.5	11.8
Total	14.5		14.1	14.1	12.5
Tier 1 leverage <sup>(e)</sup>	8.8		8.0	8.8	8.0

- (a) At December 31, 2015, preferred securities of subsidiaries included in Basel III Tier 1 capital were \$420 million for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
- (b) Effective January 1, 2015, the Basel III Standardized RWA is calculated under the Basel III definition of the Standardized approach. Prior periods were based on Basel I (inclusive of Basel 2.5).
- (c) Adjusted average assets, for purposes of calculating the Tier 1 leverage ratio, includes total quarterly average assets adjusted for unrealized gains/(losses) on securities, less deductions for goodwill and other intangible assets, defined benefit pension plan assets, and deferred tax assets related to net operating loss carryforwards.
- (d) For each of the risk-based capital ratios, the capital adequacy of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and its subsidiaries are evaluated against the Basel III approach, Standardized or Advanced, resulting in the lower ratio (the "Collins Floor"), as required by the Collins Amendment of the Dodd-Frank Act.
- (e) The Tier 1 leverage ratio is not a risk-based measure of capital. This ratio is calculated by dividing Tier 1 capital by adjusted average assets.
- (f) Assets and capital amounts for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and its subsidiaries reflect intercompany transactions.
- Note: Rating agencies allow measures of capital to be adjusted upward for deferred tax liabilities, which have resulted from both non-taxable business combinations and from tax-deductible goodwill. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had deferred tax liabilities resulting from non-taxable business combinations of \$46 million and \$63 million at December 31, 2015, and 2014, respectively; and deferred tax liabilities resulting from tax-deductible goodwill of \$1.7 billion and \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2015, and 2014, respectively.

#### Supplementary leverage ratio ("SLR")

Basel III also includes a requirement for Advanced Approach banking organizations to calculate a SLR. The SLR is defined as Tier 1 capital under Basel III divided by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s total leverage exposure. Total leverage exposure is calculated by taking JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s total average on-balance sheet assets, less amounts permitted to be deducted for Tier 1 capital, and adding certain off-balance sheet exposures, such as undrawn commitments and derivatives potential future exposure.

On September 3, 2014, the U.S. banking regulators adopted a final rule for the calculation of the SLR. The U.S. final rule requires public disclosure of the SLR beginning with the first quarter of 2015, and also requires JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to have a minimum SLR of 6%, beginning January 1, 2018.

### Repayment of subordinated debt payable to JPMorgan Chase and JPMorgan Chase's capital contribution

During 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. prepaid to JPMorgan Chase \$5.9 billion (carrying value) of subordinated debt for cash of \$5.4 billion (fair value). The difference between the fair and carrying values of the subordinated debt was accounted for in accordance with U.S. GAAP for transactions between related parties as an equity transaction, which is reported as a contribution of capital from JPMorgan Chase to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. in the Consolidated statements of changes in stockholder's equity. The capital contribution increased the CET 1 capital and Tier 1 capital of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and was used for general banking purposes.

# Note 27 - Off-balance sheet lending-related financial instruments, guarantees, and other commitments

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. provides lending-related financial instruments (e.g., commitments and guarantees) to meet the financing needs of its customers. The contractual amount of these financial instruments represents the maximum possible credit risk to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. should the counterparty draw upon the commitment or JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. be required to fulfill its obligation under the guarantee, and should the counterparty subsequently fail to perform according to the terms of the contract. Most of these commitments and guarantees expire without being drawn or a default occurring. As a result, the total contractual amount of these instruments is not, in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s view, representative of its actual future credit exposure or funding requirements.

To provide for probable credit losses inherent in wholesale and certain consumer lending-commitments, an allowance for credit losses on lending-related commitments is maintained. See Note 16 for further information regarding the allowance for credit losses on lending-related commitments. The following table summarizes the contractual amounts and carrying values of off-balance sheet lending-related financial instruments, guarantees and other commitments at December 31, 2015 and 2014. The amounts in the table below for credit card and home equity lending-related commitments represent the total available credit for these products. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has not experienced, and does not anticipate, that all available lines of credit for these products will be utilized at the same time. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. can reduce or cancel credit card lines of credit by providing the borrower notice or, in some cases as permitted by law, without notice. In addition, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. typically closes credit card lines when the borrower is 60 days or more past due. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may reduce or close home equity lines of credit when there are significant decreases in the value of the underlying property, or when there has been a demonstrable decline in the creditworthiness of the borrower.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

#### Off-balance sheet lending-related financial instruments, guarantees and other commitments

	Contractual amount					Carrying value <sup>©</sup>		
			2015			2014	2015	2014
By remaining maturity at December 31, (in millions)	Expires in 1 year or less	Expires after 1 year through 3 years	Expires after 3 years through 5 years	Expires after 5 years	Total	Total		
Lending-related								
Consumer, excluding credit card:								
Home equity - senior lien	\$ 1,546	\$ 3,817	\$ 726	\$ 4,743	\$ 10,832	\$ 11,783	\$ -	\$ -
Home equity - junior lien	2,375	4,354	657	4,538	11,924	14,783	_	_
Prime mortgage <sup>(a)</sup>	12,992	_	_	_	12,992	8,579	_	_
Subprime mortgage	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Auto	8,907	1,160	80	90	10,237	10,462	2	2
Business banking	11,247	699	92	475	12,513	12,052	12	11
Student and other	4	3	_	135	142	526		
Total consumer, excluding credit card <sup>(b)</sup>	37,071	10,033	1,555	9,981	58,640	58,185	14	13
Credit card	10,386	_	_	_	10,386	29,065		_
Total consumer <sup>(b)</sup>	47,457	10,033	1,555	9,981	69,026	87,250	14	13
Wholesale:								
Other unfunded commitments to extend $credit^{(c)(d)(e)(f)}$	80,289	89,833	140,474	6,898	317,494	311,841	649	490
Standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees <sup>(c)(e)(f)</sup>	16,297	14,287	5,819	2,944	39,347	44,496	548	669
Other letters of credit <sup>(c)</sup>	3,570	304	67	_	3,941	4,331	2	1
Total wholesale <sup>(g)</sup>	100,156	104,424	146,360	9,842	360,782	360,668	1,199	1,160
Total lending-related	\$ 147,613	\$ 114,457	\$ 147,915	\$ 19,823	\$ 429,808	\$ 447,918	\$ 1,213	\$ 1,173
Other guarantees and commitments								
Securities lending indemnification agreements and guarantees <sup>(h)</sup>	\$ 187,850	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 187,850	\$ 181,047	\$ -	\$ -
Derivatives qualifying as guarantees	3,194	285	11,160	39,144	53,783	53,068	222	56
Unsettled reverse repurchase and securities borrowing agreements	38,026	_	_	_	38,026	38,364	_	_
Unsettled repurchase and securities lending agreements	20,008	_	_	_	20,008	40,441	_	_
Loan sale and securitization-related indemnifications:								
Mortgage repurchase liability	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	144	252
Loans sold with recourse	NA	NA	NA	NA	3,751	5,517	45	71
Other guarantees and commitments(i)	1,179	11,673	987	1,074	14,913	16,247	(113)	(147)

- (a) Includes certain commitments to purchase loans from correspondents.
- (b) Predominantly all consumer lending-related commitments are in the U.S.
- (c) At December 31, 2015 and 2014, reflects the contractual amount net of risk participations totaling \$385 million and \$243 million, respectively, for other unfunded commitments to extend credit; \$11.2 billion and \$13.0 billion, respectively, for standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees; and \$341 million and \$469 million, respectively, for other letters of credit. In regulatory filings with the Federal Reserve these commitments are shown gross of risk participations.
- (d) At both December 31, 2015 and 2014, included commitments to affiliates of \$16 million.
- (e) At December 31, 2015 and 2014, included credit enhancements and bond and commercial paper liquidity commitments to U.S. states and municipalities, hospitals and other nonprofit entities of \$12.3 billion and \$14.8 billion, respectively, within other unfunded commitments to extend credit; and \$9.6 billion and \$13.3 billion, respectively, within standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees. Other unfunded commitments to extend credit also include liquidity facilities to nonconsolidated municipal bond VIEs; see Note 17.
- (f) Effective in 2015, commitments to issue standby letters of credit, including those that could be issued under multipurpose facilities are, presented as other unfunded commitments to extend credit. Previously, such commitments were presented as standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees. At December 31, 2014, these commitments were \$45.6 billion. Prior period amounts have been revised to conform with current period presentation.
- (g) Effective January 1, 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. no longer includes within its disclosure of wholesale lending-related commitments the unused amounts of advised uncommitted lines of credit as it is within JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s discretion whether or not to make a loan under these lines, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s approval is generally required prior to funding. Prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current period presentation.
- (h) At December 31, 2015 and 2014, collateral held by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. in support of securities lending indemnification agreements was \$195.2 billion and \$187.4 billion, respectively. Securities lending collateral comprises primarily cash and securities issued by governments that are members of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development ("OECD") and U.S. government agencies.
- (i) At December 31, 2015 and 2014, included guarantees of the obligations of affiliates of \$10.3 billion and \$11.8 billion; and unfunded equity investment commitments of \$2 million and \$26 million, respectively. In addition, at both December 31, 2015 and 2014, included letters of credit hedged by derivative transactions and managed on a market risk basis of \$4.6 billion and \$4.5 billion, respectively.
- (j) For lending-related products, the carrying value represents the allowance for lending-related commitments and the guarantee liability; for derivative-related products, the carrying value represents the fair value.

#### Other unfunded commitments to extend credit

Other unfunded commitments to extend credit generally consist of commitments for working capital and general corporate purposes, extensions of credit to support commercial paper facilities and bond financings in the event that those obligations cannot be remarketed to new investors, as well as committed liquidity facilities to clearing organizations. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also issues commitments under multipurpose facilities which could be drawn upon in several forms, including the issuance of a standby letter of credit.

Also included in other unfunded commitments to extend credit are commitments to noninvestment-grade counterparties in connection with leveraged finance activities, which were \$32.0 billion and \$23.2 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. For further information, see Note 4 and Note 5.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. acts as a settlement and custody bank in the U.S. tri-party repurchase transaction market. In its role as settlement and custody bank, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is exposed to the intra-day credit risk of its cash borrower clients, usually broker-dealers. This exposure arises under secured clearance advance facilities that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. extends to its clients (i.e. cash borrowers); these facilities contractually limit JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s intra-day credit risk to the facility amount and must be repaid by the end of the day. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the secured clearance advance facility maximum outstanding commitment amount was \$4.9 billion and \$15.5 billion, respectively.

#### Guarantees

U.S. GAAP requires that a guarantor recognize, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability in an amount equal to the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. U.S. GAAP defines a guarantee as a contract that contingently requires the guarantor to pay a guaranteed party based upon: (a) changes in an underlying asset, liability or equity security of the guaranteed party; or (b) a third party's failure to perform under a specified agreement. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. considers the following off-balance sheet lending-related arrangements to be guarantees under U.S. GAAP: standby letters of credit and financial guarantees, securities lending indemnifications, certain indemnification agreements included within third-party contractual arrangements and certain derivative contracts.

As required by U.S. GAAP, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. initially records guarantees at the inception date fair value of the obligation assumed (e.g., the amount of consideration received or the net present value of the premium receivable). For certain types of guarantees. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. records this fair value amount in other liabilities with an offsetting entry recorded in cash (for premiums received), or other assets (for premiums receivable). Any premium receivable recorded in other assets is reduced as cash is received under the contract, and the fair value of the liability recorded at inception is amortized into income as lending and deposit-related fees over the life of the guarantee contract. For indemnifications provided in sales agreements, a portion of the sale proceeds is allocated to the guarantee, which adjusts the gain or loss that would otherwise result from the transaction. For these indemnifications, the initial liability is amortized to income as JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s risk is reduced (i.e., over time or when the indemnification expires). Any contingent liability that exists as a result of issuing the guarantee or indemnification is recognized when it becomes probable and reasonably estimable. The contingent portion of the liability is not recognized if the estimated amount is less than the carrying amount of the liability recognized at inception (adjusted for any amortization). The recorded amounts of the liabilities related to guarantees and indemnifications at December 31, 2015 and 2014, excluding the allowance for credit losses on lending-related commitments, are discussed below.

Standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees Standby letters of credit ("SBLC") and other financial guarantees are conditional lending commitments issued by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party under certain arrangements. such as commercial paper facilities, bond financings, acquisition financings, trade and similar transactions. The carrying values of standby and other letters of credit were \$550 million and \$670 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, which were classified in accounts payable and other liabilities on the Consolidated balance sheets; these carrying values included \$123 million and \$116 million, respectively, for the allowance for lendingrelated commitments, and \$427 million and \$554 million. respectively, for the guarantee liability and corresponding asset.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

The following table summarizes the types of facilities under which standby letters of credit and other letters of credit arrangements are outstanding by the ratings profiles of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s customers, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

#### Standby letters of credit, other financial guarantees and other letters of credit

	2015				2014				
ecember 31, n millions)		Standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees <sup>(b)</sup>		Other letters of credit		Standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees <sup>(b)</sup>		Other letters of credit	
Investment-grade <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	31,751	\$	3,290	\$	37,708	\$	3,476	
Noninvestment-grade <sup>(a)</sup>		7,382		650		6,788		855	
Total contractual amount	\$	39,133	\$	3,940	\$	44,496	\$	4,331	
Allowance for lending-related commitments	\$	121	\$	2	\$	115	\$	1	
Commitments with collateral		18,825		996		20,750		1,509	

- (a) The ratings scale is based on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s internal ratings, which generally correspond to ratings as defined by S&P and Moody's.
- (b) Effective in 2015, commitments to issue standby letters of credit, including those that could be issued under multipurpose facilities, are presented as other unfunded commitments to extend credit. Previously, such commitments were presented as standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees. At December 31, 2014, these commitments were \$45.6 billion. Prior period amounts have been revised to conform with current period presentation.

#### **Securities lending indemnifications**

Through JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s securities lending program, customers' securities, via custodial and noncustodial arrangements, may be lent to third parties. As part of this program, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. provides an indemnification in the lending agreements which protects the lender against the failure of the borrower to return the lent securities. To minimize its liability under these indemnification agreements, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. obtains cash or other highly liquid collateral with a market value exceeding 100% of the value of the securities on loan from the borrower. Collateral is marked to market daily to help assure that collateralization is adequate. Additional collateral is called from the borrower if a shortfall exists, or collateral may be released to the borrower in the event of overcollateralization. If a borrower defaults, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. would use the collateral held to purchase replacement securities in the market or to credit the lending customer with the cash equivalent thereof.

#### Derivatives qualifying as guarantees

In addition to the contracts described above, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. transacts certain derivative contracts that have the characteristics of a guarantee under U.S. GAAP. These contracts include written put options that require JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to purchase assets upon exercise by the option holder at a specified price by a specified date in the future. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.may enter into written put option contracts in order to meet client needs, or for other trading purposes. The terms of written put options are typically five years or less. Derivatives deemed to be guarantees also include contracts such as stable value derivatives that require JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to make a payment of the difference between the market value and the book value of a counterparty's reference portfolio of assets in the event that market value is less than book value and certain other

conditions have been met. Stable value derivatives, commonly referred to as "stable value wraps", are transacted in order to allow investors to realize investment returns with less volatility than an unprotected portfolio and are typically longer-term or may have no stated maturity, but allow JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to terminate the contract under certain conditions.

Derivatives deemed to be guarantees are recorded on the Consolidated balance sheets at fair value in trading assets and trading liabilities. The total notional value of the derivatives that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. deems to be guarantees was \$53.8 billion and \$53.1 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The notional amount generally represents JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s maximum exposure to derivatives qualifying as guarantees. However, exposure to certain stable value contracts is contractually limited to a substantially lower percentage of the notional amount; the notional amount on these stable value contracts was \$28.4 billion and \$27.5 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and the maximum exposure to loss was \$3.0 billion and \$2.9 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The fair values of the contracts reflect the probability of whether JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. will be required to perform under the contract. The fair value of derivatives that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. deems to be guarantees were derivative payables of \$236 million and \$78 million and derivative receivables of \$14 million and \$22 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. reduces exposures to these contracts by entering into offsetting transactions, or by entering into contracts that hedge the market risk related to the derivative guarantees.

In addition to derivative contracts that meet the characteristics of a guarantee, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is both a purchaser and seller of credit protection in the

credit derivatives market. For a further discussion of credit derivatives, see Note 7.

# Unsettled reverse repurchase and securities borrowing agreements, and unsettled repurchase and securities lending agreements

In the normal course of business, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. enters into reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowing agreements, which are secured financing agreements. Such agreements settle at a future date. At settlement, these commitments result in JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. advancing cash to and receiving securities collateral from the counterparty. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also enters into repurchase agreements and securities lending agreements. At settlement, these commitments result in JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. receiving cash from and providing securities collateral to the counterparty. These agreements generally do not meet the definition of a derivative, and therefore, are not recorded on the Consolidated balance sheets until settlement date. These agreements predominantly consist of agreements with regular-way settlement periods. For a further discussion of securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowed, and securities sold under repurchase agreements and securities loaned, see Note 14.

#### Loan sales- and securitization-related indemnifications

Mortgage repurchase liability

In connection with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s mortgage loan sale and securitization activities with U.S. GSEs, as described in Note 17, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has made representations and warranties that the loans sold meet certain requirements. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has been, and may be, required to repurchase loans and/or indemnify U.S. GSEs (e.g., with "make-whole" payments to reimburse U.S. GSEs for their realized losses on liquidated loans). To the extent that repurchase demands that are received relate to loans that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. purchased from third parties that remain viable, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. typically will have the right to seek a recovery of related repurchase losses from the third party. Generally, the maximum amount of future payments JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. would be required to make for breaches of these representations and warranties would be equal to the unpaid principal balance of such loans that are deemed to have defects that were sold to purchasers (including securitization-related SPEs) plus, in certain circumstances, accrued interest on such loans and certain expense. The carrying values of the repurchase liabilities were \$144 million and \$252 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

#### Private label securitizations

The liability related to repurchase demands associated with private label securitizations is separately evaluated by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. in establishing its litigation reserves.

On November 15, 2013, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. announced that it had reached a \$4.5 billion agreement

with 21major institutional investors to make a binding offer to the trustees of 330 residential mortgage-backed securities trusts issued by J.P.Morgan, Chase, and Bear Stearns ("RMBS Trust Settlement") to resolve all representation and warranty claims, as well as all servicing claims, on all trusts issued by J.P. Morgan, Chase, and Bear Stearns between 2005 and 2008. For further information see Note 29.

In addition, from 2005 to 2008, Washington Mutual made certain loan level representations and warranties in connection with approximately \$165 billion of residential mortgage loans that were originally sold or deposited into private-label securitizations by Washington Mutual. Of the \$165 billion, approximately \$81 billion has been repaid. In addition, approximately \$50 billion of the principal amount of such loans has liquidated with an average loss severity of 59%. Accordingly, the remaining outstanding principal balance of these loans as of December 31, 2015, was approximately \$33 billion, of which \$6 billion was 60 days or more past due. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. believes that any repurchase obligations related to these loans remain with the FDIC receivership.

For additional information regarding litigation, see Note 29.

#### Loans sold with recourse

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. provides servicing for mortgages and certain commercial lending products on both a recourse and nonrecourse basis. In nonrecourse servicing, the principal credit risk to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is the cost of temporary servicing advances of funds (i.e., normal servicing advances). In recourse servicing, the servicer agrees to share credit risk with the owner of the mortgage loans, such as Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac or a private investor, insurer or guarantor. Losses on recourse servicing predominantly occur when foreclosure sales proceeds of the property underlying a defaulted loan are less than the sum of the outstanding principal balance, plus accrued interest on the loan and the cost of holding and disposing of the underlying property. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s securitizations are predominantly nonrecourse. thereby effectively transferring the risk of future credit losses to the purchaser of the mortgage-backed securities issued by the trust. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the unpaid principal balance of loans sold with recourse totaled \$3.8 billion and \$5.5 billion, respectively. The carrying value of the related liability that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has recorded, which is representative of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s view of the likelihood it will have to perform under its recourse obligations, was \$45 million and \$71 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

#### Other off-balance sheet arrangements

Indemnification agreements - general In connection with issuing securities to investors, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may enter into contractual arrangements with third parties that require JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

to make a payment to them in the event of a change in tax law or an adverse interpretation of tax law. In certain cases, the contract also may include a termination clause, which would allow JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to settle the contract at its fair value in lieu of making a payment under the indemnification clause. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may also enter into indemnification clauses in connection with the licensing of software to clients ("software licensees") or when it sells a business or assets to a third party ("thirdparty purchasers"), pursuant to which it indemnifies software licensees for claims of liability or damages that may occur subsequent to the licensing of the software, or third-party purchasers for losses they may incur due to actions taken by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. prior to the sale of the business or assets. It is difficult to estimate JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s maximum exposure under these indemnification arrangements, since this would require an assessment of future changes in tax law and future claims that may be made against JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. that have not yet occurred. However, based on historical experience, management expects the risk of loss to be remote.

#### Card charge-backs

Commerce Solutions, Card's merchant services business, is a global leader in payment processing and merchant acquiring.

Under the rules of Visa USA, Inc., and MasterCard International, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., is primarily liable for the amount of each processed card sales transaction that is the subject of a dispute between a cardmember and a merchant. If a dispute is resolved in the cardmember's favor, Commerce Solutions will (through the cardmember's issuing bank) credit or refund the amount to the cardmember and will charge back the transaction to the merchant. If Commerce Solutions is unable to collect the amount from the merchant, Commerce Solutions will bear the loss for the amount credited or refunded to the cardmember. Commerce Solutions mitigates this risk by withholding future settlements, retaining cash reserve accounts or by obtaining other security. However, in the unlikely event that: (1) a merchant ceases operations and is unable to deliver products, services or a refund; (2) Commerce Solutions does not have sufficient collateral from the merchant to provide customer refunds; and (3) Commerce Solutions does not have sufficient financial resources to provide customer refunds, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., would recognize the loss.

Commerce Solutions incurred aggregate losses of \$12 million, \$10 million, and \$14 million on \$949.3 billion, \$847.9 billion, and \$750.1 billion of aggregate volume processed for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Incurred losses from merchant charge-backs are charged to other expense, with the offset recorded in a valuation allowance against accrued interest and accounts receivable on the Consolidated balance

sheets. The carrying value of the valuation allowance was \$20 million and \$4 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, which JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.believes, based on historical experience and the collateral held by Commerce Solutions of \$136 million and \$174 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, is representative of the payment or performance risk to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. related to charge-backs.

#### Clearing Services - Client Credit Risk

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. provides clearing services for clients by entering into securities purchases and sales and derivative transactions, with CCPs, including ETDs such as futures and options, as well as OTC-cleared derivative contracts. As a clearing member, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. stands behind the performance of its clients, collects cash and securities collateral (margin) as well as any settlement amounts due from or to clients, and remits them to the relevant CCP or client in whole or part. There are two types of margin. Variation margin is posted on a daily basis based on the value of clients' derivative contracts. Initial margin is posted at inception of a derivative contract, generally on the basis of the potential changes in the variation margin requirement for the contract.

As clearing member, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is exposed to the risk of nonperformance by its clients, but is not liable to clients for the performance of the CCPs. Where possible, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. seeks to mitigate its risk to the client through the collection of appropriate amounts of margin at inception and throughout the life of the transactions. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. can also cease providing clearing services if clients do not adhere to their obligations under the clearing agreement. In the event of non-performance by a client, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. would close out the client's positions and access available margin. The CCP would utilize any margin it holds to make itself whole, with any remaining shortfalls required to be paid by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as a clearing member.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. reflects its exposure to nonperformance risk of the client through the recognition of margin payables or receivables to clients and CCPs, but does not reflect the clients' underlying securities or derivative contracts on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

It is difficult to estimate JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s maximum possible exposure through its role as a clearing member, as this would require an assessment of transactions that clients may execute in the future. However, based upon historical experience, and the credit risk mitigants available to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., management believes it is unlikely that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. will have to make any material payments under these arrangements and the risk of loss is expected to be remote.

For information on the derivatives that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. executes for its own account and records in its Consolidated Financial Statements, see Note 7.

Exchange & Clearing House Memberships JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is a member of several securities and derivative exchanges and clearing houses, both in the U.S. and other countries, and it provides clearing services. Membership in some of these organizations requires JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to pay a pro rata share of the losses incurred by the organization as a result of the default of another member. Such obligations vary with different organizations. These obligations may be limited to members who dealt with the defaulting member or to the amount (or a multiple of the amount) of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s contribution to the guarantee fund maintained by a clearing house or exchange as part of the resources available to cover any losses in the event of a member default. Alternatively, these obligations may be a full prorata share of the residual losses after applying the guarantee fund. Additionally, certain clearing houses require JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as a member to pay a pro rata share of losses resulting from the clearing house's investment of guarantee fund contributions and initial margin, unrelated to and independent of the default of another member. Generally a payment would only be required should such losses exceed the resources of the clearing house or exchange that are contractually required to absorb the losses in the first instance. It is difficult to estimate JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s maximum possible exposure under these membership agreements, since this would require an assessment of future claims that may be made against JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. that have not yet occurred. However, based on historical experience, management expects the risk of loss to be remote.

Guarantees of subsidiaries and affiliates In the normal course of business, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may provide counterparties with guarantees of certain of the trading and other obligations of its subsidiaries and affiliates on a contract-by-contract basis, as negotiated with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s counterparties. The obligations of the subsidiaries are included on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Consolidated balance sheets or are reflected as off-balance sheet commitments; therefore, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has not recognized a separate liability for these guarantees. As at December 31, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had provided guarantees of \$10.3 billion and \$11.8 billion, respectively, of the obligations of affiliates. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. believes that the occurrence of any event that would trigger payments by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. under these guarantees is remote.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

## Note 28 - Commitments, pledged assets and collateral

#### **Lease commitments**

At December 31, 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and its subsidiaries were obligated under a number of noncancelable operating leases for premises and equipment used primarily for banking purposes. Certain leases contain renewal options or escalation clauses providing for increased rental payments based on maintenance, utility and tax increases, or they require JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to perform restoration work on leased premises. No lease agreement imposes restrictions on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s ability to pay dividends, engage in debt or equity financing transactions or enter into further lease agreements.

The following table presents required future minimum rental payments under operating leases with noncancelable lease terms that expire after December 31, 2015.

Year ended December 31. (in millions)

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	
2016	\$ 1,311
2017	1,300
2018	1,231
2019	1,137
2020	1,021
After 2020	4,063
Total minimum payments required	10,063
Less: Sublease rentals under noncancelable subleases	(1,167)
Net minimum payment required	\$ 8,896

Total rental expense was as follows.

Year ended December 31,

(in millions)	2015		2014	2013		
Gross rental expense	\$ 1,672	\$	1,720	\$	1,634	
Sublease rental income	(198)		(187)		(197)	
Net rental expense	\$ 1,474	\$	1,533	\$	1,437	

#### **Pledged assets**

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may pledge financial assets that it owns to maintain potential borrowing capacity with central banks and for other purposes, including to secure borrowings and public deposits, and to collateralize repurchase and other securities financing agreements. Certain of these pledged assets may be sold or repledged by the secured parties and are identified as financial instruments owned (pledged to various parties) on the Consolidated balance sheets. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had pledged assets of \$338.6 billion and \$274.8 billion, respectively, at Federal Reserve Banks and FHLBs. In addition, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had pledged \$39.4 billion and \$46.1 billion, respectively, of financial assets that may not be sold or repledged by the secured parties. Total assets pledged do not include assets of consolidated VIEs; these assets are used to settle the liabilities of those entities. See Note 17 for additional information on assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs. For additional information on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s securities financing activities and long-term debt, see Note 14, and Note 21, respectively. The significant components of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s pledged assets were as follows.

December 31, (in billions)	2015		2014	
Securities	\$	125.6	\$	121.5
Loans		251.4		198.2
Trading assets and other		74.1		78.5
Total assets pledged	\$	451.1	\$	398.2

#### Collateral

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. had accepted assets as collateral that it could sell or repledge, deliver or otherwise use with a fair value of approximately \$417.3 billion and \$412.9 billion, respectively. This collateral was generally obtained under resale agreements, securities borrowing agreements, customer margin loans and derivative agreements. Of the collateral received, approximately \$303.6 billion and \$326.3 billion, respectively, were sold or repledged, generally as collateral under repurchase agreements, securities lending agreements or to cover short sales and to collateralize deposits and derivative agreements.

#### Note 29 - Litigation

#### **Contingencies**

As of December 31, 2015, JPMorgan Chase and its subsidiaries, including but not limited to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., are defendants or putative defendants in numerous legal proceedings, including private, civil litigations and regulatory/government investigations. The litigations range from individual actions involving a single plaintiff to class action lawsuits with potentially millions of class members. Investigations involve both formal and informal proceedings, by both governmental agencies and self-regulatory organizations. These legal proceedings are at varying stages of adjudication, arbitration or investigation, and involve each of JPMorgan Chase's lines of business and geographies and a wide variety of claims (including common law tort and contract claims and statutory antitrust, securities and consumer protection claims), some of which present novel legal theories.

Estimates of reasonably possible losses for legal proceedings are analyzed and managed at the JPMorgan Chase level and not at the subsidiary level (i.e., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.). JPMorgan Chase believes the estimate of the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses, in excess of reserves established, for JPMorgan Chase's legal proceedings is from \$0 to approximately \$3.6 billion at December 31, 2015. This estimated aggregate range of reasonably possible losses is based upon currently available information for those proceedings in which JPMorgan Chase believes that an estimate of reasonably possible loss can be made. For certain matters, JPMorgan Chase does not believe that such an estimate can be made. JPMorgan Chase's estimate of the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses involves significant judgment, given the number, variety and varying stages of the proceedings (including the fact that many are in preliminary stages), the existence in many such proceedings of multiple defendants (including JPMorgan Chase and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.) whose share of liability has yet to be determined, the numerous yet-unresolved issues in many of the proceedings (including issues regarding class certification and the scope of many of the claims) and the attendant uncertainty of the various potential outcomes of such proceedings, particularly proceedings that could result from government investigations. Accordingly, JPMorgan Chase's estimate will change from time to time, and actual losses may vary significantly.

Set forth below are descriptions of material legal proceedings in which JPMorgan Chase and its subsidiaries (which in certain instances include JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.) are involved or have been named as parties.

Auto Dealer Regulatory Matter. The U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") is investigating potential statistical disparities in markups charged to borrowers of different races and ethnicities by automobile dealers on loans

originated by those dealers and purchased by JPMorgan Chase.

CIO Litigation. JPMorgan Chase has been sued in a consolidated shareholder class action, a consolidated putative class action brought under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA") and seven shareholder derivative actions brought in Delaware state court and in New York federal and state courts relating to 2012 losses in the synthetic credit portfolio managed by JPMorgan Chase's Chief Investment Office ("CIO"). A settlement of the shareholder class action, under which JPMorgan Chase will pay \$150 million, has been preliminarily approved by the court. The putative ERISA class action has been dismissed, and plaintiffs have filed a notice of appeal. Six of the seven shareholder derivative actions have been dismissed.

Credit Default Swaps Investigations and Litigation. In July 2013, the European Commission (the "EC") filed a Statement of Objections against JPMorgan Chase (including various subsidiaries) and other industry members in connection with its ongoing investigation into the credit default swaps ("CDS") marketplace. The EC asserted that between 2006 and 2009, a number of investment banks acted collectively through the International Swaps and Derivatives Association ("ISDA") and Markit Group Limited ("Markit") to foreclose exchanges from the potential market for exchange-traded credit derivatives. In December 2015, the EC announced the closure of its investigation as to JPMorgan Chase and other investment banks.

Separately, JPMorgan Chase and other defendants have entered separate agreements to settle a consolidated putative class action filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York on behalf of purchasers and sellers of CDS. The complaint in this action had alleged that the defendant investment banks and dealers, including JPMorgan Chase, as well as Markit and/or ISDA, collectively prevented new entrants into the market for exchange-traded CDS products. These settlements are subject to Court approval.

Custody Assets Investigation. The U.K. Financial Conduct Authority ("FCA") has closed its previously-reported investigation concerning compliance by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., London branch and J.P. Morgan Europe Limited with the FCA's rules regarding the provision of custody services relating to the administration of client assets.

Foreign Exchange Investigations and Litigation. JPMorgan Chase previously reported settlements with certain government authorities relating to its foreign exchange ("FX") sales and trading activities and controls related to those activities. FX-related investigations and inquiries by other, non-U.S. government authorities, including competition authorities, remain ongoing, and JPMorgan Chase is cooperating with those matters.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

JPMorgan Chase is also one of a number of foreign exchange dealers defending a class action filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York by U.S.-based plaintiffs, principally alleging violations of federal antitrust laws based on an alleged conspiracy to manipulate foreign exchange rates (the "U.S. class action"). In January 2015, JPMorgan Chase entered into a settlement agreement in the U.S. class action. Following this settlement, a number of additional putative class actions were filed seeking damages for persons who transacted FX futures and options on futures (the "exchanged-based actions"), consumers who purchased foreign currencies at allegedly inflated rates (the "consumer actions"), and participants or beneficiaries of qualified ERISA plans (the "ERISA actions"). In July 2015, the plaintiffs in the U.S. class action filed an amended complaint, and the Court consolidated the exchange-based actions into the U.S. class action. JPMorgan Chase has entered into a revised settlement agreement to resolve the consolidated U.S. class action, including the exchange-based actions, and that agreement is subject to Court approval. The consumer actions and ERISA actions remain pending.

In September 2015, two class actions were filed in Canada against JPMorgan Chase as well as a number of other FX dealers, principally for alleged violations of the Canadian Competition Act based on an alleged conspiracy to fix the prices of currency purchased in the FX market. The first action was filed in the province of Ontario, and seeks to represent all persons in Canada who transacted any FX instrument. The second action seeks to represent only those persons in Quebec who engaged in FX transactions.

General Motors Litigation. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. participated in, and was the Administrative Agent on behalf of a syndicate of lenders on, a \$1.5 billion syndicated Term Loan facility ("Term Loan") for General Motors Corporation ("GM"). In July 2009, in connection with the GM bankruptcy proceedings, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Motors Liquidation Company ("Creditors Committee") filed a lawsuit against JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in its individual capacity and as Administrative Agent for other lenders on the Term Loan, seeking to hold the underlying lien invalid based on the filing of a UCC-3 termination statement relating to the Term Loan. In March 2013, the Bankruptcy Court granted JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s motion for summary judgment and dismissed the Creditors Committee's complaint on the grounds that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. did not authorize the filing of the UCC-3 termination statement at issue. The Creditors Committee appealed the Bankruptcy Court's dismissal of its claim to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. In January 2015, the Court of Appeals reversed the Bankruptcy Court's dismissal of the Creditors Committee's claim and remanded the case to the Bankruptcy Court with instructions to enter partial summary judgment for the Creditors Committee as to the termination statement. The proceedings in the Bankruptcy Court continue with respect

to, among other things, additional defenses asserted by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and the value of additional collateral on the Term Loan that was unaffected by the filing of the termination statement at issue. In addition, certain Term Loan lenders filed cross-claims against JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. in the Bankruptcy Court seeking indemnification and asserting various claims.

Interchange Litigation. A group of merchants and retail associations filed a series of class action complaints alleging that Visa and MasterCard, as well as certain banks, conspired to set the price of credit and debit card interchange fees, enacted respective rules in violation of antitrust laws, and engaged in tying/bundling and exclusive dealing. The parties have entered into an agreement to settle the cases for a cash payment of \$6.1 billion to the class plaintiffs (of which JPMorgan Chase's share is approximately 20%) and an amount equal to ten basis points of credit card interchange for a period of eight months to be measured from a date within 60 days of the end of the opt-out period. The agreement also provides for modifications to each credit card network's rules, including those that prohibit surcharging credit card transactions. In December 2013, the Court issued a decision granting final approval of the settlement. A number of merchants appealed, and oral argument was held in September 2015. Certain merchants and trade associations have also filed a motion with the District Court seeking to set aside the approval of the class settlement on the basis of alleged improper communications between one of MasterCard's former outside counsel and one of plaintiffs' outside counsel. That motion remains pending. Certain merchants that opted out of the class settlement have filed actions against Visa and MasterCard, as well as against JPMorgan Chase and other banks. Defendants' motion to dismiss those actions was denied in July 2014.

Investment Management Litigation. JPMorgan Chase is defending two pending cases that are being coordinated for pre-trial purposes, alleging that investment portfolios managed by J.P. Morgan Investment Management ("JPMIM") were inappropriately invested in securities backed by residential real estate collateral. Plaintiffs Assured Guaranty (U.K.) and Ambac Assurance UK Limited claim that JPMIM is liable for total losses of more than \$1 billion in market value of these securities. Discovery has been completed. In January 2016, plaintiffs filed a joint partial motion for summary judgment in the coordinated actions.

Lehman Brothers Bankruptcy Proceedings. In May 2010, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("LBHI") and its Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") filed a complaint (and later an amended complaint) against JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York that asserted both federal bankruptcy law and state common law claims, and sought, among other relief, to recover \$7.9 billion in collateral (after deducting \$700 million of returned

collateral) that was transferred to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. in the weeks preceding LBHI's bankruptcy. The amended complaint also sought unspecified damages on the grounds that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s collateral requests hastened LBHI's bankruptcy. The Bankruptcy Court dismissed the claims in the amended complaint that sought to void the allegedly constructively fraudulent and preferential transfers made to JPMorgan Chase during September 2008, but did not dismiss the other claims, including claims for duress and fraud. JPMorgan Chase filed counterclaims against LBHI, including alleging that LBHI fraudulently induced JPMorgan Chase to make large extensions of credit against inappropriate collateral in connection with JPMorgan Chase's role as the clearing bank for Lehman Brothers Inc. ("LBI"), LBHI's broker-dealer subsidiary. These extensions of credit left JPMorgan Chase with more than \$25 billion in claims against the estate of LBI, which was repaid principally through collateral posted by LBHI and LBI. In September 2015, the District Court, to which the case had been transferred from the Bankruptcy Court, granted summary judgment in favor of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. on most of the claims against it that the Bankruptcy Court had not previously dismissed, including the claims for duress and fraud. The District Court also denied LBHI's motion for summary judgment on certain of its claims and for dismissal of JPMorgan Chase's counterclaims. The claims that remained following the District Court's ruling challenged the propriety of JPMorgan Chase's post-petition payment, from collateral posted by LBHI, of approximately \$1.9 billion of derivatives, repo and securities lending claims.

In the Bankruptcy Court proceedings, LBHI and several of its subsidiaries that had been Chapter 11 debtors had filed a separate complaint and objection to derivatives claims asserted by JPMorgan Chase alleging that the amount of the derivatives claims had been overstated and challenging certain set-offs taken by JPMorgan Chase entities to recover on the claims. In January 2015, LBHI filed claims objections with respect to guaranty claims asserted by JPMorgan Chase arising from close-outs of derivatives transactions with LBI and one of its affiliates, and a claim objection with respect to derivatives close-out claims acquired by JPMorgan Chase in the Washington Mutual transaction.

In January 2016, the parties reached an agreement, approved by the Bankruptcy Court, under which JPMorgan Chase will pay \$1.42 billion to settle all of the claims, counterclaims and claims objections, including all appeal rights, except for the claims specified in the following paragraph. One *pro se* objector is seeking to appeal the settlement.

The settlement did not resolve the following remaining matters: In the Bankruptcy Court proceedings, LBHI and the Committee filed an objection to the claims asserted by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. against LBHI with respect to clearing advances made to LBI, principally on the grounds

that JPMorgan Chase had not conducted the sale of the securities collateral held for its claims in a commercially reasonable manner. In January 2015, LBHI brought two claims objections relating to securities lending claims and a group of other smaller claims. Discovery with respect to these objections is ongoing.

LIBOR and Other Benchmark Rate Investigations and Litigation. JPMorgan Chase has received subpoenas and requests for documents and, in some cases, interviews, from federal and state agencies and entities, including the DOJ, the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC"), the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and various state attorneys general, as well as the EC, the FCA, the Canadian Competition Bureau, the Swiss Competition Commission and other regulatory authorities and banking associations around the world relating primarily to the process by which interest rates were submitted to the British Bankers Association ("BBA") in connection with the setting of the BBA's London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") for various currencies, principally in 2007 and 2008. Some of the inquiries also relate to similar processes by which information on rates is submitted to the European Banking Federation ("EBF") in connection with the setting of the EBF's Euro Interbank Offered Rates ("EURIBOR") and to the Japanese Bankers' Association for the setting of Tokyo Interbank Offered Rates ("TIBOR"), as well as processes for the setting of U.S. dollar ISDAFIX rates and other reference rates in various parts of the world during similar time periods. JPMorgan Chase is responding to and continuing to cooperate with these inquiries. As previously reported, JPMorgan Chase has resolved EC inquiries relating to Yen LIBOR and Swiss Franc LIBOR. In May 2014, the EC issued a Statement of Objections outlining its case against JPMorgan Chase (and others) as to EURIBOR, to which JPMorgan Chase has filed a response and made oral representations. Other inquiries have been discontinued without any action against JPMorgan Chase, including by the FCA and the Canadian Competition Bureau.

In addition, JPMorgan Chase has been named as a defendant along with other banks in a series of individual and putative class actions filed in various United States District Courts, in which plaintiffs make varying allegations that in various periods, starting in 2000 or later, defendants either individually or collectively manipulated the U.S. dollar LIBOR, Yen LIBOR, Swiss franc LIBOR, Euroyen TIBOR and/or EURIBOR rates by submitting rates that were artificially low or high. Plaintiffs allege that they transacted in loans, derivatives or other financial instruments whose values are affected by changes in U.S. dollar LIBOR, Yen LIBOR, Swiss franc LIBOR, Euroyen TIBOR or EURIBOR and assert a variety of claims including antitrust claims seeking treble damages. These matters are in various stages of litigation.

The U.S. dollar LIBOR-related putative class actions and most U.S. dollar LIBOR-related individual actions were

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

consolidated for pre-trial purposes in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The Court dismissed certain claims, including the antitrust claims, and permitted other claims under the Commodity Exchange Act and common law to proceed. Certain plaintiffs appealed the dismissal of the antitrust claims, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit dismissed the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. In January 2015, the United States Supreme Court reversed the decision of the Court of Appeals, holding that plaintiffs have the jurisdictional right to appeal, and remanded the case to the Court of Appeals for further proceedings. The Court of Appeals heard oral argument on remand in November 2015.

JPMorgan Chase is one of the defendants in a number of putative class actions alleging that defendant banks and ICAP conspired to manipulate the U.S. dollar ISDAFIX rates. Plaintiffs primarily assert claims under the federal antitrust laws and Commodities Exchange Act.

Madoff Litigation. Various subsidiaries of JPMorgan Chase, including J.P. Morgan Securities plc, have been named as defendants in lawsuits filed in Bankruptcy Court in New York arising out of the liquidation proceedings of Fairfield Sentry Limited and Fairfield Sigma Limited, so-called Madoff feeder funds. These actions seek to recover payments made by the funds to defendants totaling approximately \$155 million. All but two of these actions have been dismissed.

In addition, a putative class action was brought by investors in certain feeder funds against JPMorgan Chase in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, as was a motion by separate potential class plaintiffs to add claims against JPMorgan Chase and certain subsidiaries to an already pending putative class action in the same court. The allegations in these complaints largely track those previously raised -- and resolved as to JPMorgan Chase -- by the court-appointed trustee for Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC. The District Court dismissed these complaints and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit affirmed the District Court's decision. The United States Supreme Court denied plaintiffs' petition for a writ of certiorari in March 2015. Plaintiffs subsequently served a motion in the Court of Appeals seeking to have the Court reconsider its prior decision in light of another recent appellate decision. That motion was denied in June 2015.

JPMorgan Chase is a defendant in five other Madoff-related individual investor actions pending in New York state court. The allegations in all of these actions are essentially identical, and involve claims against JPMorgan Chase for, among other things, aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, conversion and unjust enrichment. In August 2014, the Court dismissed all claims against JPMorgan Chase. In January 2016, the Appellate Court affirmed the dismissal.

A putative class action was filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey by investors who were net winners (i.e., Madoff customers who had taken more money out of their accounts than had been invested) in Madoff's Ponzi scheme and were not included in a prior class action settlement. These plaintiffs allege violations of the federal securities law, federal and state racketeering statutes and multiple common law and statutory claims including breach of trust, aiding and abetting embezzlement, unjust enrichment, conversion and commercial bad faith. A similar action was filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, although it was not styled as a class action, and included claims pursuant to Florida statutes. JPMorgan Chase moved to transfer both the Florida and New Jersey actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The Florida court denied the transfer motion, but subsequently granted JPMorgan Chase's motion to dismiss the case in September 2015. Plaintiffs have filed a notice of appeal, which is pending. In addition, the same plaintiffs have re-filed their dismissed state claims in Florida state court. The New Jersey court granted the transfer motion to the Southern District of New York, and JPMorgan Chase has moved to dismiss the case pending in New York.

Three shareholder derivative actions have also been filed in New York federal and state court against JPMorgan Chase, as nominal defendant, and certain of its current and former Board members, alleging breach of fiduciary duty in connection with JPMorgan Chase's relationship with Bernard Madoff and the alleged failure to maintain effective internal controls to detect fraudulent transactions. The actions seek declaratory relief and damages. All three actions have been dismissed. The plaintiff in one action did not appeal, the dismissal has been affirmed on appeal in another action, and one appeal remains pending.

Mortgage-Backed Securities and Repurchase Litigation and Related Regulatory Investigations. JPMorgan Chase and affiliates (together, "JPMC"), Bear Stearns and affiliates (together, "Bear Stearns") and certain Washington Mutual affiliates (together, "Washington Mutual") have been named as defendants in a number of cases in their various roles in offerings of mortgage-backed securities ("MBS"). These cases include actions by individual MBS purchasers and actions by monoline insurance companies that guaranteed payments of principal and interest for particular tranches of MBS offerings. Following the settlements referred to below, there are currently pending and tolled investor claims involving MBS with an original principal balance of approximately \$4.2 billion, of which \$2.6 billion involves JPMC, Bear Stearns or Washington Mutual as issuer and \$1.6 billion involves JPMC, Bear Stearns or Washington Mutual solely as underwriter. JPMorgan Chase and certain of its current and former officers and Board members have also been sued in shareholder derivative actions relating to JPMorgan Chase's MBS activities, and trustees have

asserted or have threatened to assert claims that loans in securitization trusts should be repurchased.

<u>Issuer Litigation - Class Actions</u>. JPMC has fully resolved all pending putative class actions on behalf of purchasers of MBS.

Issuer Litigation - Individual Purchaser Actions. JPMorgan Chase is defending individual actions brought against JPMC, Bear Stearns and Washington Mutual as MBS issuers (and, in some cases, also as underwriters of their own MBS offerings). JPMorgan Chase has settled a number of these actions. Several actions remain pending in federal and state courts across the U.S. and are in various stages of litigation.

Monoline Insurer Litigation. JPMorgan Chase has settled two pending actions relating to a monoline insurer's guarantees of principal and interest on certain classes of 11 different Bear Stearns MBS offerings. This settlement fully resolves all pending actions by monoline insurers against JPMorgan Chase relating to RMBS issued and/or sponsored by JPMorgan Chase.

<u>Underwriter Actions</u>. In actions against JPMorgan Chase involving offerings where JPMorgan Chase was solely an underwriter of other issuers' MBS offerings, JPMorgan Chase has contractual rights to indemnification from the issuers. However, those indemnity rights may prove effectively unenforceable in various situations, such as where the issuers are now defunct. Currently there is one such action pending against JPMorgan Chase relating to a single offering of another issuer.

Repurchase Litigation. JPMorgan Chase is defending a number of actions brought by trustees, securities administrators or master servicers of various MBS trusts on behalf of purchasers of securities issued by those trusts. These cases generally allege breaches of various representations and warranties regarding securitized loans and seek repurchase of those loans or equivalent monetary relief, as well as indemnification of attorneys' fees and costs and other remedies. Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, acting as trustee for various MBS trusts, has filed such a suit against JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") in connection with a significant number of MBS issued by Washington Mutual; that case is described in the Washington Mutual Litigations section below. Other repurchase actions, each specific to one or more MBS transactions issued by JPMC and/or Bear Stearns, are in various stages of litigation.

In addition, JPMorgan Chase and a group of 21 institutional MBS investors made a binding offer to the trustees of MBS issued by JPMC and Bear Stearns providing for the payment of \$4.5 billion and the implementation of certain servicing changes by JPMC, to resolve all repurchase and servicing claims that have been asserted or could have been asserted with respect to 330 MBS trusts created between 2005 and 2008. The offer does not resolve claims relating to

Washington Mutual MBS. The trustees (or separate and successor trustees) for this group of 330 trusts have accepted the settlement for 319 trusts in whole or in part and excluded from the settlement 16 trusts in whole or in part. The trustees' acceptance is subject to a judicial approval proceeding initiated by the trustees and pending in New York state court. The judicial approval hearing was held in January 2016, and the parties are awaiting a decision. An investor in some of the trusts for which the settlement has been accepted has intervened in the judicial approval proceeding to challenge the trustees' allocation of the settlement among the trusts. Separately, in October 2015, JPMC reached agreements to resolve repurchase and servicing claims for four trusts among the 16 that were previously excluded from the trustee settlement. In December 2015, the court approved the trustees' decision to accept these separate settlements. The trustees are seeking to obtain certain remaining approvals necessary to effectuate these settlements.

Additional actions have been filed against third-party trustees that relate to loan repurchase and servicing claims involving trusts sponsored by JPMC, Bear Stearns and Washington Mutual.

Derivative Actions. Shareholder derivative actions relating to JPMorgan Chase's MBS activities have been filed against JPMorgan Chase, as nominal defendant, and certain of its current and former officers and members of its Board of Directors, in New York state court and California federal court. Two of the New York actions have been dismissed, one of which is on appeal. A consolidated action in California federal court has been dismissed without prejudice for lack of personal jurisdiction and plaintiffs are pursuing discovery relating to jurisdiction.

Government Enforcement Investigations and Litigation.

JPMorgan Chase is responding to an ongoing investigation being conducted by the DOJ's Criminal Division and two United States Attorney's Offices relating to MBS offerings securitized and sold by JPMorgan Chase and its subsidiaries.

JPMorgan Chase has also received subpoenas and informal requests for information from state authorities concerning the issuance and underwriting of MBS-related matters.

JPMorgan Chase continues to respond to these MBS-related regulatory inquiries.

In addition, JPMorgan Chase continues to cooperate with investigations by the DOJ, including the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Connecticut, and by the SEC Division of Enforcement and the Office of the Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program, all of which relate to, among other matters, communications with counterparties in connection with certain secondary market trading in residential and commercial MBS.

JPMorgan Chase has entered into agreements with a number of entities that purchased MBS that toll applicable limitations periods with respect to their claims, and has

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

settled, and in the future may settle, tolled claims. There is no assurance that JPMorgan Chase will not be named as a defendant in additional MBS-related litigation.

Mortgage-Related Investigations and Litigation. One shareholder derivative action has been filed in New York Supreme Court against JPMorgan Chase's Board of Directors alleging that the Board failed to exercise adequate oversight as to wrongful conduct by JPMorgan Chase regarding mortgage servicing. In December 2014, the court granted defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint and in January 2016, the dismissal was affirmed on appeal.

The Civil Division of the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York is conducting an investigation concerning JPMorgan Chase's compliance with the Fair Housing Act and Equal Credit Opportunity Act in connection with its mortgage lending practices. In addition, three municipalities have commenced litigation against JPMorgan Chase alleging violations of an unfair competition law or the Fair Housing Act. The municipalities seek, among other things, civil penalties for the unfair competition claim, and, for the Fair Housing Act claims, damages resulting from lost tax revenue and increased municipal costs associated with foreclosed properties. Two of the municipal actions are stayed, and a motion to dismiss is pending in the remaining action.

In March 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A entered into a settlement agreement with the Executive Office for United States Bankruptcy Trustees and the United States Trustee Program (collectively, the "Bankruptcy Trustee") to resolve issues relating to mortgage payment change notices and escrow statements in bankruptcy proceedings. In January 2016, the OCC determined that, among other things, the mortgage payment change notices issues that were the subject of the settlement with the Bankruptcy Trustee violated the 2011 mortgage servicing-related consent order entered into by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and the OCC (as amended in 2013 and 2015), and assessed a \$48 million civil money penalty. The OCC concurrently terminated that consent order.

Municipal Derivatives Litigation. Several civil actions were commenced in New York and Alabama courts against JPMorgan Chase relating to certain Jefferson County, Alabama (the "County") warrant underwritings and swap transactions. The claims in the civil actions generally alleged that JPMorgan Chase made payments to certain third parties in exchange for being chosen to underwrite more than \$3 billion in warrants issued by the County and to act as the counterparty for certain swaps executed by the County. The County filed for bankruptcy in November 2011. In June 2013, the County filed a Chapter 9 Plan of Adjustment, as amended (the "Plan of Adjustment"), which provided that all the above-described actions against JPMorgan Chase would be released and dismissed with prejudice. In November 2013, the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the Plan of Adjustment, and in December 2013,

certain sewer rate payers filed an appeal challenging the confirmation of the Plan of Adjustment. All conditions to the Plan of Adjustment's effectiveness, including the dismissal of the actions against JPMorgan Chase, were satisfied or waived and the transactions contemplated by the Plan of Adjustment occurred in December 2013. Accordingly, all the above-described actions against JPMorgan Chase have been dismissed pursuant to the terms of the Plan of Adjustment. The appeal of the Bankruptcy Court's order confirming the Plan of Adjustment remains pending.

Petters Bankruptcy and Related Matters. JPMorgan Chase and certain of its affiliates, including One Equity Partners ("OEP"), have been named as defendants in several actions filed in connection with the receivership and bankruptcy proceedings pertaining to Thomas J. Petters and certain affiliated entities (collectively, "Petters") and the Polaroid Corporation. The principal actions against JPMorgan Chase and its affiliates have been brought by a court-appointed receiver for Petters and the trustees in bankruptcy proceedings for three Petters entities. These actions generally seek to avoid certain putative transfers in connection with (i) the 2005 acquisition by Petters of Polaroid, which at the time was majority-owned by OEP; (ii) two credit facilities that JPMorgan Chase and other financial institutions entered into with Polaroid; and (iii) a credit line and investment accounts held by Petters. The actions collectively seek recovery of approximately \$450 million. Defendants have moved to dismiss the complaints in the actions filed by the Petters bankruptcy trustees.

Proprietary Products Investigations and Litigation. In December 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC agreed to a settlement with the SEC, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. agreed to a settlement with the CFTC, regarding disclosures to clients concerning conflicts associated with JPMorgan Chase's sale and use of proprietary products, such as J.P. Morgan mutual funds, in JPMorgan Chase's wealth management businesses, and the U.S. Private Bank's disclosures concerning the use of hedge funds that pay placement agent fees to JPMorgan Chase broker-dealer affiliates. As part of the settlements, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC paid penalties, disgorgement and interest totaling approximately \$307 million. JPMorgan Chase continues to cooperate with inquiries from other government authorities concerning disclosure of conflicts associated with JPMorgan Chase's sale and use of proprietary products. A putative class action filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of financial advisory clients from 2007 to the present whose funds were invested in proprietary funds and who were charged investment management fees, was dismissed by the Court. Plaintiffs' appeal of the dismissal is pending.

Referral Hiring Practices Investigations. Various regulators are investigating, among other things, JPMorgan Chase's compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and other

laws with respect to JPMorgan Chase's hiring practices related to candidates referred by clients, potential clients and government officials, and its engagement of consultants in the Asia Pacific region. JPMorgan Chase is responding to and cooperating with these investigations.

Washington Mutual Litigations. Proceedings related to Washington Mutual's failure are pending before the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and include a lawsuit brought by Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, initially against the FDIC and amended to include JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as a defendant, asserting an estimated \$6 billion to \$10 billion in damages based upon alleged breaches of certain representations and warranties given by certain Washington Mutual affiliates in connection with mortgage securitization agreements. The case includes assertions that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may have assumed liabilities for the alleged breaches of representations and warranties in the mortgage securitization agreements. In June 2015, the court ruled in favor of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. on the question of whether JPMorgan Chase or the FDIC bears responsibility for Washington Mutual Bank's repurchase obligations, holding that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. assumed only those liabilities that were reflected on Washington Mutual Bank's financial accounting records as of September 25. 2008, and only up to the amount of the book value reflected therein. The FDIC is appealing that ruling and the case has otherwise been stayed pending the outcome of that appeal.

Certain holders of Washington Mutual Bank debt filed an action against JPMorgan Chase which alleged that by acquiring substantially all of the assets of Washington Mutual Bank from the FDIC, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. caused Washington Mutual Bank to default on its bond obligations. JPMorgan Chase and the FDIC moved to dismiss this action and the District Court dismissed the case except as to the plaintiffs' claim that JPMorgan Chase tortiously interfered with the plaintiffs' bond contracts with Washington Mutual Bank prior to its closure. The action has been stayed pending a decision on JPMorgan Chase's motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' remaining claim.

JPMorgan Chase has also filed complaints in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia against the FDIC, in its corporate capacity as well as in its capacity as receiver for Washington Mutual Bank, asserting multiple claims for indemnification under the terms of the Purchase & Assumption Agreement between JPMorgan Chase and the FDIC relating to JPMorgan Chase's purchase of most of the assets and certain liabilities of Washington Mutual Bank.

Wendel. Since 2012, the French criminal authorities have been investigating a series of transactions entered into by senior managers of Wendel Investissement ("Wendel") during the period from 2004 through 2007 to restructure their shareholdings in Wendel. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Paris branch provided financing for the transactions to a

number of managers of Wendel in 2007. In April 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. was notified that the authorities were formally investigating the role of its Paris branch in the transactions, including alleged criminal tax abuse. JPMorgan Chase is responding to and cooperating with the investigation. In addition, civil proceedings have been commenced against JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. by a number of the managers. The claims are separate, involve different allegations and are at various stages of proceedings.

\* \* :

In addition to the various legal proceedings discussed above, JPMorgan Chase and its subsidiaries, including in certain cases, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., are named as defendants or are otherwise involved in a substantial number of other legal proceedings and inquiries. JPMorgan Chase and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted against it in its currently outstanding legal proceedings and inquiries, and it intends to defend itself vigorously in all such matters. Additional legal proceedings and inquiries may be initiated from time to time in the future.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. has established reserves for several hundred of its currently outstanding legal proceedings. In accordance with the provisions of U.S. GAAP for contingencies, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. accrues for a litigation-related liability when it is probable that such a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. evaluates its outstanding legal proceedings each quarter to assess its litigation reserves, and makes adjustments in such reserves, upwards or downward, as appropriate, based on management's best judgment after consultation with counsel. During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. incurred legal expense of \$2.0 billion, \$2.3 billion and \$2.2 billion, respectively. Where a particular litigation matter involves one or more subsidiaries or affiliates of JPMorgan Chase, JPMorgan Chase determines the appropriate allocation of legal expense among those subsidiaries or affiliates (including, where applicable, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.). There is no assurance that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s litigation reserves will not need to be adjusted in the future.

In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of legal proceedings, particularly where the claimants seek very large or indeterminate damages, or where the matters present novel legal theories, involve a large number of parties or are in early stages of discovery, JPMorgan Chase and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. cannot state with confidence what will be the eventual outcomes of the currently pending matters, the timing of their ultimate resolution or the eventual losses, fines, penalties or impact related to those matters. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. believes, based upon its current knowledge, after

#### Notes to consolidated financial statements

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (a wholly-owned subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co.)

consultation with counsel and after taking into account its current litigation reserves, that the legal proceedings currently pending against it should not have a material adverse effect on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s consolidated financial condition. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. notes, however, that in light of the uncertainties involved in such proceedings, there is no assurance the ultimate resolution of these matters will not significantly exceed the reserves it has currently accrued; as a result, the outcome of a particular matter may be material to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s operating results for a particular period, depending on, among other factors, the size of the loss or liability imposed and the level of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s income for that period.

# Supplementary information: Glossary of Terms

**Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs:**Represents the interest of third-party holders of debt, equity securities, or other obligations, issued by VIEs that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. consolidates.

**Benefit obligation:** Refers to the projected benefit obligation for pension plans and the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation for OPEB plans.

Central clearing party ("CCP"): A CCP is a clearing house that interposes itself between counterparties to contracts traded in one or more financial markets, becoming the buyer to every seller and the seller to every buyer and thereby ensuring the future performance of open contracts. A CCP becomes counterparty to trades with market participants through novation, an open offer system, or another legally binding arrangement.

**Credit cycle:** A period of time over which credit quality improves, deteriorates and then improves again (or vice versa). The duration of a credit cycle can vary from a couple of years to several years.

**Credit derivatives:** Financial instruments whose value is derived from the credit risk associated with the debt of a third party issuer (the reference entity) which allow one party (the protection purchaser) to transfer that risk to another party (the protection seller). Upon the occurrence of a credit event by the reference entity, which may include, among other events, the bankruptcy or failure to pay its obligations, or certain restructurings of the debt of the reference entity, neither party has recourse to the reference entity. The protection purchaser has recourse to the protection seller for the difference between the face value of the CDS contract and the fair value at the time of settling the credit derivative contract. The determination as to whether a credit event has occurred is generally made by the relevant International Swaps and Derivatives Association ("ISDA") Determinations Committee.

**Exchange-traded derivatives:** Derivative contracts that are executed on an exchange and settled via a central clearing house.

**FICO score:** A measure of consumer credit risk provided by credit bureaus, typically produced from statistical models by Fair Isaac Corporation utilizing data collected by the credit bureaus.

**Forward points:** Represents the interest rate differential between two currencies, which is either added to or subtracted from the current exchange rate (i.e., "spot rate") to determine the forward exchange rate.

**Group of Seven ("G7") nations:** Countries in the G7 are Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, the U.K. and the U.S.

**G7 government bonds:** Bonds issued by the government of one of the G7 nations.

**Home equity - senior lien:** Represents loans and commitments where JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. holds the first security interest on the property.

**Home equity – junior lien:** Represents loans and commitments where JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. holds a security interest that is subordinate in rank to other liens.

Impaired loan: Impaired loans are loans measured at amortized cost, for which it is probable that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the agreement. Impaired loans include the following:

- · All wholesale nonaccrual loans
- All TDRs (both wholesale and consumer), including ones that have returned to accrual status

**Interchange income:** A fee paid to a credit card issuer in the clearing and settlement of a sales or cash advance transaction.

**Investment-grade:** An indication of credit quality based on JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s internal risk assessment system. "Investment grade" generally represents a risk profile similar to a rating of a "BBB-"/"Baa3" or better, as defined by independent rating agencies.

**LLC:** Limited Liability Company.

**Loan-to-value ("LTV") ratio:** For residential real estate loans, the relationship, expressed as a percentage, between the principal amount of a loan and the appraised value of the collateral (i.e., residential real estate) securing the loan.

#### Origination date LTV ratio

The LTV ratio at the origination date of the loan. Origination date LTV ratios are calculated based on the actual appraised values of collateral (i.e., loan-level data) at the origination date.

#### **Glossary of Terms**

#### Current estimated LTV ratio

An estimate of the LTV as of a certain date. The current estimated LTV ratios are calculated using estimated collateral values derived from a nationally recognized home price index measured at the metropolitan statistical area ("MSA") level. These MSA-level home price indices consist of actual data to the extent available and forecasted data where actual data is not available. As a result, the estimated collateral values used to calculate these ratios do not represent actual appraised loan-level collateral values; as such, the resulting LTV ratios are necessarily imprecise and should therefore be viewed as estimates.

#### Combined LTV ratio

The LTV ratio considering all available lien positions, as well as unused lines, related to the property. Combined LTV ratios are used for junior lien home equity products.

Master netting agreement: An agreement between two counterparties who have multiple contracts with each other that provides for the net settlement of all contracts, as well as cash collateral, through a single payment, in a single currency, in the event of default on or termination of any one contract.

#### Mortgage product types:

#### Alt-A

Alt-A loans are generally higher in credit quality than subprime loans but have characteristics that would disqualify the borrower from a traditional prime loan. Alt-A lending characteristics may include one or more of the following: (i) limited documentation; (ii) a high combined loan-to-value ("CLTV") ratio; (iii) loans secured by nonowner occupied properties; or (iv) a debt-to-income ratio above normal limits. A substantial proportion of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Alt-A loans are those where a borrower does not provide complete documentation of his or her assets or the amount or source of his or her income.

#### Option ARMs

The option ARM real estate loan product is an adjustablerate mortgage loan that provides the borrower with the option each month to make a fully amortizing, interest-only or minimum payment. The minimum payment on an option ARM loan is based on the interest rate charged during the introductory period. This introductory rate is usually significantly below the fully indexed rate. The fully indexed rate is calculated using an index rate plus a margin. Once the introductory period ends, the contractual interest rate charged on the loan increases to the fully indexed rate and adjusts monthly to reflect movements in the index. The minimum payment is typically insufficient to cover interest accrued in the prior month, and any unpaid interest is deferred and added to the principal balance of the loan. Option ARM loans are subject to payment recast, which converts the loan to a variable-rate fully amortizing loan

upon meeting specified loan balance and anniversary date triggers.

#### Prime

Prime mortgage loans are made to borrowers with good credit records who meet specific underwriting requirements, including prescriptive requirements related to income and overall debt levels. New prime mortgage borrowers provide full documentation and generally have reliable payment histories.

#### Subprime

Subprime loans are loans that, prior to mid-2008, were offered to certain customers with one or more high risk characteristics, including but not limited to: (i) unreliable or poor payment histories; (ii) a high LTV ratio of greater than 80% (without borrower-paid mortgage insurance); (iii) a high debt-to-income ratio; (iv) an occupancy type for the loan is other than the borrower's primary residence; or (v) a history of delinquencies or late payments on the loan.

**N/A:** Data is not applicable or available for the period presented.

NM: Not meaningful.

Nonaccrual loans: Loans for which interest income is not recognized on an accrual basis. Loans (other than credit card loans and certain consumer loans insured by U.S. government agencies) are placed on nonaccrual status when full payment of principal and interest is not expected or when principal and interest has been in default for a period of 90 days or more unless the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection. Collateral-dependent loans are typically maintained on nonaccrual status.

**Over-the-counter ("OTC") derivatives:** Derivative contracts that are negotiated, executed and settled bilaterally between two derivative counterparties, where one or both counterparties is a derivatives dealer.

**Over-the-counter cleared ("OTC cleared") derivatives:**Derivative contracts that are negotiated and executed bilaterally, but subsequently settled via a central clearing house, such that each derivative counterparty is only exposed to the default of that clearing house.

Participating securities: Represents unvested stock-based compensation awards containing nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (collectively, "dividends"). JPMorgan Chase grants restricted stock and RSUs to certain employees under its stock-based compensation programs, which entitle the recipients to receive nonforfeitable dividends during the vesting period on a basis equivalent to the dividends paid to holders of common stock.

#### **Glossary of Terms**

Principal transactions revenue: Principal transactions revenue includes realized and unrealized gains and losses recorded on derivatives, other financial instruments, private equity investments, and physical commodities used in market making and client-driven activities. In addition, Principal transactions revenue also includes certain realized and unrealized gains and losses related to hedge accounting and specified risk management activities including: (a) certain derivatives designated in qualifying hedge accounting relationships (primarily fair value hedges of commodity and foreign exchange risk), (b) certain derivatives used for specified risk management purposes, primarily to mitigate credit risk, foreign exchange risk and commodity risk, and (c) other derivatives.

Purchased credit-impaired ("PCI") loans: Represents loans that were acquired in the Washington Mutual transaction and deemed to be credit-impaired on the acquisition date in accordance with the guidance of the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB"). The guidance allows purchasers to aggregate credit-impaired loans acquired in the same fiscal quarter into one or more pools, provided that the loans have common risk characteristics (e.g., product type, LTV ratios, FICO scores, past due status, geographic location). A pool is then accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows.

Real estate investment trust ("REIT"): A special purpose investment vehicle that provides investors with the ability to participate directly in the ownership or financing of real-estate related assets by pooling their capital to purchase and manage income property (i.e., equity REIT) and/or mortgage loans (i.e., mortgage REIT). REITs can be publicly-or privately-held and they also qualify for certain favorable tax considerations.

**Receivables from customers:** Primarily represents margin loans to prime and retail brokerage customers which are included in accrued interest and accounts receivable on the Consolidated balance sheets.

**Retained loans:** Loans that are held-for-investment (i.e. excludes loans held-for-sale and loans at fair value).

Risk-weighted assets ("RWA"): Basel III establishes two comprehensive methodologies for calculating RWA (a Standardized approach and an Advanced approach) which include capital requirements for credit risk, market risk, and in the case of Basel III Advanced, also operational risk. Key differences in the calculation of credit risk RWA between the Standardized and Advanced approaches are that for Basel III Advanced, credit risk RWA is based on risk-sensitive approaches which largely rely on the use of internal credit models and parameters, whereas for Basel III Standardized, credit risk RWA is generally based on supervisory risk-weightings which vary primarily by counterparty type and asset class. Market risk RWA is calculated on a generally

consistent basis between Basel III Standardized and Basel III Advanced, both of which incorporate the requirements set forth in Basel 2.5.

**Structured notes:** Structured notes are predominantly financial instruments containing embedded derivatives. Where present, the embedded derivative is the primary driver of risk.

**Troubled debt restructuring ("TDR"):** A TDR is deemed to occur when JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. modifies the original terms of a loan agreement by granting a concession to a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulty.

**Unaudited:** Financial statements and information that have not been subjected to auditing procedures sufficient to permit an independent certified public accountant to express an opinion.

**U.S. GAAP:** Accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S.

**U.S. GSE obligations:** In the U.S., GSEs are quasigovernmental, privately-held entities established by Congress to improve the flow of credit to specific sectors of the economy and provide certain essential services to the public. U.S. GSEs include Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, but do not include Ginnie Mae, which is directly owned by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. U.S. GSE obligations are not explicitly guaranteed as to the timely payment of principal and interest by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government.

**U.S. Treasury:** U.S. Department of the Treasury.

**Warehouse loans:** Consist of prime mortgages originated with the intent to sell that are accounted for at fair value and classified as trading assets.

Washington Mutual transaction: On September 25, 2008, JPMorgan Chase acquired certain of the assets of the banking operations of Washington Mutual Bank ("Washington Mutual") from the FDIC.

#### 3. 【業務の概要(日本語訳)】

ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・バンク・ナショナル・アソシエーション

(ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・アンド・カンパニー全額出資子会社)

#### 業務概要

#### 2015年12月31日終了事業年度

以下は、ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・バンク・ナショナル・アソシエーションの2015年12月31日 に終了した事業年度の業績の要約である。

2015年において、純利益は2014年度の148億ドルに対し、2015年度は15%増の169億ドルであった。

収益合計は2014年度の725億ドルに対し、2015年度は2%増の734億ドルとなった。収益合計の増加は、主に高いクライアントニーズに基づくマーケットメイキング自己勘定取引による利息以外の収益増加と訴訟和解金による利益に起因する。この収益の増加は一部、モーゲージ報酬および関連利益の減少により相殺されている。

与信損失引当金繰入額は、2014年度の8.3億ドルの繰入に対し、2015年度は14億ドルの繰入となった。これは主に、石油・ガスポートフォーリオ格下げの影響による、ホールセールでの引当金の増加によるものである。

利息以外の費用は、2014年度の506億ドルに対し、2015年度は3%減少し491億ドルであった。これは主に、 人件費の減少および法務費用とビジネスの効率化による外部委託数の減少に伴い専門家報酬および外部 業務委託費用が減少したことに起因する。

法人所得税は2014年度の63億ドルに対し、2015年度は6%減の60億ドルとなった。実効税率は2014年の30.0%に対し、2015年は26.1%に減少した。これは主に、いくつかのUS以外の企業の再構築に関連した繰延税金による租税上の優遇措置に加え、損金不算入の費用である罰則金等が減少したことによるものである。

2015年12月31日現在、総資産は2014年から8%減の1.9兆ドルであった。資産の減少は、主に運転資金以外の預金を減らしたことによる有利息銀行預け金の減少と償還、売却による有価証券の減少に起因する。この資産の減少は一部、高いプライムモーゲージとオートローンの需要と法人顧客、特に商業用不動産活動の増加によるローンの増加によって相殺されている。

2015年12月31日現在、総負債は2014年から9%減の1.7兆ドルであった。負債の減少は、主に企業が運転資金以外の預金を減らしたことによる法人顧客預金の減少に起因する。この負債の減少は一部、高い顧客定着率に伴う、引き続き好調な成長の結果による個人顧客預金の増加によって相殺されている。

2015年12月31日現在、株主持分合計は2014年度の1,856億ドルに対し、5%増の1,955億ドルとなった。この

増加は主に169億ドルの純利益と、ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・バンク・アンド・トラストの合併によるものである。この株主持分合計の増加は一部、ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・アンド・カンパニーへの配当金の支払によって相殺されている。

# 4. 【財務書類(日本語訳)】

# 4.1 連結損益計算書

ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・バンク・ナショナル・アソシエーション (ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・アンド・カンパニー全額出資子会社)

12月31日終了事業年度(百万ドル)	2015年	2014年	2013年
収益			
投資銀行業務関連の収益	1, 931	2, 034	1, 808
自己勘定取引	9, 085	7, 660	6, 589
貸出金および預金関連収益	5, 693	5, 797	5, 941
資産運用、管理および手数料	11, 263	11, 652	10, 096
有価証券利益	202	68	643
モーゲージ報酬および関連利益	2, 513	3, 564	5, 206
クレジットカード収益	4, 333	4, 305	4, 036
その他の収益	4, 671	3, 683	5, 052
利息以外の収益	39, 691	38, 763	39, 371
受入利息	37, 425	37, 966	38, 150
支払利息	3, 736	4, 229	5, 184
正味受入利息			
収益合計(純額)	33, 689	33, 737	32, 966
与信損失引当金繰入額(戻入額)	73, 380	72, 500 832	72, 337 (1, 247)

# 4.1 連結損益計算書(続き)

ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・バンク・ナショナル・アソシエーション (ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・アンド・カンパニーの全額出資子会社)

12月31日終了事業年度(百万ドル)	2015年	2014年	2013年
利息以外の費用			
報酬費用	23, 128	23, 996	24, 212
不動産関連費用	3, 438	3, 528	3, 342
テクノロジー、通信および機器関連費用	5, 747	5, 328	4, 935
専門家報酬および外部業務委託費用	5, 268	5, 994	5, 875
マーケティング費用	796	748	759
その他の費用	10, 719	10, 984	11, 102
利息以外の費用合計	49, 096	50, 578	50, 225
法人所得税控除前利益	22, 908	21,090	23, 359
法人所得税	5, 980	6, 331	7, 967
当期純利益	16, 928	14, 759	15, 392

### 4.2 連結貸借対照表

ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・バンク・ナショナル・アソシエーション (ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・アンド・カンパニーの全額出資子会社)

12月31日終了事業年度(百万ドル)	2015年	2014年
資産		
現金および無利息銀行預け金	19, 359	26, 637
有利息銀行預け金	316, 350	471, 427
フェデラル・ファンド貸出金および売戻条件付買入有価証券	148, 483	141, 156
借入有価証券	25, 519	32, 173
トレーディング資産	242, 535	268, 014
有価証券	285, 028	341, 599
貸出金	735, 717	651, 630
貸倒引当金	(10, 807)	(11, 352)
貸倒引当金控除後貸出金	724, 910	640, 278
未収利息および未収入金	36, 389	38, 814
土地・建物および設備	12, 748	13, 122
のれん	27, 100	27, 282
モーゲージ・サービシング権	6, 608	7, 436
その他の無形固定資産	246	344
その他の資産	69, 383	66, 688
資産合計	1, 914, 658	2, 074, 970

# 4.2 連結貸借対照表(続き)

ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・バンク・ナショナル・アソシエーション (ジェー・ピー・モルガン・チェース・アンド・カンパニーの全額出資子会社)

12月31日終了事業年度(百万ドル)	2015年	2014年
負債		
預金	1, 312, 940	1, 439, 405
フェデラル・ファンド借入金および買戻条件付貸付または売却有 価証券	77, 262	94, 325
その他の借入金	30, 170	38, 572
トレーディング負債	101, 053	120, 323
未払金およびその他の負債	76, 160	76, 694
連結変動持分事業体により発行された受益権	12, 852	18, 601
長期社債	108, 683	101, 426
負債合計	1, 719, 120	1, 889, 346
株主持分		
優先株式	_	_
普通株式	1, 785	1, 785
資本剰余金	92, 782	90, 801
利益剰余金	98, 951	89, 082
その他の包括利益累計額	2, 020	3, 956
株主持分合計	195, 538	185, 624
負債および株主持分合計	1, 914, 658	2, 074, 970